The 3rd Pharmacological Revolution

Santos GAAD^{*}

Senac University Center, Brazil

*Corresponding author: Gustavo Alves Andrade dos Santos, Doctor in Biotechnology, Associate Professor Senac University Center, Brazil, E-mail: gusfarma@hotmail.com

Received date: November 22, 2017; Accepted date: November 26, 2017; Published date: December 2, 2017

Citation: Santos GAAD (2017) The 3rd Pharmacological Revolution. Am J Drug Delv Therap. 2017, Vol.4 No.1:4.

Copyright: © 2017 Santos GAAD. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The third pharmacological revolution marks an important stage in the evolutionary process of drugs used by humans. In less than a century, pharmacokinetic parameters and pharmacodynamic aspects have undergone important changes, providing increasingly effective therapeutic responses and a better margin of safety regarding the use of these drugs. Nano-drugs, biosimilars and monoclonal antibodies represent this moment of change and play a relevant role in the technological leap of the pharmaceutical industry. I highlight this transition period as an important milestone in the knowledge of pharmacology, therapeutics and medical practice, represented by the highly complex technological challenges to come.

Introduction

At the same time as Joseph Virchow postulated that therapeutics could be the subject of a study of science in the early twentieth century, medicines began to play a prominent role in solving health problems [1].

When Penicillin was discovered in 1928, even if accidentally, Sir Alexander Fleming, a noted British pharmacologist, had no idea, but at that instant the 1st Pharmacological Revolution was initiated [2,3]. In 1940, Howard Florey and Ernest Chain improved the experiments with the antibiotics, allowing their production in large scale [3]. By the middle of the 20th century there was an expansion of the pharmaceutical invention, with advances in the development of vitamins, sulfonamides, antibiotics, hormones (thyroxine, oxytocin, corticosteroids and others), psychotropic drugs, antihistamines and several new vaccines. Many drugs formed entirely new therapeutic classes. Childhood deaths were cut in half, while maternal deaths from childbirth infections declined by over 90%. Some diseases such as tuberculosis, diphtheria and pneumonia can be treated and cured for the first time in human history. This period become known as the "Golden Age of the Pharmaceutical Industry" [4].

This avalanche of new drugs has brought many benefits to humans, highlighting the cure or treatment of various diseases.

In addition, the increase of the life expectancy, with the consequent improvement of the quality of life of the people [5].

However, some negative aspects were observed: irrational drug use, adverse reactions and drug interactions [6]. These occurrences have forced pharmaceutical companies to revise their clinical research protocols. It was the beginning of the 2nd Pharmacological Revolution, at which time the drug became the center of attention, being seen exaggeratedly as the solution of all health problems.

At that time, synthetic drugs became the largest proportion of the pharmaceutical market and improved research methods, therapeutic efficacy increased and drugs became safer, reducing their toxicity.

The pharmaceutical industry is undergoing a period of expansion with important launches of new molecules, the result of research increasingly targeted and focused on diseases such as cancer, AIDS, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's, diabetes, Malaria, Tuberculosis, among others [7-9].

It is exactly at this moment of transition between the techniques of synthesis and production of new drugs, that the 3rd Pharmacological Revolution is initiated. I am referring to monoclonal antibodies, biosimilars and nano-drugs [10,11].

Nano-drugs and monoclonals are molecules with a high degree of efficiency. In the case of nano technology, the reduction of adverse effects is important and the precision of its effects is impressive [11]. Biosimilars reproduce the actions of synthetics, but with lower costs [12].

The question is whether these new technologies provide all the expected results, economically accessible and with maximum safety and efficacy. Within a few years, the pharmacological bases of the therapy will be modified and the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic profile of the drugs will have undergone profound changes, which certainly will lead to profound improvements in the solution of health problems [13].

References

1. Rudolf Virchow SM (2008) Emerging infectious diseases. 14: 1480-1481.

- 2. Tan SY, Alexander Fleming TY (2015) Discoverer of penicillin chemical engineering news. The pharmaceutical golden era 1930-1960. Singapore Med J 56: 366-367.
- Gaynes R (2017) The Discovery of penicillin—new insights after more than 75 years of clinical use. Emerging infectious diseases. 23: 849-853.
- 4. Chemical engineering news (2005) The pharmaceutical golden era: 1930-1960.
- 5. Weatherall D, Greenwood B, Chee HL, Wasi P (2006) Science and technology for disease control: Past, present, and future In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR (eds.) Disease control priorities in developing countries Washington (DC): The international bank for reconstruction and development.
- 6. Ofori-Asenso R, Agyeman AA (2016) Irrational use of medicines. A summary of key concepts. Pharmacy (Basel) 4: 35.
- Mehić B (2013) Trend of medicine of the 21st century. Bosn J Basic Med Sci 13: 3-4.

- Ngo LT, Okogun JI, Folk WR (2013) 21st century natural product research and drug development and traditional medicines. Nat Prod Rep 30: 584-592.
- 9. Koop CE (2006) Health and health care for the 21st century: For all the people. Am J Public Health 96: 2090-2092.
- Mamo T, Moseman EA, Kolishetti N, Salvador-Morales C, Shi J, et al (2010) Emerging nanotechnology approaches for HIV/AIDS treatment and prevention. Nanomedicine (London, England) 5: 269-285.
- 11. Murthy SK (2007) Nanoparticles in modern medicine: State of the art and future challenges. Int J Nanomedicine 2:129-141.
- 12. Webster PC (2015) Canada's approach to biosimilars questioned. Can Med Assoc J 187: 1199.
- 13. Newman DJ, Cragg GM (2012) Natural products as sources of new drugs over the 30 years from 1981-2010. J Nat Prod 75: 311-335.