iMedPub Journals www.imedpub.com

DOI: 10.21767/2572-5610.100039

Insights in Biomedicine ISSN 2572-5610

2018 Vol.3 No.1:4

Role of RN Autophagy Mediated ERV Thiagarajan D* **Suppression in Cellular Senescence**

Abstract

Cellular senescence is defined as a self-imposed, cell cycle proliferation arrest, when cells are subjected to stress encompassing genotoxic, oxidative, replicative damage etc. Senescent cells, secrete plethora of factors including cytokines and chemokines, collectively referred as senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP). SASP aids in clearing up the damaged cells, by recruiting immune cells, known as senescence surveillance. Several studies have reported enhanced expression of endogenous retrovirus (ERVs) in senescence. Due to their bidirectional transcription, ERVs are capable of eliciting interferon response, assisting in immune clearance. In turn, failure of senescence surveillance leads to accumulation of senescent cells, culminating in functional decline and aging. Though ERVs play an essential role in immune clearance, their role in evading surveillance have not been explored. This study examined the possibility of ERV suppression as an escape mechanism of surveillance. The results indicate that prolonged senescence suppresses ERVs via RNautophagy. Autophagy inhibitor, chloroquine (CQ), activates the ERV expression. In conclusion, this study implicates the role of RNautophagy in ERV suppression as a probable mechanism to escape immune surveillance.

Keywords: Senescence; Endogenous retrovirus; Senescence surveillance; RN autophagy; Chloroquine

Diabetes Research Program, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, USA

*Corresponding author: Dr. Devi Thiagarajan Ph.D.,

devithiagarajan@gmail.com

Diabetes Research Program, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, USA.

Tel: +1 646 501 7070

Citation: Thiagarajan D (2018) Role of RN Autophagy Mediated ERV Suppression in Cellular Senescence. Insights Biomed. Vol.3 No.1:4

Received: March 02, 2018; Accepted: March 09, 2018; Published: March 12, 2018

Introduction

Cellular senescence is a permanent state of cell cycle arrest in response to stress, including DNA damage, telomeric erosion, oncogenic activation, mitochondrial dysfunction and replicative stress [1,2]. Accumulation of senescent cells contribute to decline of the regenerative potential and function of tissues, accelerates aging. Senescence have been implicated in aging as well as in aging related pathologies including cancer, metabolic syndrome, neurological disorders like Alzheimer's and Parkinson disease [3]. Hence, senescence is viewed as an essential process to eliminate damaged cells by inducing tissue remodeling [3]. Hallmarks of senescent cells include enhanced senescence associated β - gal (SA- β gal) activity, expression of senescence markers (p16, p21, p19 and pRB) and secretion of a plethora of factors including cytokines, chemokines, matrix metalloproteinases, popularly known as senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [4]. SASP, aid in clearance of senescent cells through both innate and adaptive immune system, termed as senescence surveillance [5]. and often considered as detrimental, because of their inherent mobile nature [6]. Endogenous retrovirus (ERV) are specialized transposable elements that constitute 8% of human genome and have the ability to transpose through DNA or RNA intermediates [7,8]. Though majority of ERVs have lost their ability to actively move across the genome, some may still impact their host by modulating nearby genes. Several human diseases have been linked to ERVs, including cancer, amyotropic lateral sclerosis (ALS), multiple sclerosis (MS) and schizophrenia [9].

Several studies have successfully demonstrated increased ERV expression during senescence and aging [10-12]. Bidirectional transcription of many TEs including ERVs results in dsRNA [13,14]. dsRNAs, thus formed trigger interferon response, facilitating immune clearance of ERV-activated cells [15,16]. Thus, increased ERV could potentiate senescent cell clearance.

Recent work from our laboratory showed ERV dysregulation in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) development. ERVs were increased during early myeloid dysplastic syndrome (MDS) and were significantly suppressed in high risk MDS. Also, it suggests

Transposable elements (TEs) comprise ~50% of human genome

ERV suppression as a potential mechanism in modulating immunogenicity regarding AML development [6].

Current study aimed in identifying the role of ERVs in prolonged senescence. Interestingly, results indicate that during prolonged senescence, ERVs are suppressed via specialized autophagy, RNautophagy. Hence, suggesting ERV suppression as a prospective mechanism to evade immune surveillance.

Case Study

All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at New York University School of Medicine. All mice studied were male, had free access to water and were subjected to 12 h light/dark cycles. WT C57BL/6 male that were 2 months old, were used for the study. For primary skin fibroblasts, 2 mm ear lobe tissues were minced in DMEM buffer containing Type III Collagenase overnight and passed through 50µm filter to obtain single cell suspension. The attached cells were then cultured and passaged in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). HCT116 and IMR-90 cells were purchased from ATCC and maintained in McCoy5A and EMEM medium, supplemented with 10% FBS. Media and FBS were purchased from GIBCO.

Mitomycin C, was purchased from SigmaAldrich. Cells were treated with and without 10 μM MMC for 4hrs, washed and incubated in fresh media. Cells were analyzed 4 or 12 days post treatment.

RNA was isolated using Qiagen RNAesy Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized using superscript cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). qPCR performed using FastSybr green mastermix (Applied biosystems) and were normalized against β -actin. **Table 1** lists the primer sequences used.

For lysates, cells were suspended in RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% TritonX100), with protease inhibitor cocktail. 40 μ g lysate were loaded on 4-15% Bis-Tris gel and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were probed for BNIP3, BECLIN1, ATG5, ATG7, LCIII, p-mTOR and t-mTOR (Cell Signaling Technologies), β -ACTIN (Santacruz), LAMP2B and SIDT2 (Abcam). Bands were normalized to β -ACTIN and quantified using ImageJ.

Data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism software. All data are expressed as mean± standard error mean (SEM). Results were analyzed using two-tailed unpaired t-test. *P* values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results and Discussion

Primary dermal fibroblasts, isolated from 2 months old WT C57BL/6 mice were used in this study. Primary mice fibroblasts were treated with either vehicle or mitomycin C (MMC), to induce senescence [17]. Cells were analyzed 4 and 12 days post treatment. qPCR for senescence markers (p21 and p16), revealed increased expression of both the markers studied (Figure 1A). Results indicate the induction of senescence upon MMC treatment. Further, ERVs (Merv-k, MusD, MuLV and Linc9) were examined via qPCR in mock vs senescent fibroblasts. As

Mice Primers Name	Sequences
MICE p21 FP	ACGGGACCGAAGAGACAAC
MICE p21 RP	CAGATCCACAGCGATATCCA
MICE p16 FP	TCGAATCTGCACCGTAGTTG
MICE p16 RP	CGTGAACATGTTGTTGAGGC
MICE LINC9 FP	TGAGTGGAACACAACTTCTGC
MICE LINC9 RP	CAGGCAAGCTCTCTTCTTGC
MICE MULV FP	TTCTGCTCCTCTTCTGCCCT
MICE MULV RP	GAGGACCCTGGGCAAGAAAC
MICE MMTV FP	TTTCCCGAAGAAGGAGGATT
MICE MMTV RP	GCTTCTGCGGATAGCAAAAC
MICE MUSD FP	ATAGAGGCCGCTTCTTTGC
MICE MUSD RP	TGAGACTCCACCAAATGTCC
MICE ACTIN FP	AGGCCAACCGTGAAAAGATG
MICE ACTIN RP	GCTGAGAAGCTGGCCAAAGA
Human Primers Name	Sequences
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP	Sectional Nuclei Gecel Structure Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGACTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP	Sectional Materia Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP human ERV L RT RP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC CCAGTGTGATATCTTGTGGC
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP human ERV L RT RP human ERV L RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC CCAGTGTGATATCTTGTGGC TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT RP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP human ERV L RT FP human ERV W RT FP human ERV W RT RP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC CCAGTGTGATATCTTGTGGC TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG AGTTAAGAGTTCTTGGGTTGG
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP human ERV W RT FP human ERV W RT FP human ERV W RT RP human ERV W RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC CCAGTGTGATATCTTGTGGC TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG AGTTAAGAGTTCTTGGGTTGG CATGGGAAGCAAGGAACT
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT RP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP human ERV L RT RP human ERV W RT FP human ERV W RT RP human ERV R RT FP human ERV R RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGAGTGG CGAATAGTTACGGTCGGAGG CACAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC CCAGTGTGATATCTTGTGGC TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG AGTTAAGAGTTCTTGGGTTGG CATGGGAAGCAAGGCAATAC
Human Primers Name human p21 RT FP human p21 RT RP human p16 RT FP human p16 RT FP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT FP human ERV K RT RP human ERV L RT FP human ERV L RT FP human ERV W RT FP human ERV W RT FP human ERV R RT FP	Sequences CATGGGTTCTGACGGACAT AGTCAGTTCCTTGTGGGAGCC GGGTCGGGTGAGAGTGG CCAAACTAAAGAAGGCTGACG CATAGGCCCAGTTGGTATAG CTTCAGCTGGCAAGGCC CCAGTGTGATATCTTGTGGC TGAGTCAATTCTCATACCTG AGTTAAGAGTTCTTGGGTTGG CATGGGAAGCAAGGCAATAC CATGTACGTGCAAGGCAATAC

 Table 1 Sybr green primers (Source: Life technologies).

can be seen in **(Figure 1B)**, ERVs were upregulated in 4 days post senescence (Sen 4 d), which then were then downregulated in 12 days post senescence (sen 12 d).

To verify the results, the same experiment was repeated in two different human cell lines- IMR-90 (human diploid fibroblasts) and HCT116 (colorectal cancer cell line). Both the cell lines were induced senescence using MMC and senescence as well as ERV expression were analyzed via qPCR. The results consistently showed ERV downregulation at 12 days post senescence, senescent post 12 days, compared to 4 days post senescence, despite no decrease in the senescence markers, p16, p21 (Figures 2A-2D).

Lysosomes degrade various biomolecules including nucleic acids through autophagy. Autophagy mainly consist of three types namely, micro, macro and chaperone mediated. Recent studies suggested the fourth autophagic mechanism, RNautophagy, to degrade retrotransposon RNA [18-20]. To examine whether RNautophagy was activated in prolonged senescence condition, lysates were made from primary mice fibroblasts, in mock, 4 and 12 days post senescence. Western blot for autophagy related proteins including LCIII, ATG5, ATG7, mTOR, BNIP3, BECLIN1, LAMP2B and SIDT2 were carried out. Results indicate that key autophagic proteins including LCIII, mTOR, and RNautophagy

Vol.3 No.1:4





related proteins-LAMP2B, SIDT2 were suppressed at 4 days post senescence, while were significantly upregulated in 12 days post senescence (Figure 3A).

To further examine, whether autophagy is responsible for ERV suppression, cells were treated with chloroquine (CQ), an autophagy inhibitor 12 d post treatment. When compared to vehicle treated post senescent 12-day fibroblasts, CQ treatment drastically enhances the ERV expression (Figure 3B). This data clearly demonstrates the role of autophagy in ERV suppression.

Senescence is viewed as an essential process for tissue remodeling. While acute senescence aids in wound healing and tissue repair, under chronic settings, senescence contributes to functional decline and aging [3]. Senescent cells, through ERVs and SASP, recruit immune cells, to eliminate them. However, prolonged senescence is the result of evasion of surveillance. This study demonstrates ERV suppression via RNautophagy, as an escape mechanism for immune surveillance.

Increased ERV expression can lead to genomic instability, resulting in cancer development and cancer occurs when cells bypass senescence [8]. However, our results suggest ERV suppression aids in evading surveillance, resulting in senescent cells accumulation, which seems to be detrimental. Nonetheless, just like senescence, ERV expression under acute settings, assists in clearing damaged cells, while chronic expression leads to genomic instability and cancer. Like most of the biological phenomenon, senescence and ERV regulation seems to be a 'double edged' sword.

Autophagy is a catabolic process, where macromolecules are degraded by lysosomes. Autophagy occurs at basal level in normal condition but is accelerated during stress and is essential for biological homeostasis. Apart from the macroautophagy, microautophagy and chaperone mediated autophagy, RNA/ DNAutophagy forms the fourth type, where DNA and RNA are degraded by lysosomes in ATP dependent manner [18,20]. Loss of autophagy has been shown to cause premature aging in many

Vol.3 No.1:4



species [21,22]. Treatment with transcription factor EB (TFEB), a master regulator of lysosomal biogenesis has shown to extend life span in worms and lessen the metabolic syndrome in mice [23].

Conclusion

Several evidences are in favor of autophagy activation to extend longevity. Autophagy activation have been shown to induce senescence [24]. Our results show, that autophagy suppression might help the senescent cells to escape surveillance and

References

- 1 Ben-Porath I, Weinberg RA (2005) The signals and pathways activating cellular senescence. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 37: 961-976.
- 2 Campisi J (2013) Aging, cellular senescence, and cancer. Annu Rev Physiol 75: 685-705.
- 3 Munoz-Espin D, Serrano M (2014) Cellular senescence: From physiology to pathology. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 15: 482-496.
- 4 Evan GI, d'Adda di Fagagna F (2009) Cellular senescence: Hot or what? Curr Opin Genet Dev 19: 25-31.
- 5 Sagiv A, Biran A, Yon M, Simon J, Lowe SW, et al. (2013) Granule exocytosis mediates immune surveillance of senescent cells. Oncogene 32: 1971-1977.
- 6 Colombo AR, Zubair A, Thiagarajan D, Nuzhdin S, Triche TJ, et al. (2017) Suppression of transposable elements in leukemic stem cells. Sci Rep 7: 7029.
- 7 Buzdin AA, Prassolov V, Garazha AV (2017) Friends-enemies: Endogenous retroviruses are major transcriptional regulators of human DNA. Front Chem 5: 35.

contribute to aging. Nevertheless, it is the type of autophagy cargo, which determines the outcome in terms of longevity and aging. This study is in lieu with the observations in AML patients, where ERV regulation correlates with Lamp2, RNautophagy marker [6]. Senolytic drugs under development targets these surveillance resistant senescent cells. Hence, mechanism mediating evasion from senescence surveillance is essential for designing better senolytic drugs and this study provides a possible direction.

- 8 Mills RE, Bennett EA, Iskow RC, Devine SE (2007) Which transposable elements are active in the human genome? Trends Genet 23: 183-191.
- 9 Meyer TJ, Rosenkrantz JL, Carbone L, Chavez SL (2017) Endogenous retroviruses: With us and against us. Front Chem 5: 23.
- 10 Cho YH, Woo HD, Jang Y, Porter V, Christensen S, et al. (2015) The association of LINE-1 hypomethylation with age and centromere positive micronuclei in human lymphocytes. PLoS One 10: e0133909.
- 11 Sun D, Luo M, Jeong M, Rodriguez B, Xia Z, et al. (2014) Epigenomic profiling of young and aged HSCs reveals concerted changes during aging that reinforce self-renewal. Cell Stem Cell 14: 673-688.
- 12 Wang J, Geesman GJ, Hostikka SL, Atallah M, Blackwell B, et al. (2011) Inhibition of activated pericentromeric SINE/Alu repeat transcription in senescent human adult stem cells reinstates self-renewal. Cell Cycle 10: 3016-3030.
- 13 Lehner B, Williams G, Campbell RD, Sanderson CM (2002) Antisense transcripts in the human genome. Trends Genet 18: 63-65.
- 14 Yelin R, Dahary D, Sorek R, Levanon EY, Goldstein O, et al. Widespread occurrence of antisense transcription in the human genome. Nat Biotechnol 21: 379-386.

- 15 Chiappinelli KB, Strissel PL, Desrichard A, Li H, Henke C, et al. (2015) Inhibiting DNA methylation causes an interferon response in cancer via dsrna including endogenous retroviruses. Cell 162: 974-986.
- 16 Roulois D, Loo Yau H, Singhania R, Wang Y, Danesh A, et al. (2015) DNA-demethylating agents target colorectal cancer cells by inducing viral mimicry by endogenous transcripts. Cell 162: 961-973.
- 17 Kram D, Schneider EL, Tice RR, Gianas P (1978) Aging and sister chromatid exchange. I. The effect of aging in mitomycin-C induced sister chromatid exchange frequencies in mouse and rat bone marrow cells *in vivo*. Exp Cell Res 114: 471-475.
- 18 Fujiwara Y, Hase K, Wada K, Kabuta T (2015) An RNautophagy/ DNautophagy receptor, LAMP2C, possesses an arginine-rich motif that mediates RNA/DNA-binding. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 460: 281-286.
- 19 Guo H, Chitiprolu M, Gagnon D, Meng L, Perez-Iratxeta C, et al. (2014)

Autophagy supports genomic stability by degrading retrotransposon RNA. Nat Commun 5: 5276.

- 20 Hase K, Fujiwara Y, Kikuchi H, Aizawa S, Hakuno F, et al. RNautophagy/ DNautophagy possesses selectivity for RNA/DNA substrates. Nucleic Acids Res 43: 6439-6449.
- 21 Lapierre LR, Gelino S, Melendez A, Hansen M (2011) Autophagy and lipid metabolism coordinately modulate life span in germline-less C. elegans. Curr Biol 21: 1507-1514.
- 22 Uddin MN, Nishio N, Ito S, Suzuki H, Isobe K (2012) Autophagic activity in thymus and liver during aging. Age 34: 75-85.
- 23 Wang C, Niederstrasser H, Douglas PM, Lin R, Jaramillo J, et al. (2017) Small-molecule TFEB pathway agonists that ameliorate metabolic syndrome in mice and extend C. elegans lifespan. Nat Commun 8: 2270.
- 24 Young AR, Narita M, Ferreira M, Kirschner K, Sadaie M, et al. (2009) Autophagy mediates the mitotic senescence transition. Genes Dev 23: 798-803.