



The Role of Feed Additives in Enhancing Digestive Efficiency in Livestock

Samuel Donovan*

Department of Animal Science, Meadow field University, Toronto, Canada

DESCRIPTION

Efficient digestion is a major determinant of livestock productivity, affecting growth rate, feed utilization and overall health. Feed additives are increasingly applied to improve digestive efficiency by supporting enzymatic activity, regulating gut microflora and enhancing nutrient absorption. These additives encompass a variety of compounds, including microbial supplements, enzymes, organic acids and plant-based extracts, each contributing to specific aspects of digestion and metabolism. Understanding the impact of feed additives on digestive efficiency is essential for optimizing animal performance and economic outcomes. Probiotics are one of the most widely researched feed additives. These live microorganisms, primarily strains of *Lactobacillus*, *Bifid* bacterium and *Bacillus*, colonize the gut and compete with pathogenic organisms for nutrients and attachment sites. By stabilizing intestinal microflora, probiotics prevent digestive disturbances, reduce the risk of diarrhea and support nutrient absorption. In poultry, probiotic supplementation has been shown to improve feed conversion ratios and body weight gain, particularly during stressful periods such as early growth or feed changes. In swine, probiotics enhance post-weaning adaptation and support steady growth by maintaining intestinal integrity (1-4).

Prebiotics are non-digestible compounds that serve as food for beneficial gut bacteria. Mannan oligosaccharides, fructo oligosaccharides and inulin are commonly used prebiotics that selectively stimulate the growth of favorable microbes. When used in combination with probiotics, prebiotics can enhance microbial activity and short-chain fatty acid production, which in turn supports energy supply and gut health. Prebiotic supplementation has been associated with

improved nutrient utilization and reduced incidence of enteric infections in both monogastric and ruminant species. Enzymes are essential in diets rich in fibrous plant materials, where endogenous digestive enzymes may be insufficient. Exogenous enzymes, such as cellulases, xylanases, amylases and proteases, facilitate the breakdown of complex carbohydrates, proteins and other macromolecules. Enhanced breakdown increases the availability of energy and amino acids, leading to improved growth performance (5,6). In poultry, xylanase supplementation in wheat-based diets has been shown to improve feed intake and weight gain, while phytase in pig diets increases phosphorus availability and reduces environmental excretion of this mineral (7).

Organic acids, including citric, formic and lactic acids, support digestive efficiency by lowering gut pH and inhibiting pathogenic bacteria. Acidification of the digestive tract improves mineral solubility and promotes optimal conditions for beneficial microbes. Organic acids can also stimulate enzyme activity and support better nutrient breakdown. Their application in young animals is particularly valuable, as immature digestive systems are more susceptible to pathogen colonization and inefficient nutrient absorption (8). Plant-derived compounds offer additional benefits to digestion. Essential oils, flavonoids and tannins may regulate gut microbiota, stimulate digestive secretions and enhance enzyme function. While their mechanisms are complex, research indicates that proper use can improve feed efficiency and reduce reliance on synthetic additives. Inclusion rates must be carefully monitored; as excessive levels may reduce palatability or interfere with nutrient absorption (9).

Assessing the effectiveness of feed additives in improving digestive efficiency requires careful monitoring of production and physiological outcomes. Parameters such as feed intake,

Received: 25-Nov-2025; Manuscript No: IPJASLP-25-23857; **Editor assigned:** 28-Nov-2025; Pre QC No: IPJASLP-25-23857 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 12-Dec-2025; QC No: IPJASLP-25-23857; **Revised:** 19-Dec-2025; Manuscript No: IPJASLP-25-23857 (R); **Published:** 26-Dec-2025; DOI: 10.36648/2577-0594.9.4.75

Corresponding author: Samuel Donovan, Department of Animal Science, Meadow field University, Toronto, Canada; E-mail: samuel.donovan@meadowfield.edu

Citation: Donovan S (2025) The Role of Feed Additives in Enhancing Digestive Efficiency in Livestock. J Animal Sci. 9:75.

Copyright: © 2025 Donovan S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

weight gain, feed conversion ratio, fecal consistency, nutrient digestibility and gut microbiota composition provide a comprehensive picture of digestive performance. Combining these measures with practical observations on animal health and behavior allows producers to determine which additives offer consistent benefits under farm conditions. Economic considerations are closely tied to digestive efficiency. Additives that enhance nutrient absorption reduce feed waste, lower feeding costs and increase the efficiency of nutrient conversion into growth or production. While the initial cost of feed additives may be significant, improved feed utilization and reduced disease-related losses often justify their use. Strategic selection of additives, considering species, diet composition and production stage, ensures maximum return on investment (10).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, feed additives are a valuable tool for improving digestive efficiency in livestock. Probiotics, prebiotics, enzymes, organic acids and plant-derived compounds contribute to enhanced nutrient absorption, improved feed conversion and reduced digestive disturbances. Continuous evaluation of animal performance, combined with targeted application of additives, allows producers to maintain healthy, efficient and productive livestock populations. Integrating these strategies into routine feeding programs supports sustainable livestock production and economic viability.

REFERENCES

1. Yalcin S, Şahin K, Tuzcu M, Bilgen G, Özkan S, et al. (2019). Muscle structure and gene expression in pectoralis major muscle in response to deep pectoral myopathy induction in fast- and slow-growing commercial broilers. *Br Poult Sci.* 60(3):195-201
2. Baéza E, Guillier L, Petracci M (2022). Production factors affecting poultry carcass and meat quality attributes. *Animal.* 16:100331.
3. Kulikova NA, Perminova IV (2021). Interactions between humic substances and microorganisms and their implications for nature-like bioremediation technologies. *Molecules.* 26(9):2706.
4. Bodkowski R, Czyż K, Wyrstek A, Cholewińska P, Sokoła-Wysoczańska E, et al (2020). The effect of CLA-rich isomerized poppy seed oil on the fat level and fatty acid profile of cow and sheep milk. *Animals.* 10(5):912.
5. Kim YJ, Jin SK, Yang HS (2009). Effect of dietary garlic bulb and husk on the physicochemical properties of chicken meat. *Poult Sci.* 88(2):398-405.
6. El-Azzouny MM, El-Demerdash AS, Seadawy HG, Abou-Khadra SH (2018). Antimicrobial effect of garlic (*Allium sativum*) and thyme (*Zataria multiflora* Boiss) extracts on some food borne pathogens and their effect on virulence gene expression. *CMB.* 64(10):79-86.
7. Hiscock HM, Leishman EM, Vanderhout RJ, Adams SM, Mohr J, et al (2022). Describing the relationships among meat quality traits in domestic turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*) populations. *Poult sci.* 101(10):102055.
8. Leishman EM, Ellis J, van Staaveren N, Barbut S, Vanderhout RJ, et al (2021). Meta-analysis to predict the effects of temperature stress on meat quality of poultry. *Poult sci.* 100(11):101471.
9. Pérez-Vendrell AM, Hernandez JM, Llauro L, Schierle J, Brufau J, et al (2001). Influence of source and ratio of xanthophyll pigments on broiler chicken pigmentation and performance. *Poult sci.* 80(3):320-326.
10. Brzoska F, Sliwinski B, Stecka K (2012). Effect of *Lactococcus lactis* vs. *Lactobacillus* Spp. bacteria on chicken body weight, mortality, feed conversion and carcass quality. *Ann Anim Sci.* 12(4):549.