HIGHLIGHT ARTICLE

Prognostic Factors in Pancreatic Cancer Highlights from the "2013 ASCO Annual Meeting". Chicago, IL, USA; May 30 - June 4, 2013

Georgios Michael Oikonomopoulos¹, Konstantinos N Syrigos², Muhammad Wasif Saif³

¹Second Oncology Department, St. Savvas Anticancer Hospital; ²Oncology Unit, Third Department of Medicine, Sotiria General Hospital, Athens Medical School. Athens, Greece. ³Department of Medicine and Cancer Center, Tufts Medical Center. Boston, MA, USA

Summary

Pancreatic cancer is a frequent and lethal disease ranking fourth as a cause of cancer-related death in Western countries. There are patients, though, who respond well to chemotherapy and have a prolonged survival. There is an effort towards identification of specific characteristics of these tumor cells in order to identify those patients who will benefit from chemotherapy and use them as prognostic or predictive factors. This review is an update on the 2013 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting regarding the most important developments in this field for pancreatic cancer, as they were reported in Abstracts #4006, #4016, #4046, and #4060 and a discussion is presented about their application in clinical praxis.

What We Knew Before the 2013 ASCO Annual Meeting

Pancreatic cancer remains a malignancy of poor prognosis as overall survival is still low despite recent advances in therapeutics. This is the reason why pancreatic cancer constitutes a therapeutic challenge in current oncology practice. Research is directed towards finding prognostic and predictive factors to be applied in clinical praxis.

The human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-1 (hENT1) (also known as SLC29A1) is the major route for transporting gemcitabine through the cell membrane. Retrospective studies show that populations of cells with lower hENT1 abundance may be relatively gemcitabine resistant owing to reduced intracellular accumulation of the drug [1]. HENT1 protein expression is associated with increased overall survival and disease free survival in pancreatic cancer patients who received gemcitabine [2, 3].

Key words Antigens, CD3; Antigens, CD4; Antigens, CD8; Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 1; Neoplastic Cells, Circulating; Osteonectin; Pancreatic Neoplasms; Predictive Value of Tests; Prognosis; SPARC protein, human Abbreviations CTC: circulating tumor cells Correspondence Georgios Michael Oikonomopoulos Second Oncology Department; St. Savvas Anticancer Hospital;

Alexandras Ave 171; 11523 Athens; Greece Phone: +30-69.442.5488; Fax: +30-210.640.9521

E-mail: goik77@yahoo.com

A characteristic of pancreatic cancer is the formation of a dense stroma, termed a desmoplastic reaction, composed of cellular and fibrillar elements. Pancreatic stellate cells play a critical role in the formation and turnover of the stroma. The stroma is not only a mechanical barrier but also constitutes a dynamic compartment critically involved in the process of tumor formation, progression, invasion, and metastasis [4, 5]. Stromal cells express multiple proteins, one of them being the "secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine" (SPARC), which is involved in deposition and modeling of the extracellular matrix. Expression of SPARC in the stroma of tumor is a prognostic factor [6].

Concerning the circulating tumor cells (CTC), studies show that patients who had circulating tumor cells (more than 1 in 7.5 mL) before curative surgery, or at either point after therapy initiation, trend towards poorer overall or progression free survival [7].

A high degree of infiltration of both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells around tumor cells seems to be an independent favorable prognostic factor for overall survival in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma and a high prevalence of regulatory T-cells is an independent negative predictive factor for survival [8, 9].

What We Learnt at the 2013 ASCO Annual Meeting

<u>HENT1 Tumor Levels to Predict Survival of</u> <u>Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Patients Who</u> <u>Received Adjuvant Gemcitabine and Adjuvant 5-FU</u> <u>on the ESPAC Trials (Abstract #4006 [10])</u>

performed Neoptolemos et al. tissue microarrays for hENT1 to evaluate the predictability of it in the patients who entered the ESPAC 1-3 trials. Patients were operated for resectable pancreatic cancer and received adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine or 5-FU. Patients with expression above the median score (n=48)were classified as "High hENT1". These patients had significantly longer median survival among those received gemcitabine (26.2 vs. 17.1 months; P=0.002). The expression of hENT1 was not related to any difference in the median survival among patients received 5-FU (25.6 vs. 21.9 months; P=0.362). The results show that patients with high tumor expression of hENT1 can benefit more from treatment with gemcitabine and patients with low tumor expression may be treated with 5-FU.

<u>SPARC in Pancreatic Cancer: Results from the</u> <u>CONKO-001 Study (Abstract #4016 [11])</u>

Sinn *et al.* have performed immunohistochemistry in the tissue sample for expression of SPARC in the stroma around the tumor, but also in the tumor cell, of patients from the Charité Onkologie (CONKO)-001 study. Patients who received gemcitabine as adjuvant treatment had a longer disease free survival and overall survival when stromal and cytoplasmic expression of SPARC was not-strong or negative, respectively (Tables 1 and 2).

So SPARC expression estimation, both in the tumor or its stroma, seems to be a valuable prognostic factor in patients receiving gemcitabine as adjuvant therapy.

<u>Circulating Tumor Cells in Locally Advanced</u> <u>Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. The Ancillary Study</u> <u>Circe-07 of the LAP-07 trial (Abstract #4046 [12])</u>

Huhuet *et al.* prospectively screened for circulating tumor cells patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic cancer before and after two months of chemotherapy. More than one tumor cell in 7.5 mL was considered as positive.

Before treatment 5% of patients had positive detection of CTC and 9% at the end of 2 months of therapy. This positivity is associated with poor tumor differentiation (P=0.04) and the overall survival was shorter (P=0.01). So based on the hypothesis that CTC are an index of metastatic potential of the tumor, evaluation of CTC may help to distinguish between patients who need local or systematic treatment.

Prognostic Value of an Immune Score Combining Intra- and Peri-Tumoral T-Cell Subset Density in Patients with Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma (Abstract #4060) [13]

Turcotte et al. evaluated the power of an immune score based on intra- and peri-tumoral Tcell subsets (CD3, CD4, CD8, CD45R0, FOXP3) and density. This immune score is based on the intratumoral CD4 T-cell count (greater than the median value), peritumoral CD8 T-cell count (less, or equal to, the median value) and intratumoral/ peritmoral CD3 T-cell ratio (greater than 1). Results were grouped in 4 categories (none, 1, 2, and 3), according to how many of these features were present. The difference between the groups were significant in relation to overall survival (P=0.01), disease free survival (P=0.01) and time to response (P=0.02). FOXP3 and CD45R0 T-cell counts do not seem to have any prognostic value, either as alone or in the immune score. The immune score seems to be an independent prognostic factor that adds information to the TNM staging system.

Discussion

Even though the results of combinations and newer therapeutic agents become more and more encouraging, pancreatic cancer is still a disease with a very poor prognosis. Effort is given in finding prognostic and predictive factors for these patients.

HENT1 expression seems a useful predictive factor of response to chemotherapy with gemcitabine in the adjuvant setting. The results of the study of Neoptolemos *et al.* [9] are in accordance with the results of the study of Farell *et al.* in the population of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 9704 study [2]. Patients with hENT1 expression benefit from chemotherapy with gemcitabine, and patients with low or no expression should avoid gemcitabine, as hENT1 is a transporter of it to the intracellular space.

Table 1. Stromal expression of SPARC and relation to disease free survival and overall survival.

Stromal expression	Strong Not-strong P value expression expression		
Disease free survival (months)	9.0	12.6	0.005
Overall survival (months)	19.8	26.6	0.033

Table 2. Cytoplasmic expression of SPARC and relation to disease free survival and overall survival.

Cytoplasmic expression e			Strong expression	Not-strong n expression	P value
Disease (months)	free	survival	7.4	12.1	0.041
Overall survival (months)		14.1	25.6	0.011	

SPARC, also known as osteonectin, is an extracellular matrix protein that has been associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer patients as well as with invasion and metastasis in preclinical models [14, 15]. Recent studies suggest that SPARC plays a critical role in collagen turnover in pancreatic cancer. SPARC is a target of albuminbound nab-paclitaxel. In a phase I/II study of this agent in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, a combination with gemcitabine showed promising results with a median survival of 12.8 months in patients with advanced disease. In parallel mechanistic studies nab-paclitaxel, in addition to its cancer cell-directed effects, has been shown to increase the delivery of gemcitabine. This might be because of stromal depletion and/or modification of the catabolism of gemcitabine [16].

The detection of CTC in patients with pancreatic cancer seems to have a negative prognostic value [17]. Also in resectable pancreatic cancer it seems to be of significant value, because it can determine the patients who may need chemotherapy, besides local treatment, due to micrometastatic disease [12]. Another study by Negin *et al.* shows that not only the presence of CTC is a poor prognostic factor, but also the type of those cells. Not all CTC are equal. In detail the MUC1 cells seem particularly bad, suggesting that there is a difference in the biology of these tumors and providing some insight into how these tumors function [7].

Infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells around tumor cells seems to affect the course of pancreatic cancer. There is a positive relation of the amount of peritumoral T-cells and pancreatic cancer. It is an independent favorable prognostic factor for overall survival (P=0.01) [8]. High prevalence of regulatory T-cells seems to be an independent negative predictive factor for survival (P=0.001) [9]. An immune score, as the one presented by Turcotte *et al.*, is a useful tool [13]. Immune response to the tumor seems to control better the course of the malignancy.

Predictive factors are available now to help doctors decide the treatment that fits their patients. Prognostic factors are also important to find those patients that are candidates for an aggressive therapeutic approach.

Conflicts of interest The authors have no conflicts to disclose

References

1. Damaraju VL, Damaraju S, Young JD, et al. Nucleoside anticancer drugs: the role of nucleoside transporters in

resistance to cancer chemotherapy. Oncogene 2003;22:7524–7536 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{2}}$

2. Farell J. J., Elsaleh H., Garcia M., et.al Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 1 Levels Predict Response to Gemcitabine in Patients With Pancreatic Cancer. Gastroenterology 2009;136:187–195

3. Jian-Chun Xiao, Tai-Ping Zhang et al. hENT1 predicts the Asian patient response to Gemcitabine-based chemotherapy in Pancreatic Cancer. Hepatogastroenterology 2013;60(122):Ahead of print

4. Mahadevan D, Von Hoff DD. Tumor–stroma interactions in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Mol Cancer Ther 2007;6:1186–97

5. Chu GC, Kimmelman AC, Hezel AF, DePinho RA. Stromal biology of pancreatic cancer. J Cell Biochem 2007;101:887–907

6. Manuel Hidalgo and Daniel D. Von Hoff. Translational Therapeutic Opportunities in Ductal Adenocarcinoma of the Pancreas. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:4249-4256

7. Negin B. P., Meropol N. J., Alpaugh R. K., et. al Characterization and prognostic significance of circulating tumor cells in the peripheral blood of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2010 Vol 28, No 15_suppl 2010: 4127

8. Fukunaga A, Miyamoto M, Cho Y, Murakami S, Kawarada Y, Oshikiri T, Kato K, Kurokawa T, Suzuoki M, Nakakubo Y, Hiraoka K, Itoh T, Morikawa T, Okushiba S, Kondo S, Katoh H. CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes together with CD4+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and dendritic cells improve the prognosis of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Pancreas 2004;28:e26–3

9. Hiraoka N, Onozato K, Kosuge T, Hirohashi S. Prevalence of FOXP3+regulatory T cells increases during the progression of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and its premalignant lesions. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:5423–34)

10. Neoptolemos J. P., Greenhalf W., Ghaneh P, et al. HENT1 tumor levels to predict survival of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients who received adjuvant gemcitabine and adjuvant 5FU on the ESPAC trials. J Clin Oncol 31, 2013 (suppl; abstr 4006)

11. Sinn M., Sinn VB., Striefer JK., et.al SPARC in pancreatic cancer: Results from the CONKO-001 study. J Clin Oncol 31, 2013 (suppl; abstr 4016)

12. Huhuet F., Bidard FC., Louvet C, et al. Circulating tumor cells in locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The ancillary study Circe-07 of the LAP-07 trial J Clin Oncol 31,2013 (suppl abstr 4046)

13. Turcotte S., McNikoll Y., Soucy G., et al. Prognostic value of an immune score combining intra- and peritumoral T-cell subset density in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma J Clin. Oncol 31, 2013 (suppl; abstr 4060)

14. Infante JR, Matsubayashi H, Sato N, Tonascia J, Klein AP, Riall TA, et al. Peritumoral fibroblast SPARC expression and patient outcome with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:319–25

15. Arnold SA, Rivera LB, Miller AF, Carbon JG, Dineen SP, Xie Y, et al. Lack of host SPARC enhances vascular function and tumor spread in an orthotopic murine model of pancreatic carcinoma. Dis Model Mech 2010;3:57–72

16. Von Hoff DD, Ramanathan RK, Borad MJ, Laheru DA, Smith LS, Wood TE, et al. Gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel is an active regimen in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase I/II trial. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:4548–54

17. Toshio K, Takao I, Atshushi S et al. Detection of circulating tumor cells in patients with pancreatic cancer: A preliminary result J. Hepatobilliary Pancr Surg. 2008;15:189-195