Review Article

iMedPub Journals
http://www.imedpub.com

Journal of Drug Abuse
2471-853X

2016
Vol. 2 No. 2: 16

DOI: 10.21767/2471-853X.100025

The "Legal Highs" of Novel Drugs of Abuse

Pierluigi Pompei, Maria Vittoria Micioni Di Bonaventura and Carlo Cifani

School of Pharmacy, Unit of Pharmacology, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino, Italy

Corresponding author: Pierluigi Pompei

pete.pompei@unicam.it

Unit of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy.

Tel: +39 0737 403317

Citation: Pompei P, Di Bonaventura MVM, Cifani C. The "Legal Highs" of Novel Drugs of Abuse. J Drug Abuse. 2016, 2:2.

Abstract

The abuse of drugs is a widespread and growing issue, both in United States and Europe, as a number of synthetic drugs have raised popularity over the past years for recreational use. Moreover, the nature of addiction is often debated as either a *lifestyle choice* that may underline a *physiological vulnerability,* or a chronic brain disease with remarkable epigenetic, neurodevelopmental and sociocultural components. Consciousness and treatment of new drugs of abuse give challenges for health care practitioners primarily due to a lack of quantitative reports. As law enforcements struggle to ban these often referred as "legal highs", new compounds are produced. Also, a major problem in tracking these drugs is that they are easily available through head shops, the web and other sources, therefore giving rise to a high risk of suspected intoxication. The aim of this article is to highlight the pharmaco-toxicological features of some common drugs of abuse such as central nervous system stimulants as synthetic cannabinoids, synthetic cathinones, gabapentin, acetyl fentanyl, phenethylamine called NBOMe, hallucinogenic mushrooms, piperazines, tryptamines, salvia, methoxetamine, kratom and performance-enhancing drugs. The tremendous heterogeneity of these drugs results in variable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects, thus suspected intoxication is a priority diagnosis in order to ensure safety of patients and needs to be handled with the guide of the patient's symptoms through specific and detailed urine and blood analysis.

Keywords: Drugs of abuse; Cannabinoids; Cathinones; Salvia; Kratom; Gabapentin

Received: May 02, 2016; **Accepted:** May 31, 2016; **Published:** June 07, 2016

Introduction

Drugs of abuse are currently a growing problem, especially in the most westernized countries, whereas novel drugs have become increasingly popular. Drug addiction is described as a progression from impulsive to compulsive behavior, ending in chronic, relapsing drug taking. Patients with impulse control disorders experience an increasing sense of tension or arousal before committing an impulsive act; pleasure, gratification or relief at the time of committing the act; and then regret, selfreproach or guilt after the act [1]. The nature of addiction is often debated as either a *lifestyle choice* that may underline a *physiological vulnerability.* The development of the aversive emotional state that drives the negative reinforcement of addiction is termed the 'dark side' of addiction [2].

A brief description of the mechanisms of action through which drugs of abuse exert their reinforcing effects is that they trigger supraphysiologic surges of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens that activate the direct striatal pathway via Dopamine 1 (D1) receptors and inhibit the indirect striato-cortical pathway via Dopamine D2 (D2) receptors [3].

Drugs modulate the expression of genes involved in neuroplasticity via epigenetic and RNA modifications, thus altering intracellular cascades and the neuronal circuits whose dysfunction have been implicated in the long-lasting changes associated with addiction [4, 5]. It is important for health care practitioners to keep up with "the latest" of the drugs of abuse, especially due to lack of quantitative reporting and surveillance and to recognize substance use disorders in order for patients to be transitioned to the most appropriate recovery hospital or clinic. Patient management is primarily driven by the symptoms and basic laboratory screenings are important to help diagnosis and organ damage [6]. Many of these novel drugs have similar effects and respond well to careful supportive management. On the other hand, typical toxic symptoms are not precipitated equally by many of these agents, because most new designer drugs are not detected with conventional drug testing. A quick look into

the epidemiology shows that in 2015 in Europe an estimated 5,7% of young adults (15-34 years old) have used cannabinoids, a 1% have used cocaine, a 0,5% and 0,6% amphetamine and ecstasy respectively, whereas a 1,3 millions of adults (15-64 years old) have consumed opioids [7]. On the other hand, an estimated 23,9 million Americans (12 years old or older) are currently under illicit abuse drugs. In this case the illicit drugs routinely surveyed include hashish, cocaine, hallucinogens, marijuana [8]. So far with the most known abuse substances, but there is an increasing evidence of a over-the-counter drug abuse and misuse, such as weight control drugs (some of them may contain pseudoephedrine, banned by the WADA (World Antidoping Agency) [9]. The majority of the new drugs are synthetic cannabinoids, amphetamine-like stimulants, opioid-like substances, or hallucinogens [10, 11]. In this article the pharmacology and clinical effects of these drugs are described.

Performance-enhancing drugs

Currently, these compounds can not be considered drugs of abuse *per se*, but the popularity and the repetitive use, especially among athletes, may eventually lead to tolerance and addiction with consumption at higher doses. Performance-enhancing drugs, whether they are prescription-based such as anabolic steroids or growth hormone or sold in sport nutrition shops, are becoming more popular among athletes as pre and post-workout supplements, based upon the drive and incentive to perform at always higher levels. They may then represent putative drugs of abuse, as long as they may exert reinforcing effect by activating reward circuits in the brain. Initial drug assumption is largely a voluntary behavior, but continued drug use may impair brain function by interfering with the capacity to exert self-control over drug-taking behavior, thus rendering the brain more sensitive to stress and eventually to negative moods [3-12].

NBOME

Newer drugs, such as NBOMe have gained popularity over the past years. They are phenethylamine derivatives of the 2C group of hallucinogen. The most common of these drugs is 25C-NBOMe which has legally replaced the lysergic acid (LSD) [13-15]. Administration route may include buccal, sublingual, nasal, oral, parenteral, rectal and inhalation [12]. They show both a stimulatory and hallucinogen clinical effect [16, 17]. Their symptoms may include nausea, vomiting, dizziness, diarrhea, headaches, body aches, depression confusion and hallucination [18].

Hallucinogenic mushrooms

Also various "magic mushrooms" have also long been used for inducing hallucinations experiences and show a large variation in potency. Species of Psilocybe produce the alkaloid psilocybin (4-phosphoryloxy-N, Ndimethyltryptamine), which is hydrolysed to psilocin in the gut. Psilocybin is an agonist of several serotonin subreceptors (5-HT), including 5-HT1A, 5-HT1D, 5-HT2A, and 5-HT2C, and it binds to these receptors with various degrees of affinity (for a review, see [19]).

This compound mimics a serotonin uptake inhibitor, invokes psychotropic experiences and have similar effects to DMT and other hallucinogenic compounds [20]. There are 22 species of mushrooms in the genus Psilocybe that contain psilocybin in the United States and Canada [21], as well as a number of species in other genera that contain psilocybin [22]. There is little information regard potential synergistic and/or antagonist impacts on humans if different drugs are taken in combination. However it may be speculated that alcohol may enhance the adverse effects induced by "magic mushrooms". Infact both psilocine and psilocybine are rapidly inactivated by the enzyme MAO (mono amine oxidase, which catalyses the oxidative deamination of biogenic amines). Acetaldehyde, the primary metabolite of ethanol, reacts with endogenous biogenic amines thereby producing the MAO-inhibitors tetrahydroisoquinolines and b-carbolines (tryptolines) (see review [23]). Also tobacco use is associated with lowered levels of MAO in the brain and peripheral organs [24, 25]. Tobacco smokers may therefore experience more pronounced desired and adverse effects of magic mushrooms compared to non-smoker (see review [23]).

Synthetic cathinones

Stimulants are a variety of substances able to enhance focus and wakefulness, mood, and ultimately decrease ingestive behavior. Well known are nicotine, methylxanthines and amphetamines. More recently, cathinones, also known as bath salts, have been added. They were marketed as “legal highs” as central nervous system (CNS) stimulants and “not for human consumption” to avoid regulatory oversights [26]. Their mechanism of action is similar to other stimulants, therefore changing monoamine transporters through which serotonin, dopamine and norepinephrine are taken from central synaptic clefts, resulting in increased postsynaptic neurotransmission [27].

They are found in the leaves of the khat plant (*Catha edulis*) which also contains norephedrine [28, 29]. Many are the routes of administration for bath salts, varying from insufflating (snorting) to oral ingestion, but also intravenous, intramuscular and per-rectum administration [30-32]. Stimulants are strongly searched after as they show psychoactive effects such as increased energy, decreased appetite and decreased sleep. Therefore, their use could be as rewarding as drinking caffeine or chewing khat or coca leaves for cognitive enhancing performaces, but it may also result in severe addiction or psychiatric disorders, especially paranoia and hallucinations [33, 34]. Cardiovascular effects may also be related to the stimulant effects of cathinones, with symptoms including chest pain, palpitations, hypertension and tachycardia [30, 31]. Furthermore, long-term effects of bath salts are still unknown.

Synthetic cannabinoids

Synthetic cannabinoids (SC) refer to a growing of man made chemicals and represent one the most illicit substances both worldwide and in the United States, that are either sprayed on dried, shredded plant material, so they can be smoked as herbal incense or sold in liquid form to be vaporized and inhaled in e-cigarettes [35]. They have similar psychotropic effects to marijuana that contains the active component

Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) [36-38]. These products may be found in "head shops", convenience stores, and over the Internet as herbal incense or air fresheners and were marketed as "not for human consumption" [39]. Many substances compose SC and "K2" and "Spice" are the most common. They act through cannabinoid receptors with a large number of biologic targets. A high density of CB1 receptors are present in the brain and modulate gamma-aminobutyrric acid (GABA) and glutamate transmission, whereas CB2 receptors are found in the CNS and in peripheral tissues (spleen and immune cells) and mediate immunosuppression [26-40]. Onset and duration appear to be similar to marijuana but vary based on the product ingested [41]. Clinical effects of SC comprise a variety of target organs, such as CNS, heart, gut, kidney, eye. Other effects are on metabolism and hyperthermia, tolerance, withdrawal and dependence. Adverse effects include anxiety, paranoia, hallucinations, sedation, psychosis and seizures [26-39]. Cardiovascular effects include hypertension and tachycardia [42, 43]. Other adverse effects may include nausea, vomiting, and acute kidney injury [44-46]. Long-term and chronic effects of SC use are difficult to characterize and unknown. Nevertheless, long-term users may be at increased risk for new-onset and relapse of psychosis and reduced brain volume and emotional processing [47, 48]. Moreover, cognitive deficits and memory impairment were reported with chronic marijuana use [49].

Gabapentin

Gabapentin was approved in the United States in 1993 for the treatment of seizure disorder, but since that time, it has increasingly been prescribed for a number of other conditions. It is a analog that is structurally related to GABA, but it does not bind to the GABA receptors or affect GABA binding, uptake, or degradation. Nevertheless, blockinging voltage-dependent calcium channels, Gabapentin results to affect CNS [50]. Because of its CNS effects, recent findings have shown that Gabapentin might become a drug of abuse. So far, it may have benefit for some anxiety disorders and has clearer efficacy for alcohol craving and withdrawal symptoms and may play a role in adjunctive treatment of opioid dependence. More recently, it has been shown that gabapentin is increasingly used by patients in methadone maintenance programs to get "legal highs". It is apparently effective and safe, but comes with the potential for misuse and negative sequelae (Joseph Insler, Medscape Medical News). Eight case reports show the abuse and dependence of gabapentin, occurring in patients with a previous history of drug abuse or dependence [51]. Also it has been demonstrated that abuse of gabapentin is associated with opioid addiction [52]. Further research is required to better clarify the association with abuse.

Kratom

Kratom is an opioid-like tropical tree from Southest Asia, traditionally used by dwellers from Thailand and Malaysia to alleviate musculoskeletal pain and to increase energy, appetite, sexual desire [53, 54]. Other claimed beneficial effects of Kratom include antipyretic antihypertensive, antinflammatory, antidiarrheal and hypoglicemic effects [26]. Recently it has gained recognition in Western countries as a "natural alternative" for self treated chronic pain and a remedy for opioid withdrawal [55]. Kratom is readily available on the Internet and gained popularity in its use and abuse [56]. Most commonly it is use for the hallucinogenic effects but also, to a lesser extend, for management of opioid withdrawal. Kratom contains more than 40 alkaloids that interact with opioid and monoaminergic receptors, even though it is not related to opioids [57]. Mitragynine is responsible for its opioid-like effects [55]. The drug is usually smoked, but it can also be ingested after being brewed into a tea. Onset of effect occurs 5-10 min after assumption and it lasts for about 2 to 5 h [58, 59]. Toxicological effects are rare and only occurs in high dosages [55]. Adverse effects are similar to opioids and include nausea, vomiting, constipation, respiratory depression, itching, dry mouth, increased urination, anorexia and palpitations [53].

Acetyl Fentanyl

Acetyl fentanyl (N-[1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl]-Nphenylacetamide) is one of countless novel psychoactive substances that have been linked to several recent deaths in Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, and Louisiana [60, 61].This drug is an opioid analgesic, chemically similar to the medicinally used fentanyl, but it is not approved for therapeutic uses. Studies suggest that it is 5 to 15 times more potent than heroin [62], approximately 6 times as potent as morphine [63]. Although the pharmacological effects of Acetyl Fentanyl have not been specifically investigated clinically in humans, fentanyl-like substances have been generally associated with euphoria, altered mood, drowsiness, miosis, cough suppression, constipation and respiratory depression [64]. Moreover Fentanyl and its analogs are typically lipophilic, readily cross the blood–brain barrier and accordingly, display a rapid onset of analgesic effects [65].

Acetyl fentanyl is typically administered in transdermal patch or intravenous injectable formulations, as a direct substitute or mixed with heroin or other substances among dependent users [64]. However, acetyl fentanyl exists in a legal gray area: it is considered illicit if intended for human consumption, but it evades regulation if packaged with the qualifier "not for human consumption" [66]. In comparison with traditional drugs of abuse, medical doctors have greater difficulty with the diagnosis. In fact clinicians should suspect acetyl fentanyl was the causal agent if a patient unresponsive to standard naloxone doses was revived by a megadose or responds to naloxone but screens negative for heroin [67]. Thus regulatory challenges are really need, maybe with the elimination of the exemption for products containing an analogue of a controlled substance when labeled "not for human consumption".

Salvia

Salvia is derived from the ethnomedical plant *Salvia divinorum*. Recently it has become more readily available to consumers due to distribution through head shops and the Internet. It is endorsed with potent hallucinogen properties in humans. The active compound, salvironin A is a selective high efficacy kappa-opioid receptor (KOPr) agonist, including mu-opioid receptor (MOPr),

the target of opioid alkaloids, such as morphine [68, 69] and it is pharmacologically distinct from other known hallucinogens in humans. Salvinorin A causes sedative-like and locomotor-decreasing effects in rodent and non-human primate models (including unresponsiveness to environmental stimuli) [70-72]. These effects are qualitatively similar to those of synthetic KOPr agonists, and are sensitive to KOPr antagonism [70-72]. KOPr agonist have neuroendocrine effects, primarily mediated by KOPr at different hypothalamic sites, including prolactin release and also stimulation of Hypothalamus-Hypophysis-Axis (HPA), Adrenocorticotropin hormone (ACTH) and cortisol [73-76]. Salvironin A also results in anhedonia in intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) assays and depressant-like effects in the forced swin test, similarly to synthetic KOPr agonists [77, 78]. These findings may explain that prolonged high efficacy signaling, through KOPr, results in behavioral and neurobiological effects associated with human neuropsychiatric conditions, especially depression-like and anxiety-like states, and specific addictions. Carefully controlled studies in human, initially experienced hallucinogen or Salvia Divinorum users, characterized the effects of salvinorin A smoking (0,75.21 µg/kg), the effect being of rapid onset, peaked by 2 min after inhalation and declined by 30 min [79, 80]. Under these carefully monitored conditions, volunteers reported robust hallucinogenic-like effects, depersonalization and derealization, but no robust dysphoria or aversion [79]. It is unknown if effects in a different population (i.e., non-hallucinogen users) would show a more robust dysphoria/aversion signal, consistent with effects observed in preclinical rodent models [71-77], or dysphoric effects reported with synthetic KOPr agonists in humans [81-84]. A separate study with smoked salvinorin A (in volunteers with previous self-exposure to *Salvia divinorum*) reported dose-dependent and reversible psychomimetic effects, dissociation, and neuroendocrine effects (increases in serum cortisol and prolactin) [84]. A further study examined the effects of *Salvia divinorum* smoking, and characterized subjective experiences including cognitive alterations, which were also robust and time-dependent [85]. Therefore, based upon these cited studies Salvinorin A act as a potent and fast-acting high efficacy KOPr agonist. Still unclear are the potential harm and degree of dependence in humans from recreational use of Salvia. The most common symptoms recognized were confusion or disorientation, hallucinations, giddiness, dizziness, flushed sensation and tachycardia.

Methoxetamine

Methoxetamine has recently become available via the Internet and is marked as “legal ketamine” that was specifically created in 2010 for sale on the gray market as a ‘bladder-safe’ substitute for the dissociative anaesthetic ketamine, from which it is also derived [86, 87]. Preclinical data highlighted a stimulatory effect of Methoxetamine on dopamine neurotransmission within the mesolimbic pathway such as, mood enhancement with hallucinogenic, dissociative aspects and it may have high addictive potential [88]. At higher doses a profoundly altered state of consciousness [89, 90] and psychomotor agitation, anxiety, paranoid and psychotic reactions, disorientation, somatic reactions, cerebellar symptoms as well as acute cerebellar toxicity [91]. It appears to have similar clinical effects to its parent drug and seems not to have a specific type or class of users. A study carried out in south-east London reported that Methoxetamine as used by 315 individuals, mostly men aged 18–59 years [92]. Specifically, 6.4% of users reported using Methoxetamine occasionally, but data by the end of 2012 reported a slight reduction (<3%) in the occasional use of Methoxetamine by clubbers in the UK, which was likely because of local temporary control measures [93]. In fact the secretary of state in the UK made a Temporary Class Drug Order under the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1971, (SI2012/980) for methoxetamine and its simple derivatives, recognizing their potential toxicity without having no legitimate medical or industrial use [94].

Emergency evaluation and patient management

Safety is a major concern and priority, when assessing a patient under the influence of these novel drugs of abuse. Many of them may have an altered sensorium and may be unable to provide a robust history surrounding the ingestion. Once safety is established, initial approach consists of ensuring a patient airway and adequate breathing. Much like treating many emergency patients, the management of the poisoned patient consists of common sense and supportive care. The standard A, B, C (Airway, Breathing, Circulation) approach is the common framework to utilize when treating an intoxicated subject. Also profound CNS depression can result in the loss of protective airway reflexes and intubation may be required in case a patient cannot handle reflex of secretions. Naloxone administration should be considered in patients with CNS and respiratory depression, as well as benzodiapezines may be indicated for seizures having a toxicologic cause. Circulatory status can be assessed through evaluation of the patient's vital signs and perfusion status. Hypertension and hypotension have both been diagnosed in intoxicated patients and these two conditions require appropriate intervention. Sedation can also be required in case of an acutely agitated patient. In spite of the classical pharmacological therapy with haloperidol, diphenhydramine and lorazepam, which have overall some disadvantages, benzodiazepines have been proved effective in the management of agitation whether the cause is a drug of abuse or withdrawal syndromes. At present, benzodiazepines are the drugs of choice in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome but also non-benzodiazepine anticonvulsants carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine [95], topiramate [96], valproic acid demonstrate effective and safe (see review [97]).

Moreover, once the initial recovery has been completed, a more thorough assessment should occur, tracing back the history that elicited the substance of abuse. In this case, laboratory tests may be useful in the evaluation of a patient with altered mental status. Blood testing, such as CBC, prothrombin time, liver profile, metabolic profile and creatine phosphokinase level are useful tools to highlight an end-organ complications from acute or chronic drug abuse.

Conclusion

New drugs and drug use trends often shows up on the scene very rapidly, raising a worldwide problem whose rate of development outpaces that of legislation. Due to the large availability on the market, healthcare professionals should be continuously aware of the newest trends in synthetic drugs abuse and the physiologic and psychiatric consequences of intoxication. Patients, especially those with a history of addiction, should be educated about the dangers of substances. The general population, especially parents, should take notice to help deter experimentation and subsequent addiction, physical injury, and therefore possible death.

References

1 American Psychiatric Association (1994) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4thedn). American Psychiatric Press, Washington DC.

2 Koob GF, Le Moal M (2005) Plasticity of reward neurocircuitry and the 'dark side' of drug addiction. Nat Neurosci 8: 1442-1444.

3 Volkow ND, Morales M (2015) The brain on drugs: from reward to addiction. Cell 162: 712-725.

4 Heller EA, Cates HM, Pena CJ, Sun H, Shao N, et al. (2014) Locus-specific epigenetic remodeling controls addiction-and depression-related behaviors. Nat Neurosci 17: 1720-1727.

5 Nestler EJ (2012) Transcriptional mechanisms of drug addiction. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci 10: 136-143.

6 Andrabi S, Greene S, Moukkadam N, Li B (2015) New drugs of abuse and withdrawal syndromes. Emerg Med Clin N Am 33: 779-795.

7 Emcdda.europa.eu/attachements.cfm/att_239505_IT_TDAT15001ITN.pdf

8 http//samhsa.gov/data/NSDUH/2012SummNatFindDetTables/NationalFindings/NSDUHresults2012.htm#CH2

9 Pomeranz JL, Taylor LM, Austin SB (2013) Over-the-counter and out-of-control; legal strategies to protect youths from abusing product for weight control. Am J Pub Health 103: 220-225.

10 European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Addiction-Europol (2011) 2010 Annual Report on the implementation of Council Decision 2005/387/JHA.

11 Zawilska JB (2011) "Legal highs"—new players in the old drama. Curr Drug Abuse Rev 4: 122–130.

12 Brennan BP, Kanayama G, Pope HG (2013) Performance-enhancing drugs on the web: a growing public-health issue. Am J Addict 22: 158-161.

13 Nimmenann A, Stuart GL (2014) The NBOMe series: A novel, dangerous group of hallucinogenic drugs. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 74: 977-978.

14 Forrester M (2014) NBOMe designer drug exposures reported to Texas poison centers. J Addict Dis 33: 196-201.

15 Case series: 7 patients with confirmed exposure to hallucinogenic stimulant 25I-NBOMe (N-Bomb). The poison Review RRS Web site.

16 Hill SL, Thomas SHL (2011) Clinical toxicology of newer recreational drugs. Clin Toxicol 49: 705-719.

17 Dean BV, Stellpflug SL, Burnett AM, Engebretsen KM (2013) 2C or not 2C: Phenethylamine designer drug review. J Med Toxicol 9: 172-178.

18 Sanders B, Lankenau SE, Bloom JJ, Hathazi D (2008)Research chemicals: tryptamine and phenethylamine use among high-risk youth. Subs Use Misuse: 42389-43402.

19 Passie T, Seifert J, Schneider U, Emrich HM (2002) The pharmacology of psilocybin. Addict Biol 7: 357–364.

20 Wiltshire PEJ, Hawksworth DL, Webb JA, Edwards KJ (2014) Palynology and mycology provide separate classes of probative evidence from the same forensic samples: A rape case from southern England. Forensic Sci Int 244: 186e95.

21 Guzman G (2005) Species diversity of the genus Psilocybe (Basidiomycotina, Agaricales, Strophariaceae) in the world mycobiota, with special attention to hallucinogenic properties. Int J Med Mushrooms 7: 305–322.

22 Stamets P (1996) Psilocybin mushrooms of the World. Ten Speed Press, Berkeley, CA.

23 van Amsterdam J, Opperhuizen A, van den Brink W (2011) Harm potential of magic mushroom use: A review. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 59: 423-429.

24 Fowler JS, Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Pappas N, Logan J, et al. (1996) Inhibition of monoamine oxidase B in the brains of smokers. Nature 379: 733–736.

25 van Amsterdam JGC, Talhout R, Vleeming W, Opperhuizen A (2006) Contribution of monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibition to tobacco and alcohol addiction. Life Sci 79: 1969–1973.

26 Rosenbaum CD, Carreiro SP, Babu KM (2012) Here today, gone tomorrow…and back again? A review of herbal marijuana alternatives (K2, Spice), synthetic cathinones (bath salts) kratom, *Salvia divinorum* methoxetamine and piperazines. J Med Toxicol 8: 15-32.

27 Benzer TI, Nejad SH, Flood JG (2013) Case recors of the Massachussets General Hospital Case 40. A 36 year old man with agitation and paranoia. N Engl J Med 369: 2536-2545.

28 World Health Organization Expert Committee on Drug World Health Organization Expert Committee on Drug Dependence. Assessment of Khat (Catha edulis Forsk) 2006 34thECDD.

29 Prosser JM, Nelson LS (2012) The toxicology of bath salts: A review of synthetic cathinones. J Med Toxicol 8: 33-42.

30 Warrick BJ, Hill M, Hekman K (2013) A 9 state analysis of designer stimulant, "bath salt," hospital visits reported to Poison Control Centers. Ann Emerg Med 62: 244–251.

31 James D, Adams RD, Spears R (2011) Clinical characteristics of mephedrone toxicity reported to the UK National Poisons Information Service. Emerg Med J. 28: 686–689.

32 Wood DM, Davies S, Greene SL (2010) Case series of individuals with analytically confirmed acute mephedrone toxicity. Clin Toxicol 48 :924–927.

33 Koob GF, Volkow ND (2010) Neurocircuitry of addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology 35: 217-238.

34 Dybdal-Hargreaves NF, Holder ND, Ottoson PE (2013) Mephedrone: Public risk, mechanism of action and behavioral effects. Eur J Pharmacol 714: 217-238.

35 Bersani FS, Corazza O, Albano G (2014) 25C-NBOMe: Preliminary data on pharmacology, psychoactive effects and toxicity of a new potent and dangerous hallucinogenic drug. Biomed Res Int 2014: 1-6.

36 Wood S, Sage JR, Shuman T (2014) Psychostimulants and cognition: A continuum of behavioral and cognitive activation. Pharmacol Rev 66: 193-221.

37 Gurney SMR, Scott KS, Kacinko SL, Presley BC, Logan BK, et al. (2014) Effects of synthetic cannabinoid drugs pharmacology, toxicology and adverse. Forensic Sci Rev 26: 53

38 Cheng S, Yeo J, Brown E, Regan A (2012) Bath salts and synthetic cannabinoids: A Review. Medscape.

39 Seely KA, Lapoint J, MoranJH, Fattore L (2012) Spice drugs are more than harmless herbal blend: A reiew of the pharmacology and toxicology of synthetic cannabinoids. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 39: 234-243.

40 Ameri A (1999) The effects of cannbinoids on the brain. Prog Neurobiology 58: 315-348.

41 Harris CR, Brown A (2013) Synthetic cannabinoids intoxication: A case series and review. J Emerg Med 44: 360-366.

42 Lapoint J, James LP, Moran CL (2011) Severe toxicity following synthetic cannabinoids ingestion. Clin Toxicol 49: 760-764.

43 Hoyte CO, Jacob J, Monte AA (2012) A characterization of synthetic cannabinoids exposures reported to the National Poison Data System in 2010. Ann Emerg Med 60: 435-438.

44 Nelson ME, Bryant SM, Aks SE (2014) Emerging drugs of abuse. Emerg Med Clin North Am 32: 1-28.

45 Schneir AB, Cullen J, Ly BT (2011) "Spice" girl: Synthetic cannabinoid intoxication. J Emerg Med 40: 296-299.

46 The White House. Synthetic Drugs (a.k.a. K2, Spice, Bath Salts, etc.) (2014).

47 European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction: EMCDDA (2009) Thematic paper-understanding the "Spice" phenomenon.

48 Hall W, Degenhardt L (2009) Adverse health effects of non-medical cannabis use. Lancet 374: 1383-1391.

49 Gunderson EW, Haughey HM, Ait-Daoud N (2012) "Spice" and "K2" herbal highs: A case series and systematic review of the clinical effects of biopsychosocial implications of synthetic cannabinoids use in humans. Am J Addict 21: 320-326.

50 Sills GJ (2006) The mechanisms of action of gabapentin and pregabalin. Curr Opin Pharmacol 6: 108-113.

51 Mersfelder TL, Nichols WH (2016) Ann Gabapentin: Abuse, dependence and withdrawal. Pharmacother 50: 229-233.

52 Bastiaens L, Galus J, Mazur C (2016) Abuse of gabapentin is associated with opioid addiction Psychiatr Q.

53 Forrester MB (2013) Kratom exposures reported to Texas poison centers. J Addict Dis 32: 396-400.

54 Vicknasingam B, Narayanan S, Beng GT, Mansor SM (2010) The informal use of ketum (*Mitragyna speciosa*) for opioid withdrawal in the northern states of peninsular Malaysia and implications for drug substitution therapy. Int J Drug Policy 21: 283-288.

55 Boyer EW, Babu KM, Adkins JE, McCurdy CR, HalpernJH (2008) Self-treatment of opioid withdrawal using kratom (*Mitragyna speciosa* korth). Addiction 103: 1048-1050.

56 Boyer EW, Babu KM, Macalino GE (2007) Self-treatment of opioid withdrawal with a dietary supplement, kratom. Am J Addict 16: 352-356.

57 Stolt AC, Schroder H, Neurath H (2014) Behavioral and neurochemical characterization of kratom (*Mitragyna speciosa*) extract. Psychopharmacology 231: 13-25.

58 KRATOM (*Mitragyna speciosa* korth) (street names: Thang, kakuam, Thom, Ketum, Biak).

59 Nelsen JL, Lapoint J, Hodgman MJ, Aldous K (2010) Seizure and coma following kratom (*Mitragyna speciosa* korth) exposure. J Med Toxicol 6: 424-426.

60 Ogilvie L, Stanley C, Lewis L (2013) Notes from the field: acetyl fentanyl overdose fatalities—Rhode Island, March-May 2013. Mortal Wkly Rep 62: 703-704.

61 North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (2014) DHHS issues health advisory for deadly new synthetic drug: Acetyl fentanyl detected in specimens associated with three N.C. deaths this year.

62 Higashikawa Y, Suzuki S (2008) Studies on 1-(2-phenethyl)-4-(Npropionylanilino) piperidine (fentanyl) and its related compounds: Structure-analgesic activity relationship for fentanyl, methyl-substituted fentanyls and other analogues. Forensic Toxicol 26: 1-5.

63 Aceto M, Bowman E, Harris L, May E, Harris LS, et al. (1988) Dependence studies of new compounds in the Rhesus monkey, rat and mouse. Proceedings of the 49th Annual Scientific Meeting, The Committee on Problems of Drug Dependence; 1987 June 14-19; Philadelphia, PA. NIDA Research Monograph 81.

64 Drug Enforcement Administration (2014) Acetyl fentanyl (N-(1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)-N-phenylacetamide).

65 Barceloux DG (2012) Fentanyl analogues. In: Palmer RB, editor. Medical toxicology of drug abuse: Synthesized chemicals and psychoactive plants. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Inc 539-545.

66 Stogner JM (2014) The potential threat of acetyl fentanyl: Legal issues, contaminated heroin and acetyl fentanyl "disguised" as other opioids. Ann Emerg Med 64 :637-639.

67 Roberts JR (2013) InFocus: Acetyl fentanyl: New drug of abuse more common than assumed. Emerg Med New 35: 1–28

68 Roth BL, Baner K, Westkaemper R, Siebert D, Rice KC, et al. (2002) Salvinorin A: A potent naturally occurring non-nitrogenous κ- opioid selective agonist. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A 99: 11934-11939.

69 Chavkin C, Sud S, Jin W, Stewart J, Zjawiony JK, et al. (2004) Salvinorin A, an active component of the hallucinogenic sage *Salvia divinorum* is a highly efficacious kappa-opioid receptor agonist: Structural and functional considerations. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 308: 1197-1203.

70 Fantegrossi WE, Kugle KM, Valdes LJ III, Koreeda M, Woods JH, et al. (2005) Kappa-opioid receptor-mediated effects of the plant-derived hallucinogen, salvinorin A, on inverted screen performance in the mouse. Behav Pharmacol 16: 627-633.

71 Zhang Y, Butelman ER, Schlussman SD, Ho A, Kreek MJ, et al. (2005) Effects of the plant-derived hallucinogen salvinorin A on basal dopamine levels in the caudate putamen and in a conditioned place aversion assay in mice:agonist actions at kappa opioid receptors. Psychopharmacology 179: 551-558.

72 Butelman ER, Prisinzano TE, Deng H, Rus S, Kreek MJ, et al. (2009) Unconditioned behavioral effects of the powerful κ-opioid hallucinogen salvinorin A in non-human primates: fast onset and entry into cerebrospinal fluid. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 328: 588–597.

73 Adamson WT, Windh RT, Blackford S, Kuhn CM (1991) Ontogeny of μ- and κ-opiate receptor control of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis in rats. Endocrinology 129: 959-964.

74 Ur E Wright DM, Bouloux PM, Grossman A (1997) The effects of spiradoline (U-62066E), a kappa-opioid receptor agonist, on neuroendocrine function in man. Br J Pharmacol 120: 781-784.

75 Kreek MJ, Schluger J, Borg L, Gunduz M, Ho A, et al. (1999) Dynorphin A1-13 causes elevation of serum levels of prolactin through an opioid receptor mechanism in humans: Gender differences and implications for modulation of dopaminergic tone in the treatment of addictions. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 288: 260–269.

76 Pascoe JE, Williams KL, Mukhopadhyay P, Rice KC, Woods JH, et al. (2008) Effects of μ, κ and δ opioid receptor agonists on the function of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in monkeys. Psychoneuroendocrinology 33: 478–486.

77 Carlezon WA Jr, Beguin C, Dinieri JA, Baumann MH, Richards MR, et al. (2006) Depressive-like effects of the κ-opioid receptor agonist salvinorin A on behavior and neurochemistry in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 316: 440–447.

78 Negus SS, O'connell R, Morrissey E, Cheng K, Rice KC, et al. (2012) Effects of peripherally restricted kappa opioid receptor agonists on pain-related stimulation and depression of behavior in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 340: 501-509.

79 Johnson MW, Maclean KA, Reissig CJ, Prisinzano TE, and Griffiths RR (2011) Human psychopharmacology and dose-effects of salvinorin A, a κ- opioid agonist hallucinogen present in the plant Salvia divinorum. Drug Alcohol Depend 115:150–155.

80 MacLean KA, Johnson MW, Reissig CJ, Prisinzano TE, Griffiths RR, et al. (2013) Dose-related effects of salvinorin A in humans: dissociative, hallucinogenic and memory effects. Psychopharmacology 226: 381-392.

81 Kumor KM, Haertzen CA, Johnson RE, Kocher T, Jasinski D, et al. (1986) Human psychopharmacology of ketocyclazocine as compared with cyclazocine, morphine and placebo. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 238: 960-968.

82 Pfeiffer A, Brantl V, Herz A, Emrich HM (1986) Psychotomimesis mediated by κ-opiate receptors. Science 233: 774-776.

83 Walsh SL, Geter-Douglas B, Strain EC, Bigelow GE (2011) Enadoline and butorphanol: Evaluation of κ-agonists on cocaine pharmacodynamics and cocaine self-administration in humans. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 299: 147-158.

84 Ranganathan M, Schnakenberg A, Skosnik PD, CohenBM, Pittman B, et al. (2012) Dose-related behavioral, subjective, endocrine and psychophysiological effects of the κ-opioid agonist Salvinorin A in humans. Biol Psychiatry 72: 871-879.

85 Addy PH (2012) Acute and post-acute behavioral and psychological effects of salvinorin A in humans. Psychopharmacology 220: 195–204

86 Morris H (2011). Interview with a ketamine chemist: Or to be more precise, an arylcyclohexylamine chemist. Vice Magazine.

87 Morris H, Wallach J (2014) From PCP to MXE: A comprehensive review of the non-medical use of dissociative drugs. Drug Test Anal 6: 614-632.

88 Botanas CJ, de la Pena JB, Dela Pena IJ, Tampus R, Yoon R, et al. (2015) Methoxetamine, a ketamine derivative, producedconditioned place preference and was self-administered by rats: Evidence ofits abuse potential. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 133: 31-36.

89 Corazza O, Schifano F, Simonato P, Fergus S, Assi S, et al. (2012) Phenomenonof new drugs on the Internet: The case of ketamine derivative methoxetamine. Hum Psychopharmacol 27: 145-149.

90 Kjellgren A, Jonsson K (2013) Methoxetamine (MXE)-a phenomenological studyof experiences induced by a legal high from the internet. J Psychoact Drugs 45: 276-286.

91 EMCDDA (2014) Report on the Risk Assessment of 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(ethylamino) Cyclohexanone (methoxetamine) in the framework of the Council Decision on New Psychoactive Substances. EuropeanMonitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA), Lisbon, Portugal.

92 Wood DM, Hunter L, Measham F, Dargan PI (2012) Limited use of novel psychoactive substances in South London nightclubs. QJM 105: 959–964.

93 Hill SL, Harbon SC, Coulson J, Cooper GA, Jackson G, et al. (2014) Methoxetamine toxicity reported to the national poisons information service: clinical characteristics and patterns of enquiries (including the period of the introduction of the UK's first temporary class drug order). Emerg Med J 31: 45-47.

94 ACMD advice on methoxetamine (2012).

95 Barrons R, Roberts N (2010) The role of carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine in alcohol withdrawal syndrome. J Clin Pharm Ther 35: 153-167.

96 Ait-Daoud N, Malcolm Jr RJ, Johnson BA (2006) An overview of medications for the treatment of alcohol withdrawal and alcohol dependence with an emphasis on the use of older and newer anticonvulsants. Addict Behav 31: 1628-1649.

97 Leggio L, Kenna GA, Swift RM (2007) New developments for the pharmacological treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome. A focus on non-benzodiazepine GABAergic medications Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 32: 1106-1117.