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# Studies of energy interaction parameters of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) with hexafluoroacetylacetone and their complexes with Nitrogen donor Ligands 
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#### Abstract

Studies on the difference in energy interaction parameters and comparative absorption spectroscopy, involving $4 f$ $4 f$ transition spectra of praseodymium with hexafluoroacetylacetone $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}\right.$ ], and their complexes with pyridine [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]$, 2-acetylpyridine, 3-acetylpyridine and 4-acetylpyridine $\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}\right.$ ]. The absorption spectrum were recorded in different solvents like methanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, acetonitrile $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and dimethylformamide [( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NCOH}\right]$. The change in coordination sphere in various solvent medium is observed. The $4 f-4 f$ transition spectra yield sharp bands, which were analyzed individually by Gaussian curve analysis, the energy interaction parameters $\left(F_{K}, E^{K}\right)$, Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, bonding parameter $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$, percent covalency $(\delta)$, oscillator strength $(P)$ were calculated and Judd Oflet intensity parameters of the $4 f-4 f$ transitions have been evaluated in order to investigate the formation and the type of bonding in lanthanide ligand complexes in methanol, acetonitrile and dimethylformamide. The energy interaction and Salter Condon parameters were computed on computer using partial multiple regression analysis method.
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## INTRODUCTION

The lanthanide complexes used as an anticancer material. The lanthanides are excellent markers for the diagnosis and prognosis of cancer in bones. Some complexes of lanthanide are used in radiological analysis MRI in human body system. The lanthanide polyamine carboxylate-chelate complexes are used as contrast enhancing agents for MRI.[1].The trivalent lanthanide praseodymium and neodymium can be utilized as absorption spectral probe to investigate the interaction of biomolecule like calcium in vitra. The use of lanthanides as absorption spectral probe in several biochemical reactions involving $\mathrm{Ca}^{+2}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{+2}$ has open up a new dimension for the fast developing field of optical spectroscopy[2].

Some researcher studied the complexes of o-phenanthroline, bipyridine and pyridine, they are concluded bipyridine is a weaker ligand than o-phenanthroline, pyridine has been found most effective in promoting $4 f-4 f$ transitions intensity, and increase in oscillator strength in this solvents due to dynamic ligand polarization mechanism[3].

Misra et al $[4,5]$ studies the solid complexes of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{III})$ from mononucleotide and mononucleosides, dissolved in organic solvents and their spectra were recorded. Their results suggest that these complexes, when dissolved in the solvents, retained their nonacoordinated stereochemistry possesses in their crystalline state. The silent changes in the oscillator strength of $4 f-4 f$ bands as well as the changes in magnitude of Judd Ofelt intensity parameters, has led to an upsurge in the quantitative analysis of spectral behavior of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ and $\mathrm{Nd}($ III $)$ in
crystalline as well as in solution state. This change depends on the nature of solvents mixture and varying affinities of ligands [6, 8].

The present study deals with comparative absorption spectral studies of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ with hexafluoroacetylacetone and their adducts with pyridine, 2- acetylpyridine, 3- acetylpyridine and 4 -acetyl pyridine. Their $4 f-4 f$ absorption spectra of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ have been interpreted in terms of various parameters namely Lande spin orbit coupling ( $\xi_{4 f}$ ), SalterCondon $\left(F_{K}\right)$, Racah $\left(E^{K}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding parameter ( $b^{1 / 2}$ ), percent covalency ( $\delta$ ), oscillator strength were calculated and intensity parameters have been computed on computer using partial multiple regression analysis, which gives information about the nature of bonding between $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ metal and hexafluoroacetylacetone and ternary nitrogen donor ligand complexes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

$\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ chloride heptahydrated of $99.9 \%$ purity from M/s Indian Rare Earths Ltd., hexafluoroacetylacetone of AR grade from Aldrich USA, nitrogen donor ligands viz., pyridine, 2 -acetylpyridine, 3-acetylpyridine and 4acetylpyridine were from Sisco Chemical Laboratory. The solvents used for recording the spectra $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{DMF}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.They were of AR grade from S.d. Fine Chemicals. They are distilled before use. The elemental analysis was carried out on Carlo-Erba Strumentatzione Strada Rivoltand 200 9D Rodono Italy, at CSMCRI Bhavnagar. Pr (III) contents were determined gravimetrically as Oxalate using 8-hydroxyquinoline. All the spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer Lambda-2 UV-Visible spectrophotometer in the range $380-620 \mathrm{~nm}$, in the concentration of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes in 0.01 Molar.

## Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{H F A A})_{3}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right)_{2}\right]$

$\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ chloride heptahydrate 3.734 gm was dissolved in distilled water, the methanolic solution of hexafluoroacetylacetone $6.24 \mathrm{gm}(4.25 \mathrm{ml})$ was added very slowly with constant stirring on magnetic stirrer which resulted in isolation of yellow crystalline solid. After neutralizing the contents with ammonia the product was filtered, washed thoroughly with methanol and was recrystallized by methanol.

## Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{H F A A})_{3}(\mathbf{p y})_{2}\right]$

The $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(h f a a)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ of 4.15 gm added into methanolic solution of 0.80 gm of pyridine and put it for constant stirring. Yield yellow precipitated the amount of precipitated increased tremendously on addition of ammonia solution drop wise. The yellow microcrystalline solid obtained was purified by recrystallization form methanol, the 2-acetylpyridine and 4 -acetylpyridine complexes have also been synthesized by similar procedure. These adducts have been synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis and molecular weight determination, the elemental analysis and molecular weight determination carried out at CSMCRI, Bhavnagar. Molecular weight and Analytical data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Metal $\operatorname{Pr}($ III ) was estimated first by decomposing the chelate by concentrated nitric acid and evaporating it to dryness. The dried mass was extracted with dilute HCl and the metal was precipitated as Oxalate by using 8-hydroxy quinoline method.

The energy of $4 f^{\mathrm{n}}$ configuration consists of two major components, columbic and spin orbit interaction, between 4 f electrons,
$\mathbf{E}=\boldsymbol{f}^{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}}+\boldsymbol{A}_{s o .} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{4 f}$
Where $f^{k}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\text {so }}$ are angular part and $F_{k}$ and $\xi_{4 f}$ are the radial parts of columbic and spin orbit interactions.(Only terms with $\mathrm{k}=2,4,6$ are significant for configurations with equivalent electrons). The Columbic and magnetic interaction between $4 f^{\mathrm{n}}$ electrons leads to energy level of $4 f^{\mathrm{n}}$ configuration and these interaction can be expressed in terms of the electronic repulsion parameters Salter-Condon ( $\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{4}, \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ ), G. Racah $[9,10] .\left(\mathrm{E}^{1}, \mathrm{E}^{2}, \mathrm{E}^{3}\right)$ and spin orbit interaction parameter, Lande spin orbit coupling ( $\xi_{4 f}$ ) as a first approximation. The energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ level is given by the following equation,


Where, $E_{o j}$ is the zero order energy of the $j^{\text {th }}$ level is given by Wong [11, 12]. The zero order energy values are $E_{o j}$, partial derivative $\left[\frac{\delta E_{j}}{\delta F_{k}}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{\delta E_{j}}{\delta \xi^{4 f}}\right]$ for different levels were known. The nephelauxetic effects measures the change in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ with respect to free ion and expressed by nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, which is defined as, $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\frac{\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{c}}}{\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{f}}$

Where, c and f referred to complex and free ion. The amount of mixing of $4 f$ orbital and ligand orbital can be measured by the bonding parameter $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$ which is given by [13-19],
$b^{1 / 2}=\left[\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$
S. P. Sinha [20, 21] introduced another parameter, percentage covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) as, $\boldsymbol{\delta}=\left[\frac{1-\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right] \mathbf{x} 100$

The experimental value of oscillator strength ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{exp}}$ ) of absorption bands were calculated by performing Gaussian curve analysis using the following relationship,
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {exp }}=4.6 \times 10^{-9} € \mathrm{~m}(\bar{v}) d \bar{v}$
Where, $\epsilon_{m}$ is the molar extinction coefficient corresponding to energy ( $\bar{v}$ ).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Fig. 1 shows comparative absorption spectra of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes in dimethylformamide. There is marginal red shift observed in $4 f-4 f$ transition bands of $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ and blue shift observed in 2acetylpyridine, the enhancement is more in case 4 -acetylpyridine indicating that 4 -acetylpyridine is a better ligand than 2 -acetylpyridine and 3-acetylpyridine when recorded in dimethylformamide. The intensity order of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes is ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ [22-27].


Fig. 1 Comparative absorption spectra of $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{1})\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right)_{2}\right] 2\right)\left[\operatorname{Pr}(h f a a)_{3}(\mathbf{p y})_{2}\right], 3\right)\left[\operatorname{Pr}(h f a a)_{3}(4 a p y)_{2}\right], 4\right)\left[\operatorname{Pr}(h f a a)_{3}(2 a p y)_{2}\right]$, and 5) $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(h f a a)_{3}(3 a p y)_{2}\right]$, complexes in acetonitrile

Table 3 shows experimental and computed values of $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents. The r.m.s. deviation $\sigma$ are varies from 119.70 to 170.80 this shows the accuracy of the various energy interaction parameters.

Table 1: The observed and calculated values of molecular weight of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III ) complexes

| Complexes | Cal. MW | Obs. MW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 829.40 | 828.20 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\text { py })_{2}$ | 921.76 | 922.45 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 1002.68 | 1001.89 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa) })_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 1002.68 | 1001.98 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 1002.68 | 1101.15 |

Table 2: The observed and calculated values of analytical data $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes

| Complexes | \% metal |  | \%Carbon |  | \% Hydrogen |  | \% Nitrogen |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 16.46 | 16.20 | 20.08 | 21.71 | 1.08 | 1.21 | - | - |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(\text { py })_{2}$ | 14.53 | 14.98 | 30.91 | 30.16 | 2.58 | 2.60 | 2.92 | 2.90 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(2 \text { apy })_{2}$ | 14.17 | 14.32 | 34.85 | 34.38 | 2.36 | 2.37 | 2.86 | 2.82 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(3 \text { apy })_{2}$ | 14.19 | 14.32 | 34.88 | 34.38 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.87 | 2.82 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(4 \text { apy })_{2}$ | 14.15 | 14.32 | 34.61 | 34.38 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.84 | 2.82 |

Table 3: Observed and calculated energies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents

| Complexes and Solvents | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ |  | $\sigma$ r.m.s. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22538 | 22386 | 21360 | 21233 | 20777 | 20774 | 16930 | 17118 | 128'28 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 22496 | 22391 | 21356 | 21249 | 20777 | 20745 | 16955 | 17129 | 126.47 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) $)_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22535 | 22376 | 21357 | 21125 | 20765 | 20765 | 16921 | 17010 | 119.20 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22538 | 22379 | 21355 | 21126 | 20766 | 20766 | 16923 | 17014 | 117.80 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22546 | 22385 | 21366 | 21135 | 20772 | 20763 | 16916 | 17087 | 120.29 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22532 | 22382 | 21232 | 21351 | 20760 | 20756 | 16920 | 17110 | 133.28 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 22541 | 22380 | 21241 | 21368 | 20762 | 20758 | 16941 | 17121 | 138.62 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22541 | 22365 | 21233 | 21312 | 20748 | 20745 | 16865 | 17122 | 154.42 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) $)_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22543 | 22367 | 21235 | 21314 | 20751 | 20746 | 16870 | 17124 | 153.89 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22521 | 22361 | 21211 | 21325 | 20754 | 20736 | 16875 | 17137 | 145.37 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22421 | 22446 | 21243 | 21116 | 20689 | 20681 | 16827 | 17110 | 170.80 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 22533 | 22369 | 21339 | 21223 | 20758 | 20753 | 16933 | 17118 | 128.91 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22511 | 22330 | 21328 | 21199 | 20733 | 20735 | 16902 | 17084 | 137.21 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22514 | 22328 | 21330 | 21198 | 20740 | 20731 | 16905 | 17088 | 135.23 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\right.$ hfaa) ${ }_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 22515 | 22344 | 21339 | 21191 | 20745 | 20725 | 16912 | 17077 | 134.23 |

Table 4 shows energy interaction parameters Slator Condon $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$, Lande spin orbit interaction $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, bonding parameters $\left(\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) for $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{IIII})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents. It shows that the values of nephelauxetic effect $(\beta)$ in $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes were varies from 0.921 to 0.943 which is less than unity. The value of bonding parameters $\left(\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}\right)$ were varies from 0.125 to 0.145 and which is positive indicate covalent bonding between $\operatorname{Pr}($ III ) and ligand.
S. N. Misra et al [28-32] observed decrease in the values of $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ and $\xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}$ parameters as compared to corresponding parameters of aqueous ion. They also observed that $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes with $\beta$-diketones (bzac, hfaa, ttfa, acac, dbm) and nitrogen donor ligand aniline, bromoaniline and chloroaniline. Their ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ $\rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ transitions are not hypersensitive in orthodox sense, yet these shows high degree of intensification and wide variation in the values of oscillator strength.

Table 5 shows experimental and computed values of Oscillator strength the ( $\sigma$ ) r. m. s. deviation varies from 0.78 to 2.89 .

Table 4: Computed values of energy interaction parameters Slator Condon ( $F_{k}$ ), Lande spin orbit coupling ( $\xi_{4 f}$ ), nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding parameters $\left(\mathbf{b}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) for $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{DMF}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents.

| Complexes and Solvent | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ | $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$ | $\beta$ | $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$ | ( $\delta$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 311.63 | 42.98 | 4.70 | 672.75 | 0.923 | 0.131 | 3.621 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 311.56 | 43.12 | 4.74 | 675.34 | 0.928 | 0.133 | 3.534 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) ${ }_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.71 | 43.11 | 4.78 | 670.61 | 0.921 | 0.127 | 3.336 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.68 | 43.08 | 4.76 | 670.71 | 0.922 | 0.126 | 3.335 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.76 | 42.94 | 4.84 | 671.71 | 0.931 | 0.132 | 3.443 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 311.70 | 43.01 | 4.70 | 652.68 | 0.924 | 0.128 | 3.359 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 311.71 | 43.32 | 4.71 | 662.54 | 0.929 | 0.129 | 3.366 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.81 | 43.13 | 4.73 | 571.39 | 0.921 | 0.133 | 3.536 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.77 | 43.12 | 4.71 | 571.41 | 0.922 | 0.131 | 3.541 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.91 | 43.11 | 4.71 | 631.41 | 0.922 | 0.129 | 3.468 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 311.08 | 42.98 | 4.70 | 655.58 | 0.924 | 0.131 | 3.531 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 311.55 | 42.97 | 4.75 | 676.45 | 0.939 | 0.146 | 3.532 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.50 | 42.93 | 4.73 | 670.68 | 0.937 | 0.139 | 3.521 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.48 | 42.09 | 4.71 | 670.67 | 0,936 | 0.138 | 3.521 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 311.41 | 41.44 | 4.72 | 670.89 | 0.944 | 0.137 | 3.632 |

Table 5: Experimental and computed values of Oscillator strength ( $\mathbf{P x 1 0}^{6}$ ) in $\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{O H}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$

| Complexes and Solvents | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ |  | $\sigma$ r.m.s. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 13.17 | 13.16 | 4.95 | 3.71 | 3.70 | 3.55 | 3.92 | 4.36 | 0.78 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 42.32 | 43.22 | 19.29 | 17.58 | 16.78 | 15.49 | 15.49 | 15.37 | 1.79 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 31.36 | 31.48 | 12.19 | 9.88 | 9.25 | 8.71 | 13.16 | 12.78 | 1.44 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 30.98 | 31.48 | 12.21 | 10.76 | 10.11 | 8.98 | 12.97 | 12.23 | 1.36 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 31.41 | 32.02 | 12.57 | 10.56 | 9.84 | 9.24 | 12.12 | 13.23 | 1.82 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 26.56 | 27.44 | 10.66 | 9.01 | 8.11 | 7.99 | 10.22 | 10.12 | 1.30 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 36.37 | 35.87 | 13.54 | 8.33 | 8.19 | 8.20 | 10.94 | 11.22 | 2.89 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(2 a p y)_{2}$ | 18.67 | 17.85 | 7.68 | 5.18 | 5.19 | 4.97 | 6.58 | 6.54 | 1.44 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) ${ }_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 18.76 | 17.78 | 7.87 | 5.21 | 5.16 | 4.94 | 6.55 | 6.67 | 1.41 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 19.34 | 18.97 | 10.71 | 9.45 | 8.69 | 7.68 | 7.38 | 7.14 | 1.73 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 10.98 | 11.44 | 4.55 | 3.51 | 3.22 | 3.56 | 3.57 | 3.88 | 0.78 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 34.68 | 34.85 | 11.11 | 8.99 | 7.85 | 7.87 | 11.24 | 10.79 | 1.88 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\text { hfaa })_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 32.30 | 31.51 | 9.83 | 6.83 | 6.76 | 6.78 | 9.68 | 9.46 | 1.53 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) ${ }_{3}(\text { 3apy })_{2}$ | 31.96 | 31.60 | 9.75 | 6.75 | 6.80 | 6.81 | 9.56 | 9.50 | 1.51 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 31.82 | 30.98 | 11.12 | 8.99 | 7.18 | 7.69 | 11.58 | 10.57 | 1.95 |

Table 6 shows Judd Ofelt intensity parameters are derived from the observed oscillator strength and $T_{4} / T_{6}$ varies from 0.19 to 0.33 this shows the wide variation of oscillator strength of pseudo hypersensitive transitions. The variation of $T_{2}, T_{4}, T_{6}$ parameters clearly shows that, the high sensitivity towards coordination and position of the substituent as well as the nature of solvent

All these four transitions of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III}))^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \rightarrow{ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}},{ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \rightarrow{ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \rightarrow{ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}} \quad$ and ${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \rightarrow{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are showing substantial variation of oscillator strength which confirm the ligand mediated pseudohypersensitivity of these pseudohypersensitive transitions. These transitions also show variation in intensification and wavelength which is observed by observing values of energies at various transitions. We concluded that 4 -acetyl pyridine is better ligand than 2-acetyl, 3-acetylpyridine because it shows more intensification, easily complex formation than other. D.G. Karrakar shows that the shape, energy and oscillator strength of hypersensitive and pseudohypersensitive transition can be correlated with coordination number of lanthanide metal ion [33-35].

Table 6 Judd Ofelt parameters $\left(\mathbf{T x 1 0}{ }^{10}\right)$ for $\operatorname{Pr}($ IIII $)$ Complexes in different solvents

| Complexes and Solvent | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{4} / \mathrm{T}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 141.60 | 11.18 | 41.39 | 0.27 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 640.32 | 28.89 | 139.80 | 0.25 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) ${ }_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 861.23 | 25.81 | 97.36 | 0.28 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 866.34 | 26.11 | 97.21 | 0.27 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 777.34 | 26.38 | 121.47 | 0.22 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 510.60 | 24.44 | 83.56 | 0.29 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 622.73 | 45.66 | 131.56 | 0.38 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 199.68 | 23.56 | 109.87 | 0.23 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) ${ }_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 201 | 24.60 | 110.45 | 0.22 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 220.34 | 21.99 | 115.41 | 0.18 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 165.55 | 9.68 | 31.98 | 0.30 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 142.19 | 24.96 | 109.78 | 0.22 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(2 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 144.55 | 15.88 | 97.87 | 0.15 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (hfaa) $)_{3}(3 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 146.43 | 15.98 | 96.86 | 0.16 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{hfaa})_{3}(4 \mathrm{apy})_{2}$ | 176.45 | 20.20 | 99.45 | 0.16 |

## CONCLUSION

The results of this study reveals that the $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes with hexafluoroacetylacetone and their adducts with pyridine, 2-acetylpyridine, 3-acetylpyridine and 4-acetylpyridine complexes are octacoordinated, the six oxygen atom satisfying six coordination position and two positions are satisfies with nitrogen from pyridine, 2acetylpyridine, 3-acetylpyridine and 4-acetylpyridine, creates high degree of intensification to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ pseudo hypersensitive transitions, in different immediate coordination environment around Pr (III) as a results of different functional groups of $\beta$-diketone and ligands. It is quite apparent the stereochemistry possible for octacoordination and are interconvertible. The change in the stereochemistry could change the distance between lanthanide metal and ligands. Hence, this could change the extent of interactions between lanthanide and ligands. The interaction between metal and ligands induced substantial changes in the intensities of $4 f-4 f$ transition bands and their perturbation was reflected through oscillator strength and Judd Oflet intensity parameters.
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