

Pelagia Research Library

Advances in Applied Science Research, 2010, 1 (3): 106-111



The critical magnetic fields of superconductivity

Ekpekpo Arthur

Department of Physics, Delta State University, Abraka

ABSTRACT

The effects on the upper critical and lower critical fields for a system with two almost degenerate order parameters is presented.

INTRODUCTION

We now consider effects on the upper critical and lower critical fields for a system with two almost degenerate order parameters. Let us first consider the upper critical field Hc_2 . Such an investigation has recently been carried out including the order parameters of two representations, by [6]. Several other groups have also considered the problem of a single representation whose degeneracy is lifted by the presence of a magnetic ordering. We have to extend our free-energy expression by including the gradient terms.

$$\begin{split} f &= A_i(T) |\eta|^2 + \beta |\eta|^4 + A_5(T) (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_3|^2) \\ &+ \beta_1(+|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_3|^2) \\ &+ \beta_2(+|\eta_1|^4 + + |\eta_2|^4 + |\eta_3|^4 + 2|\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 \cos(2\phi_1 + 2\phi_2)) \\ &+ 2|\eta_2|^2 |\eta_3|^2 \cos(2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3) + 2|\eta_3|^2 - |\eta_1|^2 \cos(2\phi_3 + 2\phi_1)) \\ &+ \beta_3(|\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 |\eta_3|^2 + |\eta_3|^2 |\eta_1|^2) + \theta_1 |\eta_1|^2 (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_3|^2) \\ &+ \theta_2 |\eta_1|^2 [(|\eta_1|^2 \cos(2\phi_1 + 2\phi) + (|\eta_2|^2 \cos(2\phi_2 + 2\phi)) \\ &+ (|\eta_3|^2 \cos(2\phi_3 + 2\phi)] \\ &+ \theta_2 |\eta_1| |\eta_{21}| |\eta_3| [\cos(\phi_3 - \phi_2)\cos(\phi_1 - \phi) + (\phi_2 - \phi)\cos(\phi_3 - \phi) \\ &+ (\phi_3 - \phi)\cos(\phi_2 - \phi)] \end{split}$$

The coupling terms can easily be derived by the decomposition of a Kronecker product $\Gamma_1^* \otimes \Gamma_5 \otimes \Gamma_4^* \otimes \Gamma_4 + c$. c (= $\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 \otimes 2\Gamma_3 \otimes 3\Gamma_4 \otimes 4\Gamma_5$), where Γ_4 is the representation of the gradient D= ∇ - 2eA/c. Only one term can be found in this example.

$$K\left[(D_{x}\eta)^{*}(D_{y}\eta_{3}+D_{z}\eta_{2})+(D_{y}\eta)^{*}(D_{z}\eta_{1}+D_{x}\eta_{3})+(D_{z}\eta)^{*}(D_{x}\eta_{2}+D_{y}\eta_{1})+c.\ c\right]--1.1$$

As an example, let us consider the critical field along one of the main axes, say the zaxis. By neglecting D_z and setting $H\pm = q(D_x + \iota D_y)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\eta\pm =(\eta i \pm i\eta_2)/\sqrt{2}$ ($q^2= c/2eH$, we obtain the linaerized Ginzburg-Landau equations

$$K_{1}(H_{+}H_{-}+H_{-}H_{+})\eta + k(H_{+}^{2}+H_{-}^{2})\eta_{3} = -A_{1}(T)q^{2}\eta$$

$$I.2$$

$$K_{2}(H_{+}H_{-}+H_{-}H_{+})\eta_{3} + k(H_{+}^{2}+H_{-}^{2})\eta = -A_{5}(T)q^{2}\eta_{3},$$

Which are completely decoupled from the other two equations for η_+ and η_- . These latter two equations have their solution leads to a linear temperature dependence of the critical field.

$$Hc_{2}^{(1)}(T) = 1.3$$

$$\frac{C AS (T)}{eC(K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, K_{4},)}$$

where $C(K_1', K_2', K_3', K_4)$ is a constant depending on K_1' , and is obtained from the lowest elgenvalue of an infinite matrix.

A more interesting problem is connected with the $\eta - \eta_3$ equation system, where the coupling term also enters. These equations, moreover, lead to the problem of finding the lowest elgenvalue in an infinite dimensional system. However, a goal insight into the properties of the solution can be obtained if we treat the problem in a perturbative way, assuming that the coupling term is very small (k ... K_1' , K_2') [6].

Starting with the zeroth order, we find two solutions (let us assume $T_5 > T_1$), which correspond to $\eta = |0\rangle$ and $\eta_1 = |0\rangle$, respectively. These leads to the occupation number representation.

$$Hc_{2}^{(0)}(T) = - \frac{c \operatorname{As}(T)}{2e K_{2}^{1}}$$
 1.4

$$Hc_{2}^{(0)}(T) = -\frac{c A_{1}(T)}{2e K_{1}}$$
1.5

Pelagia Research Library

107

Where $Hc_2^{(0)}$ represents the upper critical field (the lowest eyenvalue) immediately below T_5 . If $K_1 < K_2$, there is a crossing point of the $Hc_2^{(0)}$ and $Hc_2^{(0)}$ line at same T['] defined by $A_1(T^1)K_2^{1} = A_5(T^1)K_1$. Below T['], $Hc_2^{(0)}$ is the critical field.

Going to first-order parameter, we write the two order parameters as linear combinations of the states $|0\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. Diagonalizing the matrix in this subspace, we obtain corrections to our former solutions $[(\eta, \eta_3) = (a_0 | 0\rangle, b_2 | 0\rangle)$ and $(\eta, \eta_3) = (a_2, |2\rangle, b_0 | 0\rangle$ respectively],

$$Hc_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{c}{e} A_{1} A_{5} \left[\left\{ (5k_{1}A_{5} - K'_{2}A_{1})^{2} + 8\bar{k}A_{1}A_{5}^{n}\right\}_{2}^{2} - 5k_{1}A_{5} - K'_{2}A_{1}^{-1} > Hc_{2}^{(0)}, \\ Hc_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{c}{e} A_{1} A_{5} \left[\left\{ (k_{1}A_{5} - 5K'_{2}A_{1})^{2} + 8\bar{k}A_{1}A_{5} \right\}^{1/2} - k_{1}A_{5} - 5K'_{2}A_{1}^{-1} > Hc_{2}^{(0)}, \\ 1.6$$

where $\text{Hc}_2^{(1)}$ is the upper critical field close to $T_c = T_5$ and $\text{Hc}_2^{(1)}$ occurs below a certain temperature T^1 . It can easily be seen that sharp change of slope of Hc₂ between the two solutions exists in all orders of perturbation, because there is no finite matrix element between the two states $(\eta, \eta_3) = (|0\rangle, 0)$ and $(\eta, \eta_3) = (0 |0\rangle$ in any higher order of perturbation in the coupling term. This is different if the magnetic field is pointing along some arbitrary direction. Then all four components of the order parameter $(\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ coupled. In such a case a slope change in the critical field is mostly smooth. We have three typical situations

- (a) $K_2' > C(K_1', K_2', K_3', K_4')$. The critical field goes linear with the possibility of a change to Hc_2^{-1} as in (equation 1.5). if $K_1 < C$ (fig 1a); otherwise, see (fig 1b).
- (b) $K_2' < C(K_1', K_2', K_3', K_4')$, K_1' , the critical field Hc_2 as in (equation 1. 5) without any Kink (fig. 1b).
- (c) $K_1 < K_2' < C(K_1', K_2', K_3', K_4')$, the critical field has a kink, as discussed above (fig. 1a).

Finally, we mention the possibility of a phase transition with decreasing field when the fourth order terms in the free energy become important and favour a state with other symmetry than that induced by the magnetic field. This would, for example be the case if we assumed situation (a) and the coefficient β_1 , with the condition ($4\beta_2 < \beta_3$, $\beta_3 > 0$). At high fields a state appears with two finite components of the Γ_3 order parameter (time- reversal-breaking), whereas for low fields a one component state and, depending on the temperature and field, a finite Γ , order parameter component is more favourable.



Fig. 1a & b: Possible behaviours of the upper critical field H in a superconductor with two almost degenerate order parameters situation (a) a crossing of the lowest Landau levels leads to a kink and a change of the high-field superconducting state, situation (b) no crossing occurs.

We turn now to the lower critical field Hc_1 , which is more closely related to the zero-field behaviour of the system. The effect of an additional phase transition on this quantity is of special interest, since it allows a direct observation of an additional phase transition, as we shall show here, and will be compared with experimental data. [7]; [8],[5],[9]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In limit of a London penetration depth very large compared with the coherence length of the order parameter, the main contribution to the line energy of a vortex comes from the magnetic field and the kinetic energy stored in the circulating supercurrent [1]. However, It is essential to take into account that the London penetration depth is not a scalar, but a tensor quantity in an unconventional superconductor. Thus the London equation has the general form

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \left[\wedge^2 (\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{H}) \right] + \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad 1.7$$

where the tensor \wedge^2 is defined as $\wedge^2 = c^2 p^{-1}/8\pi e^2$ with p as the superfluid tensor defined by the expression for the diamagnetic current ($J_{dia} = 2e^2pA/c^2$). The equation for 'the field around a vortex is obtained from (equation 1. 6) by replacing the right-hard zero by $\phi_0 n\delta$ (n x r) (where n is the direction of the external field and ϕ_0 is a flux quantum). If the applied field (n) is parallel to one of the main axes

of \wedge^2 , the vortex line will also be parallel to n. For an arbitrary n, however, these directions need not coincide, as discussed in detail by [2].

For this phase the tensor p has the rather simple form

$$\hat{P} = k_1(\hat{x} \, \hat{x} + \hat{y} \, \hat{y} + \hat{z} \, \hat{z}) \, |\eta|^2 + [k_1^{'} \, \hat{x} \, \hat{x} + k_2^{'} \, (\hat{y} \, \hat{y} + \hat{z} \, \hat{z})] \, |\eta_1|^2$$
1.8

with ι denoting the tensor element $p_{\iota j}$. For this example the crystal axis is the main axis of the tensor, because there are no coupling terms between the order-parameters components.

We choose n parallel to such as axix. Then the field calculated from the modified (equation 1.7) is

$$H = n \frac{\phi_o}{2\pi\lambda_o\lambda_p} K_o(\sqrt{x_o^2/\lambda_o^2 + x_\beta^2/\lambda_\beta^2})$$
1.9

where $x_{o(\beta)}$ denote the directions perpendicular to n having the corresponding London penetration depths $\lambda_{o(\beta)}$. (K_o is a modified Bessel function).

This form becomes very simple if we choose n parallel to the x axis, because

$$\lambda_{o}^{2} - \lambda_{\beta}^{2} = \lambda^{2} = \frac{c^{2}}{8\pi e^{2}k_{1}} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{2} + k_{2}^{1}|\eta_{1}|^{2}}$$
 1.10

leads to a completely axial vortex. The line energy is obtained in general from

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int dx_0 dx_\beta \left[H^2 + (\nabla x H) \wedge^2 (\nabla x H) \right], \qquad 1.11$$

where the integration is restricted to the region $\sqrt{(x_0/\xi_0)^2 + (x_\beta/\xi_\beta)^2}$]. Evaluating this integral in the usual way (see, for example, [3], we find (n || x) ^

$$Hc_{1} = \frac{4\pi\epsilon}{\phi_{0}} = \frac{\phi_{0}}{8\pi\lambda^{2}} Ink$$
 1.12

with the Ginzurg-Landau Parameter $K = \lambda/\xi$ (for this case ξ also is constant in the y – z direction).

Now let us consider the change of Hc₁ at the transition from the high temperature phase $D_{4h}(\Gamma_4)$ to the lower temperature phase $D_{4h}(\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_4)$, using the equation for λ^2 and k, we obtain a sharp change in the slope of Hc₁, since λ decreasing due to the additional contribution of the Γ_1 order parameter to the super fluid density. The Ginzburg-Landau parameter drops rapidly from a constant value in the high temperature phase down to a lower, almost constant value [9].

Pelagia Research Library

CONCLUSION

Comparing the two slopes $Hc_1^{\ 1} = (dHc_1/dT)$, above and below the second transition at $T_1^{\ "}$, we find

$$\frac{\text{Hc}_{1}(\text{T}_{1}^{1} - \delta)}{\text{Hc}_{1}(\text{T}_{1}^{1} + \delta)} = \frac{\lambda^{1}(\text{T}_{1}^{1} - \delta)}{\lambda^{1}(\text{T}_{1}^{1} + \delta)} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\text{Ink}} \right| + \frac{1}{\text{Ink}} > 1$$
 1.13

where $\lambda^1 = d\lambda/dT$ and δ is an infinitesimal numbers. This ratio is larger than 1 in the large K limit where Ink >1 (k taken at T₁'), if the London penetration depth is decreasing faster below the additional transition as T₁' than above [5]. Comparing the ratio $\lambda'(T_1' - \delta) / \lambda'(T_1' + \delta)$ with the one of the specific heat $C(T_1' - \delta)/C(T_1' + \delta)$ we find that this condition is usually satisfied if the discontinuity of the specific heat ΔC is positive provided that all coefficients k in the tensor p are of the same order of magnitude. This qualitative behaviour is in agreement with experimental results.

REFERENCES

[1] Abrikosov, A. A, Gor'kov, L. P, and Dzya;oshinki (**1963**) – Unconventional Superconductivity. Rev. Mod. Phys. Vol 63. No 2. Methods of Quantum field theory in statistical mechanics (Dovet, New York).

[2] Balatzkii, A, V, Burlachkov, L. L and Gor'kov, L. P (**1986**) *Rev. Mod. Phys.* Vol. 63. No. 2. Eksp. Teor Fiz. 90, 1478

[3] De Gennes, P. G, (**1966**) *Rev. Mod. Phys.* Vol. 63. No. 2. Superconductivity of metals and Alloys (reissued 1989 by Addison –Wesley, Reading, M. A)

[4] Gor'kov, L. P, (1987) Rev. Mod. Phys. Vol. 63. No. 2. Sov. Sci. Rev A Phys. 9, 1

[5] Hess, D, W., Tokuyasu, T. A and Sauls, J. A, (**1989**) *Rev. Mod. Phys.* Vol. 63, No. 2. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter J.* 8135

[6] Joynt, R(1990) Rev. Mod. Phys. Vol. 63, No. 2.

[7] Kumar, P, and Wolfle, (1987) Rev. Mod. Phys. Vol. 63. No. 2. Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1954

[8] Langner, A. D, Sahn, D and George, T. F (1988), *Rev. Mod. Phys.* Vol. 63. No. 2. *Phys. Rev.* B38, 9187.

[9] Sigrist, M; Rice, T. M and Ueda, K (1989), Rev. Mod. Phys. Vol. 63, No. 2. Phys. Rev. Lett, 63, 1727