iMedPub Journals

www.imedpub.com

2021 Vol.7 No.6:81

**Journal of Prevention and Infection Control** ISSN 2471-9668

DOI: 10.36648/2471-9668.7.6.81

# Skin Hygiene and Infection Prevention: More of Katrin Bohn\* the Same or Different Approaches?

Received: November 05, 2021, Accepted: November 19, 2021, Published: November 26, 2021

School of Environmental and Health Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

## **An Opinion**

The reason of this newsletter is to review studies indicating a link among hand hygiene and nosocomial infections and the consequences of hand care practices on pores and skin integrity and to make guidelines for ability adjustments in clinical practice and for further studies concerning hand hygiene practices. Despite a few methodological flaws and statistics gaps, proof for a causal dating between hand hygiene and reduced transmission of infections is convincing, however common hand washing causes pores and skin harm, with resultant adjustments in microbial vegetation, extended skin shedding, and danger of transmission of microorganisms, suggesting that a few conventional hand hygiene practices warrant re-examination [1]. Some recommended adjustments in practice consist of use of waterless alcohol-primarily based merchandise rather than detergent-primarily based antiseptics, modifications in lengthy surgical scrub protocols, and incorporation of moisturizers into skin care regimens of health care specialists.

Skin hygiene, particularly of palms, is taken into consideration to be one of the number one mechanisms to reduce chance of transmission of infectious dealers through both the touch and faecal-oral routes [2]. Over the decades, bathing, scrubbing, and washing traditions and rituals have come to be installed in the health care placing, however numerous elements advocate the want for a reassessment of skin hygiene and how it's far practiced successfully. First, the growing occurrence of diseases and remedies that compromise immune feature approach those patients are at higher danger for infections. Therapeutic advances permit inclined hosts (e.g., very-low-beginning weight toddlers, men and women with malignancy or HIV infection, and recipients of organ transplantation) to stay longer in a country of heightened vulnerability to infection. Second, the improved availability and use of gloves for affected person care enhance questions about the relative importance of hand hygiene in this era of ubiquitous glove sporting [3]. Yet although gloves may additionally offer a huge measure of protection to patients and fitness care providers, reports of pores and skin harm and sensitization to glove merchandise are mounting and threaten to offer upward thrust to a brand new set of great troubles. Third, there is a constrained armamentarium of antiseptic elements that may be effectively and effectively used on skin over extended intervals of time. In reality, the modern debate surrounding the improved use of antiseptic products, now not best in health care settings but additionally for extra general bathing and washing, has accelerated cognizance on the ability for

### \*Corresponding author:

Katrin Bohn

School of Environmental and Health Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

**■** katrin@snu.ac.kr

Citation: Bohn K (2021) Skin Hygiene and Infection Prevention: More of the Same or Different Approaches? J Prev Infec Contr Vol.7 No.6:81.

the emergence of antiseptic-resistant strains of skin flowers. Finally, health care professionals automatically wash notably much less regularly and for shorter intervals than advocated, and approaches to alternate their behaviour have not been effective.

Use of emollients, creams, and pores and skin protectants. Moisturizing the pores and skin seems to be beneficial, no longer handiest for skin health but additionally possibly for decreasing the losing and transmission of microbes. However, there may be so much variability inside the content and formulations of lotions and lotions and in trying out methodologies that it's far tough to interpret the clinical relevance of many reviews [4]. Hence that is one of the most promising regions of research in pores and skin care. One caution with the use of lotion on arms is that the residual antibacterial interest of chlorhexidine gluconate is neutralized through anionic surfactants commonly observed in most hand lotions. Unfortunately, no matter the fact that a few chlorhexidine-well suited lotions are to be had, many patient care employees are the use of both chlorhexidine-containing hand soap and a lotion that neutralizes its effect [5].

## References

1. Mortimer EA, Lipsitz PJ, Wolinsky E, Gonzaga AJ, Rammelkamp CH (1962) Transmission of staphylococci between newborns. Am J Dis Child 104: 289-95.

Vol.7 No.6:81

- 2. Kjolen H, Andersen BM (1992) Handwashing and disinfection of heavily contaminated hands effective or ineffective? J Hosp Infect 21: 61-71.
- 3. Ehrenkranz NJ (1992) Bland soap handwash or hand antisepsis? The pressing need for clarity. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 13: 299-301.
- 4. Perceval A (1993) Wash hands, disinfect hands, or don't touch? Which, when, and why? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 14: 273-275.
- 5. Lilly HA, Lowbury EJL, Wilkins MD (1979) Limits to progressive reduction of resident skin bacteria by disinfection. J Clin Pathol 32: 382-385.