

Open Access

Research Article

What Impact Local Failure for Post-Operative Radiotherapy of Resected Brain Metastases in Breast Cancer Patients

Ory Haisraely^{1*}, Zvi Symon¹, Thila Kaisman-elbaz², Anton Whol², Zvi Cohen², Alica Taliansky³, Yaacov Lawerence¹

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Tel Aviv University, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Aviv-Yafo, Israel

²Department of Neuro-Surgical, Tel Aviv University, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel

³Department of Neuro-Surgical and Neuro-Oncology, Tel Aviv University, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel

ABSTRACT

Background: Single cerebrum metastasis careful resection stays a powerful treatment for mind metastases. Not with standing, medical procedure alone partner with a high pace of neighborhood disappointment. The ongoing rules prescribe radiation to careful depression. The suggested portion for cerebrum pit after a medical procedure stay to be characterizing as most rules gives an exceptionally broad idea about the portion and fractionation. The point of this study was to figure out what variable impact nearby control in this particular populace.

Methods: Review investigation of 62 patients with bosom disease who go through careful resection to single mind metastases and who got post-usable radiotherapy between the years 2011-2022. All clinical and dosimetric factors were investigated to assess their effect on nearby control.

Results: One year neighborhood control was 70.9%. HER-2 sickness, size of beginning growth, time from starting a medical procedure, all out portion convey, incorporation of passage and kind of injury were all critical for nearby control. Patients with HER-2 positive illness had higher nearby control rate with next to no distinction in different factors.

Conclusion: Mind metastases from bosom malignant growth stay a daily existence threating condition. Medical procedure assumes a basic part in the therapy of huge suggestive cerebrum metastases for which post-employable radiotherapy is fundamental.

Picking a system with a portion of all the more then 40Gy (BED) appears to be significant for accomplishing neighborhood control and without increment harmfulness. Different science and foundational treatment choices can separate Bosom malignant growth populace after mind a medical procedure for metastatic sickness. A bigger planned preliminary is expected to resolve the inquiry whether lower measurement can be used in HER-2 positive sickness.

Received:	03-March-2024	Manuscript No:	IPJNO-24-19122
Editor assigned:	05-March-2024	PreQC No:	IPJNO-24-19122 (PQ)
Reviewed:	19-March-2024	QC No:	IPJNO-24-19122
Revised:	03-March-2025	Manuscript No:	IPJNO-24-19122 (R)
Published:	10-March-2025	DOI:	10.36648/2572-0376.10.1.36

Corresponding author: Ory Haisraely, Department of Radiation Oncology, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv-Yafo, Sheba Medical Center, Israel; E-mail: okonjohn@aol.com

Citation: Haisraely O, Symon Z, Kaisman-elbaz T, Whol A, Cohen Z, et al. (2025) What Impact Local Failure for Post-Operative Radiotherapy of Resected Brain Metastases in Breast Cancer Patients. J Neuro Oncol. 10:36.

Copyright: © 2025 Haisraely O, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Keywords: Cerebrum metastasis; Breast cancer; Radiotherapy; Bosom disease

Abbreviations: BC: Breast Cancer; ER: Estrogen Receptor; RCT: Randomized Clinical Trial; OS: Overall Survival; LC: Local Control; WBRT: Whole Brain Radiotherapy; CTV: Clinical Target Volume; PTV: Planning Target Volume

INTRODUCTION

Page 2

Bain metastases are a significant reason for mortality in bosom cancer [1]. The cerebrum is the primary site of metastasis from bosom disease in 12% of patients. Metastatic bosom malignant growth (BC) is, after cellular breakdown in the lungs, the second most normal disease related with cerebrum metastases. As malignant growth research leap forwards significantly further developed endurance of patients with cutting edge stage BC somewhat recently, the frequency of BMs is expanding accordingly [2]. It has been recommended that cerebrum metastases from bosom disease (BMBC) happen all the more habitually among more youthful ladies, those with bigger cancers or higher atomic grade, in certain subtypes like estrogen-receptor (trama center)negative and HER2 overexpressing cancers, and those with nodal metastases [3].

Single mind metastasis careful resection stays a compelling treatment for cerebrum metastases, particularly for bigger injuries causing mass impact and significantly serious neurological symptoms [4]. Notwithstanding, medical procedure alone partner with an extremely high pace of neighborhood disappointment that can be high up to 70% for 1 year [5,6].

In many patients who go through a medical procedure, central radiation of the careful depression might be recommended to diminish the gambles of neighborhood backslide. In such manner, a Randomized Clinical Preliminary (RCT) assessed stereotactic RT to the resection hole after a medical procedure of BMs. After a middle development of 11.1 months, year independence from neighborhood repeat was 43% (95% CI, 31-59) in the perception bunch contrasted with 72% in the stereotactic RT bunch (danger proportion, HR, 0.46, 95% CI: 0.24-0.88, p=0.015). There were no unfriendly occasions or treatment-related passings in one or the other gathering. Another RCT contrasted stereotactic RT and entire cerebrum radiotherapy (WBRT), showing no distinctions in generally speaking endurance (operating system), however more continuous decrease in mental capability after WBRT (middle mental disintegration free endurance: Stereotactic RT, 3.7 months, 95% Cl, 3.45-5.06; WBRT, 90 days, 95% Cl, 2.86-3.25; HR: 0.47, 95% CI, 0.35-0.63, p<0.0001) . On this premise, the worldwide stereotactic radiosurgery society suggests stereotactic RT as standard of care in post-resection qualified patients [7,8].

The ongoing rules of both society of neuro-oncology and the global stereotactic radiosurgery prescribe radiation to careful hole [9]. The suggested portion for mind hole after a medical procedure stay to be characterize as most rules gives an extremely broad idea about the portion and fractionation.

Furthermore, explicit portion control relationship has never been distributed for bosom disease metastases. The extraordinary radio-awareness and other natural part of this etiology should be tended to.

Here we play out a thorough investigation of dosimetry, science and clinical variable and their impact on neighborhood control and on mind disappointment among bosom malignant growth patients who been treated with a medical procedure and post-usable fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for a solitary cerebrum metastasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Institutional survey board endorsement for a review survey was gotten. Incorporation rules were all patients treated between 2012-2022 with radiotherapy to the careful cavity after craniotomy a solitary cerebrum metastases of bosom disease beginning in view of pathology report. We rejected patients who had recently gotten either RT or WBRT or neoadjuvant SRS for the resected injury.

Foundation socioeconomics, pathologic and radiographic information, earlier oncologic treatment, and itemized radiotherapy information was removed from the electronic clinical record and from institutional radiotherapy data sets.

Stastical Analysis

Neighborhood disillusionment was portrayed as disease improvement in the cautious pit inside the orchestrating objective volume as described by other works [7]. Time to still up in the air from the completion of radiotherapy. Destructiveness was evaluated and audited by CTCAE v5.0 measures.

Engaging examinations were performed involving mean and SD for parametric factors and middle with range for nonparametric factors. X2 test was utilized for unmitigated factors. Complete portion (BED) and portion per division and arranging objective volumes were examined as both proceeds and all out factors at various edges. A paired relapse model was applied to concentrate on factors displayed to affect neighborhood control Information was examined utilizing measurable programming SPSS V26 (variant 26, IBM[©], Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

A sum of 62 patients with the determination of mind metastatic bosom malignant growth who had gone through a medical procedure and post-employable radiation were treated at our establishment from 2010-2022. The middle age

was 53.5 years. Bosom disease type was dominatingly HER-2 positive (40.3%), among them 5 were trauma center/PR positive and 20 were emergency room/PR negative. Luminal A was the histology design in 8% and luminal B in 22.5%. Triple negative illness was in 29% of the companion.

Patient's show was variable. The most regular grumbling was loss of gross appendage shortcoming with auxiliary cerebral pain, aphasia and irregularity. 30.4% of patients had boundless fundamental metastatic infection while medical

Table 1: Patients characteristics.

procedure. Six patients had single cerebrum injury with the remainder of the partner gave oligometastatic illness.

The most successive area was cerebrum (35.4%). Strong appearance was more common than cystic (69.4% and 30.6% individually). Radiation was started on normal 33 days from medical procedure with a scope of 16 as long as 48 days. Patients' qualities are introduced in Table 1.

Variable	Value					
Number of patients Age (mean, range)	62 53 (23-78)					
Breast	CA type					
Luminal A	5 (8%)					
Luminal B	14 (22.5%)					
HER-2 positive	25 (40.3%)					
Triple negative	18 (29%)					
G	SPA					
0-1	0 (0%)					
1.5-2	9 (14.5%)					
2.5-3	23 (37%)					
3.5-4	30 (48.3%)					
Size of metastases						
0.5-1.99 cm	3 (4.8%)					
2-3.99 cm	10 (16%)					
4-4.99 cm	28 (45.1%)					
5-6.99 cm	15 (24.1%)					
>7 cm	5 (8%)					
Loc	cation					
Frontal	22 (35.4%)					
Parietal	13 (20.9%)					
Temporal	10 (16.1%)					
Occipital	8 (12.9%)					
Cerebellum	8 (12.9%)					
Type of lesion						
Solid	43 (69.3%)					
Cystic	19 (30.6%)					
Time from surgery to RT in days (mean, range)	33 (20-61)					

Clinical presentation

Solitary brain lesion	6 (9.6%)
Oligometastatic disease (<5 mets)	37 (59.6%)
Metastatic disease	19 (30.6%)

Radiation therapy: A sum of 40 radiation therapy was conveyed utilizing VMAT, 20 utilizing IMRT and two with 3D preparation. A BED determined utilizing α/β of 10 Utilizing this methodology, the middle portion was 37.5Gy (28Gy to 59.5Gy (BED10)).

Clinical objective volume (CTV) was characterized as the careful cavity. CTV to arranging objective volume (PTV) was growing 2-5 mm. In 79% of cases the careful passageway was

Table 2: Radiation parameters.

remembered for the CTV. The average PTV was 67.1 cc (23.4-112.6).

During this investigation we utilized the TG101 report constrains8. In each of the 62 treatment arranging portion compel were met. Radiotherapy boundaries are displayed in Table 2.

Variable	Value
PTV (median, range)	67.1 cc (23.4-112.6)
Dose (BED $\alpha/\beta=10$) (median, range)	37.5Gy (28-59.5)
All de	osage regiment
Total dose (Gy), n of fraction (BED $\alpha/\beta=10$)	
24Gy, 3 (43.2)	4 (6.4%)
24Gy, 4 (38.4)	1 (1.6%)
20Gy, 4 (30)	3 (4.8%)
25Gy, 5 (37.5)	32 (51.6%)
27.5Gy, 5 (42.6)	6 (9.6%)
30Gy, 5 (48)	11 (17.7%)
32.5Gy, 5 (53.3)	2 (3.2%)
30Gy, 6 (45)	2 (3.2%)
35Gy, 7 (59.5)	1 (1.6%)
Dose>40Gy	38.8%
Inclusion of corridor in PTV	79%
Days from surgery to RT (median, range)	28.5 (16-44)
>28 days from RT	50%
BrainV25 (Brain-PTV) (median, range)	4.4cc (0-9.1cc)

Nearby control: The one-year nearby control rate was 70.3%.

The complete mind disappointment following 1 year was 41.6%. Among them 18 patients had just nearby disappointment 15 got rescues radiosurgery and 3 got

fundamental treatment. 6 had far off mind disappointment without neighborhood disappointment and all got radiosurgery for the new sore.

Clinical and endurance results are introduced in Table 3.

Table 3: Outcome parameters.

Page 5

Variable	Value	
Follow up months (median, range)	28 (14-43)	
1 year LC (%)	70.9%	
Radiation necrosis (%)	12.9%	
Asymptomatic	6	
Symptomatic	2	
1 year brain failure (local+distant) (%)	41.9%	
1 year distant only brain failure (%)	12.9%	
1 year Overall survival (%)	80.6%	

In luence on neighborhood control: One year nearby control was 70.9%. We analyze various factors between patients who accomplished nearby control and the individuals who didn't. The middle arranging objective volume was altogether bigger among the individuals who had encountered neighborhood disappointment (83.7cc versus 56.2cc, P=0.042). Size of an underlying growth was likewise significant in accomplishing neighborhood control. Size of growth above 5 cm was dispersed contrastingly between those with nearby control and neighborhood disappointment with 18.1% and 66.7% separately (p<0.001).

The complete portion conveyed was lower for those with nearby disappointment with a middle of 37.5Gy for neighborhood disappointment and 42.5Gy for nearby control (p=0.008).

Concerning the science of bosom disease. We notice different circulation of HER-2 positive sickness among the individuals who had neighborhood control and the people who didn't (half vs16.1%, P=0.015).

Neighborhood control was related with the consideration of careful hallway inside the PTV (P=0.034) furthermore more limited opportunity to radiotherapy from starting a medical procedure with a middle of 26.9 days for the people who achieved nearby control versus 32.5 days for the individuals who didn't (p=0.039). Cystic sore were more pervasiveness in repeating injury then, at that point, in charge injuries (55.5% and 20.4% separately P<0.009). The area of the cancer was likewise importance for nearby control, there was higher pace of cerebral area for those were repeated than for the control sores (27.7% versus 6.8%, P=0.039).

Table 4 exhibit all clinical variable between control sore to reoccurring injury following 1 year and chances proportion for disappointment.

Variables	Local control (n=44)	Local progression (n=18)	OR (Cl95%)	Р
Age	53Y	53.5Y	0.97 (0.74-2.1)	0.87
HER-2 positive (yes)	50%	16.7%	0.2 (0.05-0.79)	0.015
Size of tumor (>5 cm)	18.1%	66.7%	9 (2.5-31.2)	<0.001
PTV (CC)	56.2CC	83.7CC		0.042
Dose BED (α/β=10) (median, range)	42.5Gy (37.5-59.5)	37.5Gy (30-48)		0.008
BED>40Gy	52.5%	16.6%	0.18 (0.049-0.79)	0.015
Inclusion of surgical corridor (Yes)	13.6%	38.3%	4.03 (1.1-14.5)	0.034
Time from surgery to radiation (days median, range)	26.9 days (18-42)	32.5 days (16-44)		0.009

Table 4: Local control vs. local failure after 1 year.

Time from surgery >28 days	38.6%	77.8%	5.5 (1.56-19.7)	0.008
Type of metastases (Cystic)	20.4%	55.5%	4.8 (1.4-15.8)	0.009
Location (cerebellum)	6.8%	27.7%	5.2 (1.1-25.4)	0.039
Clinical presentation (solitary brain lesion)	9%	11.1%	1.25 (0.2-7.5)	0.8

There was no relationship between the age and the oncological setting and nearby control. Multivariable examination was not accessible because of a little example size.

Page 6

In this review partner we assess the variable effect neighborhood control among a particular gathering of metastatic bosom malignant growth going through a medical procedure and post-employable RT. We tracked down that the cancer science, size, and are critical for nearby control notwithstanding time from introductory medical procedure.

Our neighborhood control rate is lower than already concentrates on that showed nearby control pace of 70-95% [4,7,10-12]. That can be somewhat made sense of by a high level of patients getting a lower portion of our preliminary contrast with the others. In our review 46% got a BED (10) lower then 40 (*i.e.*, 25 Gy in 5 division) which as of late been shown by Minnti, et al. to diminish neighborhood control [12].

We showed relationship among's portion and neighborhood control. In our examination we utilized a α/β proportion of 4Gy which is more practical in bosom malignant growth tumors [13,14]. We notice that portion above 70Gy (BED4) had HR of 0.51 (Cl 0.16-0.91) in any event, while acclimating to different factors.

One more clarification of the lower nearby rate brings about our companion is the timing from beginning a medical procedure until beginning of radiation. In a new Metaexamination of post-usable SRS showed a Lower nearby control when medical procedure to-SRS defer longer than 3 weeks. The assessed year control rates dropped from 87 to 61% assuming that SRS was performed over 3 weeks after resection [15]. In our review the middle day for beginning radiation was 33. We found that beginning radiation over 30 days from medical procedure has a HR of 1.46 (1.13-2.78) for nearby disappointment. Concerning different boundaries. The typical size of cancer in our accomplice was like other studies and mirrors the ongoing change practically speaking to work just on suggestive huge sores, with the rest of authoritative radiosurgery [16,17]. Growth bigger than 3.5 cm had altogether demolish nearby disappointment with HR of 1.61 (Cl 1.11-1.31).

The ongoing rules suggest the consideration of careful parcel with 1-5 mm margin [13]. In our associate consideration of careful parcel was seen in 64.7% of the people who accomplished neighborhood control and 55.5% at the people who didn't. In any case, this distinction didn't arrive at measurable importance.

Various investigations had shown inconsistent outcomes on the effect of cystic injuries and reaction to nearby treatment. 18 examinations have proposed that the reasons for cystic masses might incorporate the breakdown of the blood-mind obstruction or the higher gamble of creating cystic BM in patients with poor histological grade [18,19]. Also, the confusions found in procedure on cystic sore and the not exactly Gross growth resection accomplished can affect in general endurance and neighborhood control respectively [20]. in our review cystic had a lot higher gamble of nearby disappointment with HR of 1.55 (1.13-2.34).

We found 8 instances of announced radiation rot on X-ray. Two of whom were asymptomatic. The two suggestive patients were dealt with effectively with dexamethasone. In the whole companion 38% announced grade 2 exhaustion and 11% with grade 2 migraine.

Different traditional sub-kinds of bosom malignant growth have different science in respects of cerebrum metastases commonness, pathophysiology and reaction to treatment [18]. HER2-positive bosom malignant growth has the innate inclination of metastasis to the cerebrum but since of variable fundamental therapy choices with great mind reaction and, surprisingly, longer endurance among all bosom disease populace with mind metastases [20].

Shockingly, in our accomplice patients the commonness of HER-2 sub type was higher among the people who accomplished nearby control. Having HER-2 sickness decline the chances for neighborhood disappointment (OR=0.2). The number of inhabitants in patients with HER-2 illness wasn't different in any of different factors with the populace gracious HER-2 negative sickness (Table 5).

Table 5: HER-2 disease.

Variable	Age	BED>40Gy	Days to RT >28 days	Inclusion of corridor	Cystic lesion	Location at cerebellum	Size of tumor >5 cm
----------	-----	----------	------------------------	-----------------------	---------------	------------------------	------------------------

HER-2 positive (n=25)	55Y	40%	56%	76%	32%	16%	28%
HER-2 negative (n=37)	52.2Y	37.8%	45.9%	81%	29.7%	10.8%	35.1%
Р	0.76	0.39	0.93	0.62	0.84	0.54	0.6

The different in nearby control can be made sense of by the way that most patients in our accomplice had instinctive metastatic sickness at show and got fundamental treatment after the course of radiation. HER-2 designated treatment like transtuzumab, transtuzumab-emtansine, fam-transtuzumab-deruxtecan, lapatinib with capecitabine and tucatinib have all high reaction rates in the CNS2. In the TUDEXO-1 preliminary as of late showed 83% intracranial response. Furthermore, can help diminish the gamble of repeat by really treating tiny sickness. This benefit is deficient in other sub-type populaces.

Our review has a few limits including the review idea of the information and the way that it is a solitary organization examination.

Then again, the profitable of our review is the general huge homogenous companion of just bosom disease patients with single mind metastases who had resection. The vast majority of the Ongoing writing of post-usable radiotherapy examined all sub sorts of disease in a similar way without thought of the different science of every growth type.

CONCLUSION

Page 7

Cerebrum metastases from bosom disease stay a day to day existence threating condition. Medical procedure assumes a basic part in the therapy of enormous suggestive mind metastases for which post-usable radiotherapy is fundamental.

Picking a system with a portion of more than 40Gy (BED) appear to be significant for accomplishing neighborhood control and without increment poisonousness.

We have characterized the clinical qualities related with nearby disappointment among mind metastases of bosom disease beginning following careful resection and post-usable illumination. Higher radiation portion is related with both higher paces of neighborhood control yet in addition expanded paces of radiation cerebrum injury. Bigger paths need to address individualization of the dose concerning every single clinical variable.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

OH- study conception and design, data collection, statistical analysis. ZS, YL-data collection, analysis and interpretation of results TKE, OG- analysis and interpretation of results. ZC,AW- data collection. AT- draft manuscript preparation, analysis and interpretation of results.

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

IRB approval number 0265-23-SMC.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

This article has not been published before. All authors read this manuscript and approved it submission.

AVAILABILITY OF SUPPORTING DATA

None.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None.

FUNDING

None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wang Y, Ye F, Liang Y, Yang Q (2021) Breast cancer brain metastasis: Insight into molecular mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. Br J Cancer. 125(8):1056-1067.
- Corti C, Antonarelli G, Criscitiello C, Lin NU, Carey LA, et al. (2022) Targeting brain metastases in breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 103:102324.
- Sava A, Costea CF, Vatavu R, Grigore M, Turliuc MD, et al. (2021) Brain metastases originating in breast cancer: Clinical-pathological analysis and immunohistochemical profile. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 62(2):435.
- Ene CI, Ferguson SD (2022) Surgical management of brain metastasis: Challenges and nuances. Front Oncol. 12:847110.
- 5. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, Dempsey RJ, Mohiuddin M, et al. (1998) Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain: A randomized trial. JAMA. 280(17):1485-1489.
- Kocher M, Soffietti R, Abacioglu U, Villa S, Fauchon F, et al. (2011) Adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation after radiosurgery or surgical resection of one to three cerebral metastases: Results of the EORTC 22952-26001 study. J Clin Oncol. 29(2):134-141.

 Mahajan A, Ahmed S, McAleer MF, Weinberg JS, Li J, et al. (2017) Post-operative stereotactic radiosurgery versus observation for completely resected brain metastases: A single-centre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 18(8):1040-1048.

Page 8

- Brown PD, Ballman KV, Cerhan JH, Anderson SK, Carrero XW, et al. (2017) Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery compared with whole brain radiotherapy for resected metastatic brain disease (NCCTG N107C/CEC· 3): A multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 18(8):1049-1060.
- Benedict SH, Yenice KM, Followill D, Galvin JM, Hinson W, et al. (2010) Stereotactic body radiation therapy: The report of AAPM Task Group 101. Med Phys. 37(8): 4078-4101.
- Redmond KJ, De Salles AA, Fariselli L, Levivier M, Ma L, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for postoperative metastatic surgical cavities: A critical review and International Stereotactic Radiosurgery Society (ISRS) practice guidelines. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 111(1):68-80.
- Kumar AM, Miller J, Hoffer SA, Mansur DB, Coffey M, et al. (2018) Postoperative hypofractionated stereotactic brain radiation (HSRT) for resected brain metastases: Improved local control with higher BED 10. J Neurooncol. 139:449-454.
- Gui C, Moore J, Grimm J, Kleinberg L, McNutt T, et al. (2018) Local recurrence patterns after postoperative stereotactic radiation surgery to resected brain metastases: A quantitative analysis to guide target delineation. Pract Radiat Oncol. 8(6):388-396.
- 13. Musunuru HB, Witt JS, Yadav P, Francis DM, Kuczmarska-Haas A, et al. Impact of adjuvant fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy dose on local control of brain metastases. J Neurooncol. 145:385-390.
- 14. Minniti G, Niyazi M, Andratschke N, Guckenberger M, Palmer JD, et al. (2021) Current status and recent

advances in resection cavity irradiation of brain metastases. Radiat Oncol. 16:1-4.

- 15. Van Leeuwen CM, Oei AL, Crezee J, Bel A, Franken NA, et al. (2018) The alfa and beta of tumours: A review of parameters of the linear-quadratic model, derived from clinical radiotherapy studies. Radiat Oncol. 13:1-11.
- Iorio-Morin C, Masson-Côté L, Ezahr Y, Blanchard J, Ebacher A, et al. (2014) Early Gamma Knife stereotactic radiosurgery to the tumor bed of resected brain metastasis for improved local control. J Neurosurg. 121(Suppl_2):69-74.
- 17. Ahmed KA, Freilich JM, Abuodeh Y, Figura N, Patel N, et al. (2014) Fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy to the post-operative cavity for radioresistant and radiosensitive brain metastases. J Neurooncol. 118:179-186.
- Keller A, Doré M, Cebula H, Thillays F, Proust F, et al. (2017) Hypofractionated stereotactic radiation therapy to the resection bed for intracranial metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 99(5):1179-1189.
- 19. Wang H, Liu X, Jiang X, Song Y, Wang X, et al. (2019) Cystic brain metastases had slower speed of tumor shrinkage but similar prognosis compared with solid tumors that underwent radiosurgery treatment. Cancer Manag Res. 1753-1763.
- 20. Sun B, Huang Z, Wu S, Ding L, Shen G, et al. (2016) Cystic brain metastasis is associated with poor prognosis in patients with advanced breast cancer. Oncotarget. 7(45): 74006.