Epigenetics of Solid Pseudopapillary Neoplasm of the Pancreas

Vera Lucia Antunes Chagas¹, Bruna dos Santos Paiva Ribeiro¹, Marcelo Soares da Mota e Silva¹, Danielle Nunes Forny², Fernando Colonna Rosman¹, Maria da Gloria da Costa Carvalho¹

¹Department of Pathology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil ²Physician of the Pediatric Surgery Services of Martagão Gesteira Institute of Pediatrics and Child Care, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Background The solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas is a rare neoplasm with low-grade malignancy and uncertain origin that constitutes about 1-2% of all exocrine pancreatic neoplasms. Epigenetic events such as deoxyribonucleic acid methylation lead to altered gene expression, resulting in altered control of cell proliferation. Based on the fact that epigenetic changes are reversible, the importance of epigenetic studies lies in the better understanding of tumor progression as well as target therapy. Objective This study had the objective to evaluate the intratumor heterogeneity of solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas in three macroscopically distinct areas, comparing gene polymorphism and gene methylation. Material and Methods The samples were collected from three distinct tumor areas from one female patient diagnosed with Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas and submitted to a pancreatectomy. Deoxyribonucleic acid was extracted from the fresh tissues by using proteinase K digestion and phenol-chloroform isoamyl alcohol followed by ethanol precipitation. The functionality of deoxyribonucleic acid was investigated for GSTT1 (glutathione S-transferase theta 1) and GSTM1 (glutathione S-transferase mu 1) genes by multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction analysis was used to determine the methylation status of: p16, RB1, E-cadherin, TIMP2 and DAPK genes promoter by bisulfite modification. Results and Conclusion The results show a null genotype for GSTT1 in tumor areas 1 and 3 when comparing with area 2, showing that there is heterogeneity in the tumor. Tumor fragment 1 was not detected for any investigated genes in methylation, probably due to deoxyribonucleic acid degradation in this region. TIMP-2 (tissue metallopeptidase inhibitor 2) and p16 were methylated in areas 2 and 3, and E-cadherin presented a small methylation only in fragment 3; DAPK and RB1 (retinoblastoma 1) were unmethylated. As far as we know, this is the first work to show deoxyribonucleic acid methylation in solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas.

INTRODUCTION

The solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas (SPTP) is a rare neoplasm with low-grade malignancy. It constitutes about 1-2% of all exocrine pancreatic neoplasms and occurs mainly in young women, having a prolonged, indolent clinical course [1, 2, 3]. This tumor received different denominations, including "Frantz tumor", "cystic solid tumor", "papillary cystic tumor", "papillary epithelial neoplasia", among others [2]. It was also considered as an uncommon carcinoma or non-functioning carcinoma of the pancreatic islets [3]. Through Frantz's description, in 1959, it was recognized as a specific entity [3] and in 1996 it was defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)

Received May 10th, 2018 – Accepted July 28th, 2018 **Keywords** glutathione S-transferase M1; glutathione S-transferase T1; Methylation; Neoplasms **Abbreviations** DAPK death-associated protein kinase; DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid; MSP methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction; SPTP solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas; **Correspondence** Maria da Gloria da Costa Carvalho Department of Pathology Universisdade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Rua Professor Rodolpho Rocco, 255, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil **Phone** +55 21 39382112 **Fax** +55 21 39382112 **E-mail** gloria@gcarvalho.org as "solid pseudopapillary tumor" [2]. Diagnosis of these tumors is sometimes difficult, since their histomorphology and immunophenotype may suggest other exocrine and endocrine pancreatic tumors [4].

Despite the diverse studies with electron microscopy and immunohistochemistry, the cellular origin of this tumor remains uncertain, favoring for many researchers the hypothesis of its origin of a multipotential primitive cell [1, 3]. The extrapancreatic origin has been suggested by some authors [5, 6]. Because of their rarity, clinical data on these tumors are mostly limited to case reports or small series mainly performed among Asian populations [1].

Heterogeneity within the tumor has been described for several types of cancer. Chromosomal abnormalities and unbalanced chromosomal translocation have been reported by many authors for SPTP [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Glutathione S-Transferases (GSTs) are enzymes of detoxification of phase II that block the formation of electrophilic products [12, 13]. People from different origins have different patterns of silencing of these genes, varying from region to region [13].

Epigenetics studies the hereditary changes in gene activity and expression that occur without alteration in the DNA sequence [14]. Epigenetic events are a characteristic of

human cancer. Epigenetic events such as DNA methylation lead to altered gene expression, resulting in altered control of cell proliferation [15]. DNA methylation is an inherited epigenetic labeling that involves the transfer of a methyl group to carbon 5 from cytosine in DNA [16]. Methylation is one of the most studied epigenetic modifications in mammals. It is usually removed during zygote formation and then reestablished around the implantation stage [16, 17]. These changes are reversible; however they are very stable and have a great impact on the regulation of gene expression [18]. DNA methyltransferase is responsible for the methylation pattern. It is known that inactivation of some suppressor and tumor genes occur by hypermethylation of the promoter regions. These hypermethylations have the same effect of a mutation in the promoter region of the genes and some studies call them mimicry [17, 18]. Based on the fact that epigenetic changes are reversible, the importance of epigenetic studies lies both in the better understanding of tumor progression and target therapy.

This study aims to evaluate the intratumor heterogeneity of solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas in three macroscopically distinct areas, comparing gene methylation in three different tumor areas.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples

A12-year-old female patient was admitted to the surgical division of the Hospital Universitário Clementino Fraga Filho (HUCFF) of Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), complaining of pain in the right hypochondrium and nausea that had started one month prior to hospital admission. Physical examination revealed a palpable mass in the right hypochondrium, with a well-defined contour, painful to palpation. Computed tomography of the abdomen showed expansive, heterogenous and rounded formation located in the head of the pancreas. The lesion was predominantly solid, nonhomogeneous, suggestive of a solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas and a mean of 6.7 (T) × 6.3 (AP) × 6.4 (L) cm (Figure 1). The patient was submitted to Whipple's surgery and the surgical specimen was referred to the Pathology Anatomy Service of the hospital. At macroscopic examination, the tumor was located in the head of the pancreas, measuring 6.0 cm in diameter, surrounded by a fibrous capsule. At cut, clear borders and whitish surface, with solid, granular and hemorrhagic areas were detected. The solid areas were mainly located in the periphery of the tumor, while the granulosa and hemorrhagic areas were mainly located in the intermediate and central areas, respectively. Samples 1, 2 and 3 for the study of molecular biology were collected from the fresh material of the three distinct macroscopic areas of the tumor: the peripheral solid-appearing area, the intermediate area of granular appearance and the more central and hemorrhagic area respectively (Figure 2).

Histopathological examination of hematoxylin and eosin stained slides revealed neoplastic cells with eosinophilic or

clear cytoplasm, with ovoid or rounded nuclei, sometimes grooved, with slight anisokaryosis, uniform chromatin, and about 6 mitoses in 10 HPF. The cells had a polyhedral aspect, without cohesion, constituting cellular masses permeated by a delicate connective-vascular stroma, or even arranged perpendicularly around this axis, forming pseudopapillae, with nuclei located at the apical cellular border.

These tissue patterns were observed in the three tumor areas, with predominance of solid areas with cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm and with clear cytoplasm in the region of fragment 1. In the region of fragments 2 and 3 besides the solid areas, a greater number of pseudopapillae and cells with cytoplasmic degeneration of foamy aspect (vacuolated) were noted, associated with



Figure 1. Computed Tomography Image of the abdomen, with arterial contrast, showing a transverse section of the head of the pancreas with a rounded and heterogeneous mass, predominantly solid, measuring 6.7 cm (Transverse) × 6.3 cm (Anteroposterior) × 6.4 cm (Longitudinal), with discrete and heterogeneous contrast. Areas 1, 2 and 3 are the topographical areas where fresh tissue samples were collected for the epigenetic analysis. Compare with Figure 2.



Figure 2. Transverse section of a fresh solid pseudopapillary neoplasm in the head of the pancreas showing rounded and heterogeneous aspects, predominantly solid and whitish, associated with red hemorrhagic area. On the left side, observe the duodenum. Regions 1, 2 and 3 are the regions where fresh tissue samples were collected for the epigenetic analysis. Compare with Figure 1.

intra- and extracytoplasmic eosinophilic granules negative for staining by the Periodic Acid-Schiff method (PAS) and hemorrhagic areas, mainly in the region of fragment 3.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics CommitteeoftheHUCFFofUFRJ(#64915717.0.0000.5257).

DNA Extraction

DNA was extracted from the fresh tissues by using proteinase K digestion and phenol-chloroform isoamyl alcohol followed by ethanol precipitation. DNA concentration was done on Thermo Nanodrop® device.

DNA Functionality Test

The functionality of DNA extracted from three distinct areas was investigated for *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* genes by multiplex PCR following the methodology described by Joseph and collaborators [19] with some modifications [20]. For the *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* genes, the polymorphism investigated is of the deletion type, where the absence of the gene corresponding band (220 base pairs for *GSTM1* and 450 bp for the *GSTT1* gene) represents its deletion.

Methylation

Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) analysis was used to determine the methylation status as previously described: *p16* [21], *RB1* [22], *E-cadherin* [23], *TIMP-*2 [24] and *DAPK* [21] genes promoter by bisulfite modification. For all the analyses, the amplified products were detected by 10% electrophoresis polyacrylamide gel, using 100 base pair molecular weight marker (Pharmacia Biotech, USA).

RESULTS

A multiplex PCR was performed for *GSTT1* and *GSTM1* genes using samples from the three distinct tumor areas. The results show a null genotype for *GSTT1* in tumor areas 1 and 3 when comparing with area 2. These results indicate a probable specific loss of genomic integrity in response to tumour DNA degradation due to cellular degeneration. The null genotype for *GSTT1* in areas 1 and 3 of the tumor indicates the tumor heterogeneity **(Figure 3)**.

In relation to the methylation status of investigated genes, fragment 1 of the tumor was only detected for small unmethylation for *RB1*, probably by DNA degradation in this region. *TIMP-2* and *p16* were methylated in areas 2 and 3; *DAPK*, *RB1* and *E-cadherin* were unmethylated in fragments 2 and 3; 1 and 2; 2 and 3, respectively **(Figure 4)**.

DISCUSSION

The results of the Polymorphism analysis show that there is a molecular heterogeneity between the tumor fragments. The difference found is probably because of DNA damage in areas 1 and 3 of the tumor. Fragment 3 was collected from an area with high levels of degeneration and



Figure 3. Multiplex PCR products analyzed by 10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *GSTT1* deletion polymorphism was observed in fragments 1 and 3. Tumor fragment 2 shows amplification for *GSTT1* 450 bp. *GSTM1* 220 bp and also to *TP53* 274 bp. Lane 1: 100 bp ladder, Lane 2: negative control and Lanes 3 to 6: tumor fragments. For tumor fragment 1, 20 and 50 ng of DNA were used, and for fragments 2 and 3, 20 ng of DNA in the Multiplex PCR reaction. The arrows point to the corresponding band of each gene.



Figure 4. Methylation Specific PCR analyzed by 10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Methylation was observed for *p16* and *TIMP-2* in fragments 2 and 3. *E-cadherin, RB1* and *DAPK* had results for unmethylated genes in fragments 2 and 3; 1 and 2; and 2 and 3, respectively. A previously known sample of gastric cancer was used as positive control. The numbers correspond to the fragments. M is for Methylated and U for Unmethylated. The corresponding genes are listed on the left side of the image. For all samples, 50 ng of DNA were used.

hemorrhage, which is possibly the cause of the degradation in this region. Fragment 1 was collected from a solid and peripheral area. The methylation experiment also shows differences between the fragments, corroborating the GSTs analyses.

The methylation markers used in this study were chosen based on the literature, where the methylations of these genes are related with tumor progression and proliferation in pancreatic tumors [25]. Methylation of tumor suppressor genes has been reported before in papillary mucinous neoplasm of pancreas related to its malignant progression [26].

Silencing of p16 through methylation is the most frequent early event in carcinogenesis. Study on pancreatic carcinoma suggests that the methylation of this gene could be associated with the tumorigenesis [27]. Alterations in this gene are common in pancreatic adenocarcinomas, through genetic and epigenetic modifications [28]. In the present study, it may indicate the malignant potential of the tumor. Another work shows a relation between tumor size and p16 expression. Tumors were bigger when the expression was lower [29], which could possibly be another result of the silencing of the gene in this tumor, leading to the need of further studies to prove this hypothesis.

E-cadherin was not methylated. *E-cadherin* gene methylation could be involved in tumor formation, invasiveness or metastatic potential; its lower expression was more frequent on metastatic tissue of pancreatic adenocarcinoma [30]. The understanding of tumor biology could identify new molecular targets for the diagnosis of SPTP. Previous studies show that *E-cadherin* is hypermethylated in pancreas carcinomas [25]. Moreover, the methylation of E-cadherin was shown to be a worsened factor in pancreatic ductal tumor in patients with diabetics [31]; however, methylation was not found in the present work.

TIMP-2 showed differences between fragments 2 and 3. *TIMP-2* in fragment 2 showed only methylation, which is a result that can suggest the total methylation of the gene in this area. *TIMP-2* methylation can be related to the ability, though not accentuated, of neoplastic cells to permeate tissue adjacent to the tumor, such as the conjunctive capsule or, in some cases, the pancreatic parenchyma [32, 33].

The gene of protein *DAPK* methylation leads to transcription inactivation, and this gene probably relates to the cancer origin in the urinary bladder [34] and in the gastrointestinal tract [35]. However, regarding pancreatic tumor and especially SPPT, we did not find any reference about *DAPK* methylation. But, in the literature, the loss of DAP kinase expression has already been shown to be more frequent in the metastatic tissue than in the primary tumor in the pancreatic adenocarcinoma [30].

RB1 is the gene of the protein that is a cell cycle regulator and a tumor suppressor. Even though *RB1* was already found inactivated in pancreatic cancer [36], this

gene did not have positive results for methylation in this study.

Curiously, in fragment 1, although it showed functional DNA **(Figure 1)**, only a discrete positivity for unmethylated *RB1* was observed.

CONCLUSION

This is the first study, as far as we know, to show gene methylation in different areas of a Solid Pseudopapillary Neoplasm of Pancreas. The silencing of genes tumor suppressors by methylation, indicates one of the mechanisms involved on the oncogenesis of this type of tumor, contributing for its malignancy.

This study shows the absence of *GSTT1* in both fragments 1 and 3, and, for the first time, a specific degradation of these genes.

Further studies are necessary to understand better the biology of this tumor.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank *Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* (CNPq) [National Council for Scientific and Technological Development], *Instituto Nacional do Câncer* [National Institute of Cancer] (*Programa de Oncobiologia* [Oncobiology Program]) and *Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estrado do Rio de Janeiro* (FAPERJ) [*Carlos Chagas Filho Foundation for Research Support of the State of Rio de Janeiro*

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Nguyen NQ, Johns AL, Gill AJ, Ring N, Chang DK, Clarkson A, et al. Clinical and immunohistochemical features of 34 solid pseudopapillary tumors of the pancreas: Solid pseudopapillary pancreatic tumors. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011; 26:267–74. [PMID: 21261715]

2. Igbinosa O. Pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas. An algorithmic approach. JOP 2011; 12:262–5. [PMID: 21546705]

3. Notohara K, Hamazaki S, Tsukayama C, Nakamoto S, Kawabata K, Mizobuchi K, et al. Solid-pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: immunohistochemical localization of neuroendocrine markers and CD10. Am J Surg Pathol 2000; 24:1361–71. [PMID: 11023097]

4. Guo Y, Yuan F, Deng H, Wang HF, Jin XL, Xiao JC. Paranuclear Dotlike Immunostaining for CD99: A Unique Staining Pattern for Diagnosing Solid-Pseudopapillary Neoplasm of the Pancreas. Am J Surg Pathol 2011; 35:799–806. [PMID: 21566515]

5. Gahlot GS, Mridha A, Sable M, Sharma M, Pramanik R, Kumar L. Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the ovary with metastases to the omentum and regional lymph nodes. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 2016; 59:348. [PMID: 27510674]

6. Kulis M, Esteller M. DNA Methylation and Cancer. Advances in Genetics 2010; 70:27-56. [PMID: 20920744]

7. Grant LD, Lauwers GY, Meloni AM, Stone JF, Betz JL, Vogel S, et al. Unbalanced chromosomal translocation, der(17)t(13;17)(q14;p11) in a solid and cystic papillary epithelial neoplasm of the pancreas. Am J Surg Pathol 1996; 20:339–45. [PMID: 8772788] 8. Kempski HM, Austin N, Chatters SJ, Toomey SM, Chalker J, Anderson J, et al. Previously unidentified complex cytogenetic changes found in a pediatric case of solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2006; 164:54–60. [PMID: 16364763]

9. Maitra A, Weinberg AG, Schneider N, Patterson K. Detection of t(11;22)(q24;q12) translocation and EWS-FLI-1 fusion transcript in a case of solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas. Pediatr Dev Pathol 2000; 3:603–5. [PMID: 11000339]

10. Matsubara K, Nigami H, Harigaya H, Baba K. Chromosome abnormality in solid and cystic tumor of the pancreas. Am J Gastroenterol 1997; 92:1219–21. [PMID: 9219805]

11. Stringer MD, Roberts P, Davison SM, Gannon C. A novel cytogenetic abnormality in a solid and cystic papillary tumour of the pancreas. Med Pediatr Oncol 2003; 41:155–8. [PMID: 12825225]

12. Hayes JD, Flanagan JU, Jowsey IR. Glutathione transferases. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2005; 45:51–88. [PMID: 15822171]

13. Rohr P, Delgado-Cañedo A, Paskulin GA, Schüller I, Nardi NB, Kvitko K. Análise dos polimorfismos GSTM1 e GSTT1 em pacientes que desenvolveram leucemias agudas. Rev Bras Biociências/Braz J Biosci 2004; 2:143-150.

14. Bird A. Perceptions of epigenetics. Nature 2007; 447:396-8. [PMID: 17522671]

15. Narayan G, Arias-Pulido H, Koul S, Vargas H, Zhang FF, Villella J, et al. Frequent promoter methylation of CDH1, DAPK, RARB, and HIC1 genes in carcinoma of cervix uteri: its relationship to clinical outcome. Mol Cancer 2003; 2:24. [PMID: 12773202]

16. Jin B, Li Y, Robertson KD. DNA methylation: superior or subordinate in the epigenetic hierarchy? Genes Cancer 2011; 2:607–17. [PMID: 21941617]

17. Luczak MW, Jagodziński PP. The role of DNA methylation in cancer development. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 2006; 44:143–54. [PMID: 16977793]

18. Kosmahl M, Seada LS, Jänig U, Harms D, Klöppel G. Solidpseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: its origin revisited. Virchows Arch Int J Pathol 2000; 436:473–80. [PMID: 10881741]

19. Joseph T, Chacko P, Wesley R, Jayaprakash PG, James FV, Pillai MR. Germline genetic polymorphisms of CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genes in Indian cervical cancer: associations with tumor progression, age and human papillomavirus infection. Gynecol Oncol 2006; 101:411–7. [PMID: 16360200]

20. Silva MM, Da Fonseca CO, Moura-Neto R, Carvalho JF, Quirico-Santos T, Carvalho MG. Influence of GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms on the survival rate of patients with malignant glioma under perillyl alcoholbased therapy. Genet Mol Res 2013; 12:1621–30. [PMID: 23765968]

21. Rosas SL, Koch W, da Costa Carvalho MG, Wu L, Califano J, Westra W, et al. Promoter hypermethylation patterns of p16, O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase, and death-associated protein kinase in tumors and saliva of head and neck cancer patients. Cancer Res 2001; 61:939–42. [PMID: 11221887]

22. Simpson DJ, Hibberts NA, McNicol AM, Clayton RN, Farrell WE. Loss of pRb expression in pituitary adenomas is associated with methylation of the RB1 CpG island. Cancer Res 2000; 60:1211–6. [PMID: 10728677]

23. Graff JR, Herman JG, Myöhänen S, Baylin SB, Vertino PM. Mapping patterns of CpG island methylation in normal and neoplastic cells implicates both upstream and downstream regions in de novo methylation. J Biol Chem 1997; 272:22322–9. [PMID: 9268383]

24. Ivanova T, Vinokurova S, Petrenko A, Eshilev E, Solovyova N, Kisseljov F, et al. Frequent hypermethylation of 5' flanking region of TIMP-2 gene in cervical cancer. Int J Cancer 2004; 108:882–6. [PMID: 14712492]

25. Ueki T, Toyota M, Sohn T, Yeo CJ, Issa JP, Hruban RH, et al. Hypermethylation of multiple genes in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res 2000; 60:1835–9. [PMID: 10766168]

26. Sato N, Ueki T, Fukushima N, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Yeo CJ, Cameron JL, et al. Aberrant methylation of CpG islands in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Gastroenterology 2002; 123:365–72. [PMID: 12105864]

27. Dong K, Li B, Qing Y, Liu J, Li C, Sun Z. Aberrant Methylation in CpG Islands of p15 and p16 Tumor Suppressor Genes in Pancreatic Cancer Tissue. Chin-Ger J Clin Oncol 2005; 4:213–7.

28. House MG, Herman JG, Guo MZ, Hooker CM, Schulick RD, Lillemoe KD, et al. Aberrant hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes in pancreatic endocrine neoplasms. Ann Surg 2003; 238:423-431-432. [PMID: 14501508]

29. Ohtsubo K, Watanabe H, Yamaguchi Y, Hu YX, Motoo Y, Okai T, et al. Abnormalities of tumor suppressor gene p16 in pancreatic carcinoma: immunohistochemical and genetic findings compared with clinicopathological parameters. J Gastroenterol 2003; 38:663–71. [PMID: 12898359]

30. Dansranjavin T, Möbius C, Tannapfel A, Bartels M, Wittekind C, Hauss J, et al. E-cadherin and DAP kinase in pancreatic adenocarcinoma and corresponding lymph node metastases. Oncol Rep 2006; 15:1125–31. [PMID: 16596173]

31. Saito T, Mizukami H, Umetsu S, Uchida C, Inaba W, Abe M, et al. Worsened outcome in patients with pancreatic ductal carcinoma on long-term diabetes: association with E-cadherin1 (CDH1) promoter methylation. Sci Rep 2017; 7:18056. [PMID: 29273724]

32. Matsunou H, Konishi F, Yamamichi N, Takayanagi N, Mukai M. Solid, infiltrating variety of papillary cystic neoplasm of the pancreas. Cancer 1990; 65:2747–57. [PMID: 2111205]

33. Nishihara K, Nagoshi M, Tsuneyoshi M, Yamaguchi K, Hayashi I. Papillary cystic tumors of the pancreas. Assessment of their malignant potential. Cancer 1993; 71:82–92. [PMID: 8416730]

34. Dai L, Ma C, Zhang Z, Zeng S, Liu A, Tang S, et al. DAPK Promoter Methylation and Bladder Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One 2016; 11:e0167228. [PMID: 27907054]

35. Yuan W, Chen J, Shu Y, Liu S, Wu L, Ji J, et al. Correlation of DAPK1 methylation and the risk of gastrointestinal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017; 12:e0184959. [PMID: 28934284]

36. Gore AJ, Deitz SL, Palam LR, Craven KE, Korc M. Pancreatic cancerassociated retinoblastoma 1 dysfunction enables TGF- β to promote proliferation. J Clin Invest 2014; 124:338–52. [PMID: 24334458]