Relationship of Postoperative Complications from Preoperative Biliary Stents after Pancreaticoduodenectomy. A New Cohort Analysis and Meta-Analysis of Modern Studies

Vic Velanovich, Tariq Kheibek, Muhammad Khan

Division of General Surgery, Henry Ford Hospital. Detroit, MI, USA

ABSTRACT

Context Debate still continues as to the effects of preoperative biliary stents on postoperative complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Some studies have documented increased wound infection rates, while others have not. The importance of this issue rests on whether these postoperative complications are detrimental enough to not recommend preoperative chemoradiation in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. Objective This study is in two parts: 1) a retrospective review of patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy at Henry Ford Hospital; and 2) a meta-analysis of published studies on the effects of preoperative biliary stents. Methods In the retrospective portion, all patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy from January 1st, 1997 through December 31st, 2006 were included in the study. Main outcome measures Data gathered included gender, age, pathologic diagnosis, use of preoperative biliary stent (either ERCP or PTC), all postoperative complications, and in-hospital mortality. In the meta-analysis portion, all studies published from 1990 with either a randomized or quasi-randomized allocation of patients were included. Endpoints analysis were peri-operative mortality, wound infection rate, intra-abdominal abscess rate, and overall morbidity rate. **Results** In the retrospective portion, 181 patients were studied, with 123 (68.0%) of these having preoperative biliary stents. Patients with and without stents had no significant difference in wound infection rate (19.5% vs. 17.2%, respectively), intra-abdominal abscess rate (16.3% vs. 22.4%), any postoperative complication (50.4% vs. 51.7%) and in-hospital death (2.4% vs. 1.7%). Fifteen studies were included in the meta-analysis. There was variation in both the definitions of complications as well as the incidence of all postoperative endpoints among the studies. For peri-operative mortality and wound infection rate, the relative difference favored the no stent group by 0.5% (95% confidence interval: -0.4% to 1.4%) and 5.8% (95% confidence interval: 3.6% to 8.0%), respectively. For intra-abdominal abscess and overall morbidity rate, the relative difference favored the stent group by 2.0% (95% confidence interval: -0.3% to 4.3%) and 0.06% (95% confidence interval -3.8% to 3.9%), respectively. Conclusion Although the use of a preoperative biliary stent increases the postoperative wound infection rate by about 5%, there is no overwhelming evidence that it either promotes or protects from the other complications. As there was variation in the definitions used in these studies, a more uniformed system of complication reporting is required.

INTRODUCTION

For years, obstructive jaundice has been associated with higher postoperative morbidity and mortality rates [1, 2]. It was felt that is was due to hyperbilirubinemiainduced impairment of immunity [3, 4] and nutritional status [5]. Because of this, it was felt that hyperbilirubinemia was associated with a higher incidence of postoperative complications. This lead to a practice of obtaining preoperative biliary drainage,

Received September 2nd, 2008 - Accepted October 24th, 2008 **Key words** Meta-Analysis as Topic; Pancreatic Neoplasms; Pancreaticoduodenectomy; Postoperative Complications; Stents **Correspondence** Vic Velanovich Division of General Surgery, K-8, Henry Ford Hospital, 2799 West Grand Blvd., Detroit, MI 48202, USA Phone: +1-313.916.8984; Fax: +1-313.916.9920 E-mail: vvelano1@hfhs.org **Document URL** http://www.joplink.net/prev/200901/08.html either with a percutaneously placed transhepatic catheter or an endoscopically placed biliary stent. However, since the mid-1980's, studies have questioned the value of this practice. Reports have documented that preoperative correction of hyperbilirubinemia have not lead to reductions in postoperative complications [6, 7]. In facts, reports began to appear in the 1990's suggesting that preoperative biliary stents lead to increased surgical site infections, specifically wound infections and intraabdominal abscesses [8].

After these initial reports, others have also published retrospective cases series which have demonstrated both increased and decreased postoperative complications related to preoperative biliary stents. This is not an insignificant issue, especially if treatment for pancreatic adenocarcinoma includes preoperative chemotherapy and radiation therapy, which requires preoperative biliary drainage [9]. Two meta-analyses have been published to help clarify this problem. These meta-analyses only assessed overall morbidity, postoperative morbidity, and overall mortality, without determining the effect on surgical site infections specifically [10, 11]. In addition, one report included only studies with more than 80% of cases treated with endobiliary stents in order to limit the effects of percutaneous stents [10]. The other report included proximal biliary lesions, so that not all patients would have been planned to undergo pancreatic resection [11].

In addition, since the 2002 meta-analyses, there have been several more reports addressing this issue in a "modern era" of more advanced preoperative and postoperative care. Therefore, further analysis of these existing data with emphasis on peri-operative mortality and surgical site infections is warranted. We will further present on our experience with pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients with and without preoperative biliary drainage and add this to the metaanalysis.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Retrospective Cohort Analysis

Patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for periampullary tumors or chronic pancreatitis from January 1st, 1997 through December 31st, 2006 were included in this study. The medical records were reviewed for age, gender, date of operation, pathology (pancreatic adenocarcinoma, other periampullary tumor, chronic pancreatitis), placement of preoperative internal or external biliary stent, and the occurrence of complications. Patients did not undergo operation if an existing infection was suspected.

The definitions of the specific complications were as follows. "Peri-operative death" was defined as death occurring during the hospitalization for the operation or any death within 30 days of the operation. "Wound infection" was defined as purulent drainage from the operative incision with or without growth from bacterial culture, any drainage which was culture positive, or wound-related physical signs suspicious enough for the surgeon to initiate antibiotic therapy. "Intra-abdominal abscess" was defined as purulent or culture positive intra-abdominal fluid treated with percutaneous or operative drainage and/or antibiotics. "Any complication" was defined as any postoperative adverse event meeting Clavien *et al.* [12] criteria for a class II or higher event.

Meta-Analysis

Studies included in this meta-analysis included published reports comparing patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for benign or malignant disease and who either had or had not had a preoperative placed biliary stent (endoscopic or percutaneous) placed. Studies excluded from analysis were studies published prior to 1990, studies not comparing postoperative complications in patients with and without biliary stents, and studies including resections other than pancreaticoduodenectomy (such as for proximal cholangiocarcinoma).

Each study was reviewed for the number of patients operated upon for biliopancreatic disease with and without preoperative biliary stenting, the number and type of postoperative complications, and the definition of each complication. Comparisons were made for peri-operative death, postoperative wound infection, postoperative intra-abdominal abscess and any postoperative complication.

STATISTICS

Retrospective Cohort Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Stata statistical software program [13]. A chi-squared test with Yates' correction was used for nominal data. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Meta-Analysis

The data was pooled in the manner of DerSimonian and Laird [14]. Data will be presented as the relative difference in frequency of occurrence of the event favoring either preoperative stent placement or no stent placement with the 95% confidence interval of this difference.

ETHICS

This study has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Henry Ford Health System.

RESULTS

Retrospective Cohort Analysis

From January 1st, 1997 through December 31st, 2006, 181 patients underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for both benign and malignant disease. Of these, 114 patients (63.0%) had endoscopic-placed biliary stents, while 9 (5.0%) had percutaneous transhepatic biliary stents.

Overall, there was no statistically significant difference in wound infection rates between patients who had preoperative biliary stents placed compared to those who did not (24/123, 19.5% vs. 10/58, 17.2%, respectively, P=0.872), intra-abdominal abscess (20/123, 16.3% vs. 13/58, 22.4%, respectively P=0.427), in-hospital or 30-day mortality (3/123, 2.4% vs. 1/58, 1.7%, respectively, P=1.000), or any postoperative complication (62/123, 50.4% vs. 30/58, 51.7%, respectively, P=0.995). There were no significant differences between the endoscopicallyplaced and percutaneously-placed stents (data not shown).

Meta-Analysis

Including the retrospective cohort analysis just presented, 15 studies were included in the metaanalysis [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. All studies used were retrospective except that of Lai *et al.* [22], which was the only prospective, randomized trial. Table 1 presents the definitions of the postoperative adverse events recorded in this study. There was variation in the definition of the events. For example, some studies recorded the frequency of postoperative death, without defining what this meant. Others defined a postoperative death as a death within 30 days of the operation, while other defined it as any in-hospital death or death within 30 days. With regard to wound infection, some studies based the diagnosis purely on clinical evaluation, while others required a positive bacterial culture. Yet, others did not record this event. This variation in definition also occurred

Table 1. Definitions of postoperative complications

with respect to intra-abdominal abscess. Many studies did not define a category of "any complication." Most just listed the complications that occurred with or without definitions.

Table 2 lists the frequency of complications for each category of adverse event recorded for each study. Again, there is a great deal of variation of the frequency of complications among the studies. In addition, there is not consistency that the stented or unstented groups have lower complication rates.

Table 1. Definitions of	or postoperative complications.					
Study	Death	Wound infection				
Lai, 1994 [22]	Not defined, event recorded	Not defined or recorded				
Karsten, 1996 [27]	In-hospital death or as a direct result of a postoperative complication	"Infectious complications" defined, no comparison made				
Heslin, 1998 [20]	Not defined, event recorded	Erythema necessitating opening and packing the wound				
Marcus, 1998 [23]	Death within 30 days of operation	Not defined, event recorded				
Sohn, 2000 [17]	Death during the index hospitalization or within 30 days	A diagnosis of infectious complications required fever as well as positive cultures. Wound infection required purulent drainage which necessitated opening the wound				
Pister. 2001 [16]	Death during hospital stay or within 30 days	Not defined, event recorded				
Sewnath, 2002 [11]	Death occurring during hospital admission or as a direct result of a postoperative complication	Not defined, event recorded				
Martignoni, 2001 [25]	Not defined, event recorded	Not defined, "wound sepsis" recorded				
Srivastava, 2001 [26]	Not defined, event recorded	Purulent discharge from the wound or serous discharge, positive for bacterial growth				
Hodul, 2003 [21]	In-hospital death or death within 30 days of surgery	Purulent drainage from incision with necessity to open the wound				
Gerke, 2004 [19]	Not specifically defined, although "complications occurring during same admission or within 30 days were considered postoperative complications." Event recorded	Purulent drainage and/or wound changes that required re-operation of early removal of staples or suture or initiation of antibiotic therapy				
Mullen, 2005 [15]	Death within the first 30 days after surgery or during the hospital stay for surgery	Not defined, event recorded				
Jagannath, 2005 [28]	Any in-hospital mortality	Culture positive collection resulting in >2- week hospitalization				
Howard, 2006 [18]	Death during the index hospitalization or within 30 days after surgery	Area of erythema or purulent drainage which yielded pus and grev bacteria				
Present study	Any in-hospital mortality or death within 30 days of the operation	Purulent drainage with or without bacterial culture positive, or any drainage which was culture positive. Erythema with antibiotic therapy				
Table 1. Continued						
Tuble II commuta						
Study	Intra-abdominal abscess	Any complication				
Study Lai, 1994 [22]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded	Any complication Not defined, event recorded				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complications was given aphy A list of complications was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complications was given aphy A list of complications was given a list of complications was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complications was given aphy A list of complications was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complications was given aphy A list of complications was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25] Srivastava, 2001 [26]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded Collection on ultrasound or CT scan or at re-operation, w positive on culture following percutaneous or surgical c	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given aphy A list of complications was given Not defined, event recorded /hich was Not defined, event recorded /hich was Not defined, event recorded				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25] Srivastava, 2001 [26] Hodul, 2003 [21]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded Collection on ultrasound or CT scan or at re-operation, w positive on culture following percutaneous or surgical of Need for drainage of intra-abdominal fluid collection wit cultures	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given aphy A list of complications was given Not defined, event recorded /hich was Not defined, event recorded /hich was Not defined, "None," under complications, recorded				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25] Srivastava, 2001 [26] Hodul, 2003 [21] Gerke, 2004 [19]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded Collection on ultrasound or CT scan or at re-operation, w positive on culture following percutaneous or surgical c Need for drainage of intra-abdominal fluid collection wit cultures Not specifically defined, although "complications occurri same admission or within 30 days were considered posto	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given aphy A list of complications was given Not defined, event recorded which was Not defined, event recorded h positive Not defined, "None," under complications, recorded ng during Not specifically defined, although "complications occurring operative during same admission or within 30 days were considered				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25] Srivastava, 2001 [26] Hodul, 2003 [21] Gerke, 2004 [19] Mullen, 2005 [15]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded Collection on ultrasound or CT scan or at re-operation, w positive on culture following percutaneous or surgical of Need for drainage of intra-abdominal fluid collection wit cultures Not specifically defined, although "complications occurri same admission or within 30 days were considered posto complications." Event recorded	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given aphy A list of complications was given Not defined, event recorded which was Not defined, event recorded h positive Not defined, "None," under complications, recorded ng during Not specifically defined, although "complications occurring operative during same admission or within 30 days were considered postoperative complications." Event recorded e results A list of complications was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25] Srivastava, 2001 [26] Hodul, 2003 [21] Gerke, 2004 [19] Mullen, 2005 [15] Jagannath, 2005 [28]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded Collection on ultrasound or CT scan or at re-operation, w positive on culture following percutaneous or surgical c Need for drainage of intra-abdominal fluid collection wit cultures Not specifically defined, although "complications occurri same admission or within 30 days were considered posto complications." Event recorded Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid cultures Not specifically defined, although "complications occurri same admission or within 30 days were considered posto complications." Event recorded Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid cultures Not specifically defined, although "complications occurri same admission or within 30 days were considered posto complications." Event recorded Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid cultures Abscess not defined, "infectious complication" defined recorded	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given aphy A list of complications was given Not defined, event recorded which was h positive Not defined, "None," under complications, recorded ng during Not specifically defined, although "complications occurring operative during same admission or within 30 days were considered postoperative complications." Event recorded e results A list of complications was given A list of complications was given				
Study Lai, 1994 [22] Karsten, 1996 [27] Heslin, 1998 [20] Marcus, 1998 [23] Sohn, 2000 [17] Pister, 2001 [16] Sewnath, 2002 [11] Martignoni, 2001 [25] Srivastava, 2001 [26] Hodul, 2003 [21] Gerke, 2004 [19] Mullen, 2005 [15] Jagannath, 2005 [28] Howard, 2006 [18]	Intra-abdominal abscess Not defined or recorded "Infectious complications" defined, no comparison r Intra-abdominal fluid associated with fever requiring per- or open draining yielding positive cultures Not defined, event, recorded Intraabdominal fluid collection on computed tomogr Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Not defined, event recorded Not recorded Collection on ultrasound or CT scan or at re-operation, w positive on culture following percutaneous or surgical c Need for drainage of intra-abdominal fluid collection wit cultures Not specifically defined, although "complications occurri same admission or within 30 days were considered posto complications." Event recorded Postoperative fluid collection with positive fluid culture Abscess not defined, "infectious complication" define recorded Postoperative intra-abdominal fluid collection identif imaging associated with a fever or leukocytosis that grew on culture	Any complication Not defined, event recorded nade Postoperative complications during hospitalization classified as infection, hemorrhage, symptomatic anastomotic leak, and complications requiring intervention cutaneous A list of "any complication" was given A list of "any complication" was given aphy A list of complications was given aphy A list of complications was given A list of complications was given A list of complications was given A list of complications was given A list of complications was given A list of complications was given Not defined, event recorded which was Not defined, event recorded h positive Not defined, "None," under complications, recorded ng during Not specifically defined, although "complications occurring operative during same admission or within 30 days were considered postoperative complications." Event recorded e results A list of complications was given wad and Not defined or recorded				

The Figure 1 shows the results of the meta-analysis for all four complication rates studied. The difference in rates favors no stenting with respect to postoperative death by 0.5% (95% confidence interval: -0.4% to 1.4%) and wound infection by 5.8% (95% confidence interval: 3.6% to 8.0%). The differences in rates favors stenting with respect to intra-abdominal abscess by 2.0% (95% confidence interval: -0.3% to 4.3%) and any complication by 0.06% (95% confidence interval: -3.8% to 3.9%). However, only in the difference in wound infection rate does the 95% confidence interval not include 0, implying that this is the only statistically significant difference.

DISCUSSION

The results of this meta-analysis help explain the continued controversy in the use of preoperative biliary stents. Except for wound infection, there does not appear to be statistically significant differences in postoperative death, intra-abdominal abscess or total complication rates. The use of stents appears to increase the occurrence of wound infections by 5%. Therefore, the use may have more to do with local institutional variation and treatment protocols than on the adverse effects of the stents. However, we must acknowledge that only one of the studies used in the meta-analysis was a randomized trial, therefore, this must be viewed as a weakness in this meta-analysis and a deficit in our knowledge on this subject.

There is a wide variation in the reported occurrences of the complications studied. There may be several potential causes. Firstly, this is variation is the definitions of what event actually constitutes a complication. Those studies which have a more narrow definition of a complication will have a lower complication rate, while those which have a lower complication rate, while those which have a broader definition will have a higher rate. The issue of the definitions of specific risk factors and complications is not new. The National Surgical Quality Improvement Program takes great efforts to insure that uniformed definitions are used throughout participating hospitals because what gets counted as a complication varied so

Table 2. Incidence of complications in each study



Figure 1. Results of meta-analysis. The data is presented as the difference in rates between the stented and unstent groups with the 95% confidence interval of this difference.

widely among these hospitals [29]. Nevertheless, this will not explain the differences of rates between the stented group and unstented group within each study as the definitions should be internally consistent. Secondly, there may be local institutional variation in care which may affect the complication rates independent of the use of stents. For example, the relative use of internal (i.e., endoscopically-placed) and external (radiologically-placed) stents may affect postoperative complications [30]. Other institutions which routinely used preoperative stents may have practices in place which lead to lower complications [31]. Lastly, there may be variations in preoperative and postoperative care between institutions that decrease overall complication rates, regardless of the use of preoperative stents. Given these variations, it may be difficult to dissect the effects of preoperative stents from the effects of these other processes of care. It is argued, by those who suggest that preoperative stenting leads to postoperative complications, that contamination of the biliary system by bacteria introduced during the stenting process is the cause of postoperative infectious complications. There appears to be a relationship between bactibilia and postoperative septic complications [18]. Indeed, in this meta-analysis, the only postoperative complication that was statistically significant was wound infection,

Study	Death		Wound infection		Abscess		Any complication	
-	Stent	No stent	Stent	No stent	Stent	No stent	Stent	No stent
Lai, 1994 [22]	14.6% (41)	13.6% (44)	-	-	-	-	39.0% (41)	40.9% (44)
Karsten, 1996 [27]	-	-	-	-	-	-	55.4% (184)	61.4% (57)
Heslin, 1998 [20]	2.6% (39)	0% (35)	12.8% (39)	2.9% (35)	5.1% (39)	0% (35)	59.0% (39)	34.3% (35)
Marcus, 1998 [23]	0% (22)	6.7% (30)	0% (22)	4.5% (30)	0% (22)	9.1% (30)	36.4% (22)	63.3% (30)
Sohn, 2000 [17]	1.7% (408)	2.5% (159)	10.0% (408)	3.8% (159)	3.9% (408)	6.3% (159)	35.0% (408)	30.2% (159)
Pister, 2001 [16]	0.6% (172)	1.1% (93)	13.4% (172)	4.3% (93)	6.4% (172)	10.7% (93)	87.8% (172)	86.0% (93)
Sewnath, 2002 [11]	1.3% (232)	0% (58)	7.3% (232)	8.6% (58)	15.5% (232)	15.5% (58)	50.4% (232)	55.2% (58)
Martignoni, 2001 [25]	3.0% (99)	1.9% (158)	-	-	-	-	49.5% (99)	44.9% (158)
Srivastava, 2001 [26]	14.8% (54)	10.4% (67)	42.6% (54)	23.9% (67)	27.8% (54)	14.9% (67)	48.1% (54)	44.8% (67)
Hodul, 2003 [21]	1.9% (154)	1.7% (58)	7.8% (154)	0% (58)	6.5% (154)	6.3% (58)	33.1% (154)	43.1% (58)
Gerke, 2004 [19]	5.2% (58)	0% (14)	29.3% (58)	21.4% (14)	12.1% (58)	14.3% (14)	70.7% (58)	50.0% (14)
Mullen, 2005 [15]	0.6% (170)	2.2% (92)	6.5% (170)	4.3% (92)	2.4% (170)	6.5% (92)	-	-
Jagannath, 2005 [28]	4.1% (74)	8.6% (70)	20.2% (74)	22.9% (70)	-	-	-	-
Howard, 2006 [18]	2.3% (86)	1.9% (52)	14.0% (86)	1.9% (52)	2.3% (86)	7.7% (52)	43.0% (86)	36.5% (52)
Present study	2.4% (123)	1.7% (58)	19.5% (123)	17.2% (58)	16.3% (123)	22.4% (58)	50.4% (123)	51.7% (58)

The total number of cases of each study is shown within parentheses

where there was a difference in rates favoring no stenting. It is curious that intra-abdominal abscess was not associated with the use of stents. However, this may be explained by the fact that most intra-abdominal abscesses are more related to pancreatic leak, which presumably occurs independently of preoperative stenting. Understanding the causative organisms related to preoperative stenting may lead to more appropriate choices of prophylactic antibiotics.

What drives the use of preoperative biliary stenting in institutions is not the correction most of hyperbilirubinemia prior to resection, but the use of preoperative chemoradiation [9, 31]. As preoperative treatment generally requires 4 to 6 weeks of treatment, followed by restaging, then resection 2 to 4 weeks after completion of neoadjuvant therapy, it is simply not practical to have these patients remain jaundice for that long. In fact, in those institutions experience in preoperative chemoradiation, biliary stent related complications between drainage and restaging occurs approximately 15% of the time [31]. As all patients who undergo preoperative chemoradiation must have a pathologic diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, this difference could also be interpreted that patients with cancer may have a lower rate of stent-caused postoperative complications than a group of patients with mixed malignant and benign diagnoses.

In summary, despite the variation in definitions and complication occurrence rates, the use of preoperative biliary stents probably increases the rates of postoperative wound infection by 5%. However, postoperative death, intra-abdominal abscess and total complication rates do not appear affected. Therefore, there is no overwhelming reason to use or avoid preoperative biliary stents. Nevertheless, there may be very good reasons to use biliary stents preoperatively. For example, patients who are to undergo preoperative chemoradiation prior to resection, patients who may have some question of cholangitis, or patients with severe jaundice-related pruritus requiring symptom relief prior to resection. The use of preoperative biliary remains an individual decision by the physicians care for patients with periampullary tumors. However, only a well-designed randomized controlled trial with a large number of patients will truly resolve this issue.

Conflict of interest The authors have no potential conflicts of interest

References

3. Rougheen PT, Gouma DJ, Kuldarni AD, Farnslow WF, Rowlands BJ. Impaired specific cell-mediated immunity in

experimental biliary obstruction and its reversibility by internal biliary drainage. J Surg Res 1986; 41:113-25. [PMID 3762122]

4. Megison SM, Dunn CW, Horton JW, Chao H. Effects of relief of biliary obstruction on mononuclear phagocyte system function and cell mediated immunity. Br J Surg 1991; 78:568-71. [PMID 2059808]

5. Gouma DJ, Rougheen PT, Kumar S, Moody FG, Rowlands BJ. Changes in nutritional status associated with obstructive jaundice and biliary drainage in rats. Am J Clin Nutr 1986; 44:362-9. [PMID 17531566]

6. Hatfield AR, Tobias R, Terblanche J, Girdwood AH, Fataar S, Harries-Jones R, et al. Preoperative external biliary drainage in obstructive jaundice: A prospective controlled clinical trail. Lancet 1982; 2:896-9. [PMID 6126752]

7. McPherson GA, Benjamin IS, Hodgson HJ, Bowley NB, Allison DJ, Blumgart LH. Preoperative percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage: The results of a controlled clinical trial. Br J Surg 1984; 71:371-5. [PMID 6372935]

8. Povoski SP, Karpeh MS Jr, Conlon KC, Blumgart LH, Brennan MF. Association of preoperative biliary drainage with postoperative outcome following pancreaticoduodenectomy. Ann Surg 1999; 230:131-42. [PMID 10450725]

9. Raut CP, Evans DB, Crane CH, Pisters PW, Wolff RA. Neoadjuvant therapy for resectable pancreatic cancer. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 2004; 13:639-61. [PMID 15350939]

10. Saleh MM, Nørregaard P, Jørgensen HL, Andersen PK, Matzen P. Preoperative endoscopic stent placement before pancreaticoduodenectomy: A meta-analysis of the effect on morbidity and mortality. Gastrointest Endosc 2002; 56:529-34. [PMID 12297769]

11. Sewnath ME, Karsten TM, Prins MH, Rauws EJ, Obertop H, Gouma DJ. A meta-analysis on the efficacy of preoperative biliary drainage for tumors causing obstructive jaundice. Ann Surg 2002; 236:17-27. [PMID 12131081]

12. Clavien PA, Sanabria JR, Strasberg SM. Proposed classification of complications of surgery with examples of utility in cholecystectomy. Surgery 1992; 111:518-26. [PMID 1598671]

13. StataCorp. 2003. Stata Statistical Software: Release 8. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.

14. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled Clin Trials 1986; 7:177-88. [PMID 3802833]

15. Mullen JT, Lee JH, Gomez HF, Ross WA, Fukami N, Wolff RA, et al. Pancreaticoduodenectomy after placement of endobiliary metal stents. J Gastrointest Surg 2005; 9:1094-105. [PMID 16269380]

16. Pisters PW, Hudec WA, Hess KR, Lee JE, Vauthey JN, Lahoti S, et al. Effect of preoperative biliary decompression on pancreaticoduodenectomy-associated morbidity in 300 consecutive patients. Ann Surg 2001; 234:47-55. [PMID 11420482]

17. Sohn TA, Yeo CJ, Cameron JL, Pitt HA, Lillemoe KD. Do preoperative biliary stents increase postpancreaticoduodenectomy complications? J Gastrointest Surg 2000; 4:258-68. [PMID 10769088]

18. Howard TJ, Yu J, Greene RB, George V, Wairiuko GM, Moore SA, Madura JA. Influence of bactibilia after preoperative biliary stenting on postoperative infectious complications. J Gastrointest Surg 2006; 10:523-31. [PMID 16627218]

19. Gerke H, White R, Byrne MF, Stiffier H, Mitchell RM, Hurwitz HI, et al. Complications of pancreaticoduodenectomy after neoadjuvant chemoradiation in patients with and without preoperative biliary drainage. Dig Liver Dis 2004; 36:412-8. [PMID 15248382]

20. Heslin MJ, Brooks AD, Hochwald SN, Harrison LE, Blumgart LH, Brennan MF. A preoperative biliary stent is associated with increased complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Arch Surg 1998; 133:149-54. [PMID 9484726]

21. Hodul P, Creech S, Pickleman J, Aranha GV. The effects of preoperative biliary stenting on postoperative complications after

^{1.} Pitt HA, Cameron JL, Postier RG, Gadacz TR. Factors affecting mortality in biliary tract surgery. Am J Surg 1981; 141:66-72. [PMID 6970004]

^{2.} Dixon JM, Armstrong CP, Duffy SW, Davies GC. Factors affecting morbidity and mortality after surgery for obstructive jaundice: A review of 373 patients. Gut 1983; 24:845-52. [PMID 6604001]

pancreaticoduodenectomy. Am J Surg 2003; 186:420-5. [PMID 14599600]

22. Lai EC, Mok FP, Fan ST, Lo CM, Chu KM, Liu CL, Wong J. Preoperative endoscopic drainage for malignant obstructive jaundice. Br J Surg 1994; 81:1195-8. [PMID 7741850]

23. Marcus SG, Dobryansky M, Shamamian P, Cohen H, Gouge TH, Pachter HL, Eng K. Endoscopic biliary drainage before pancreaticoduodenectomy for periampullary malignancies. J Clin Gastroenterol 1998; 26:125-9. [PMID 9563924]

24. Sewnath ME, Birjmohun RS, Rauws EA, Huibregtse K, Obertop H, Gouma DJ. The effect of preoperative biliary drainage on postoperative complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Am Coll Surg 2001; 192:726-34. [PMID 11400966]

25. Martignoni ME, Wagner M, Krähenbühl L, Redaelli CA, Friess H, Büchler MW. Effect of preoperative biliary drainage on surgical outcome after pancreatoduodenectomy. Am J Surg 2001; 181:52-9. [PMID 11248177]

26. Srivastava S, Sikora SS, Kumar A, Saxena R, Kapoor VK. Outcome following pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients undergoing preoperative biliary drainage. Dig Surg 2001; 18:381-7. [PMID 11721113]

27. Karsten TM, Allema JH, Reinders M, van Gulik TM, de Wit LT, Verbeek PC, et al. Preoperative biliary drainage, colinsation of bile and postoperative complications in patients with tumours of the pancreatic head: A retrospective analysis of 241 consecutive patients Eur J Surg 1996; 162:881-8. [PMID 8956957]

28. Jagannath P, Dhir V, Shrikhande S, Shah RC, Mullerpatan P, Mohandas KM. Effect of preoperative biliary stenting on immediate outcome after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Br J Surg 2005; 92:356-61. [PMID 15672425]

29. Variables and Definitions. American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Website. <u>http://www.acsnsqip.org</u> (Last accessed, October, 15th, 2007).

30. Aly EA, Johnson CD. Preoperative biliary drainage before resection in obstructive jaundice. Dig Surg 2001; 18:84-9. [PMID 11351150]

31. Pisters PW, Hudec WA, Lee JE, Raijman I, Lahoti S, Janjan NA, et al. Preoperative chemoradiation for patients with pancreatic cancer: Toxicity of endobiliary stents. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18:860-7. [PMID 10673529]