

Prey-predator model with prey reserve

Dinesh Kumar Verma¹, V. H. Badshah¹ and Suman Jain²

¹*School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.)-India*

²*Department of Mathematics, Govt. College, Kalapipal, Ujjain (M.P.)-India*

ABSTRACT

The paper deals with the mathematical model to study the dynamics of a fishery resource system in an aquatic environment that consists of two zones, a free fishing zone and a reserve zone (where fishing is strictly prohibited). Criteria for local stability and global stability for system are derived.

Keywords: Prey, Predator, Local stability, Global stability, Fishing.

INTRODUCTION

The competitive cooperative and predator-prey models have been studied by many authors. The Mathematical and bio-economic theories concerning renewable resources for harvesting have been systematically developed by Clark [1, 2] in his two books. He discussed the management of biological population from an analytical point of view. Kar [6] considered a prey-predator fishery model and discussed the selective harvesting of fishes age or size by incorporating a time delay in the harvesting terms. Kar and Chaudhary [7] studied a dynamic reaction model, in which prey species are harvested in the presence of a predator and a tax. Kronbak [8] set up a dynamic open-access model of a single industry exploiting a single resource stock. Mikkelsen [9] investigated aquaculture externalities on fishery, affecting habitat, wild fish stock genetics, or fishery efficiency under open access and rent maximizing fisheries. Zhang et. al. [10] analyzed of a prey predator fishery model with prey reserve. Kar and Matsuda [4] examined the impact of the creation of marine protected areas, from both economic and biological perspectives. Kar and Chakraborty [3] considered a prey predator fishery model with prey dispersal in a two patch environment, one of which is a free fishing zone and other is protected zone.

2. Mathematical Model:

Mathematical model of ecological system, reflecting these problems, has been given in Kar and Swarnakamal [5].

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1x\left(1 - \frac{x}{K_1}\right) - \alpha xz - \sigma_1x(1 - m) + \sigma_2y - q_1E_1x \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2y\left(1 - \frac{y}{K_2}\right) + \sigma_1x(1 - m) - \sigma_2y \\ \frac{dz}{dt} &= -dy + k\alpha xz - q_2E_2z.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Here, $x(t)$ and $z(t)$ are biomass densities of prey species and predator species inside the unreserved area which is an open-access fishing zone at time t . $y(t)$ is the biomass density of prey species inside the reserved area where no fishing is permitted at time t . All the parameters are assumed to be positive. r_1 and r_2 are the intrinsic growth rates of prey species inside the unreserved and reserved areas respectively. d , α and k are the death rate, respectively. K_1 and K_2 are the carrying capacities of prey species in the unreserved and reserved areas, respectively. σ_1 and σ_2 are migration rates from the unreserved area to the reserved area and the reserved area to the unreserved area. E_1 and E_2 are the effects applied to harvest the prey species and predator species in the unreserved area. q_1 and q_2 are the catchability coefficients.

If there is no migration of fish population from the reserved area to the unreserved area ($\sigma_2 = 0$) and $r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1 < 0$, then $x < 0$; where $m \geq 0$; $\forall m \neq 1$.

Similarly if there is no migration of fish population from the unreserved area to the reserved area ($\sigma_1 = 0$) and $r_2 - \sigma_2 < 0$.

Then $y < 0$. We assume that

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1 &> 0, \\ r_2 - \sigma_2 &> 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

3. Existence of Equilibria:

Equilibria of model (1) can be obtained by equating right hand side to zero. This provides three equilibria $E_0(0, 0, 0)$, $E_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)$, $E_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$. The equilibrium E_0 is trivial.

Here $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \left[(-r_1 + \sigma_1(1 - m) + q_1E_1)\bar{x} + \frac{r_1\bar{x}^2}{K_1} \right]$ and \bar{x} is the positive solution of equilibrium point

E_1 , we have

$$a_1x^3 + b_1x^2 + c_1x + d_1 = 0 \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{r_2r_1^2}{K_2K_1^2\sigma_2^2}, \\ b_1 &= -\frac{2r_1r_2(r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1)}{K_1K_2\sigma_2^2}, \\ c_1 &= \frac{r_2(r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1)^2}{K_2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{r_1(r_2 - \sigma_2)}{K_1\sigma_2}, \\ d_1 &= \frac{(r_2 - \sigma_2)}{\sigma_2}(r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1) - \sigma_1(1 - m). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3) has unique positive solution $x = \bar{x}$ if the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{r_2(r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1)^2}{K_2\sigma_2} < \frac{r_1(r_2 - \sigma_2)}{K_1} \tag{4}$$

$$(r_2 - \sigma_2)(r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1) > (1 - m)\sigma_1\sigma_2. \tag{5}$$

And for \bar{y} to be positive, we must have

$$\frac{K_1}{r_1}(r_1 - \sigma_1(1-m) - q_1E_1) < \bar{x}. \tag{6}$$

Here the equilibrium $E_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)$ exists under the above conditions.

Again \hat{x} , \hat{y} , and \hat{z} are positive solutions of

$$r_1x(1 - \frac{x}{K_1}) - \alpha xz - \sigma_1x(1-m) + \sigma_2y - q_1E_1x = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$r_2y(1 - \frac{y}{K_2}) + \sigma_1x(1-m) - \sigma_2y = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$-dy + k\alpha xz - q_2E_2z = 0. \tag{9}$$

From (9) we get $\hat{x} = \frac{d + q_2E_2}{k\alpha}$, which is positive and

$$\hat{y} = \frac{K_2}{2r_2} \left[(r_2 - \sigma_2) + \left\{ (r_2 - \sigma_2)^2 + 4r_2\sigma_1(1-m)\hat{x} / K_2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$

$$\hat{z} = \frac{(r_1 - \sigma_1(1-m) - q_1E_1)\hat{x} - \frac{r_1\hat{x}^2}{K_1} + \sigma_2\hat{y}}{\alpha\hat{x}}.$$

It may be noted that for \hat{z} to be positive, we must have

$$(r_1 - \sigma_1(1-m) - q_1E_1)\hat{x} - \frac{r_1\hat{x}^2}{K_1} + \sigma_2\hat{y} > 0. \tag{10}$$

4. Stability Analysis:

If the predator is not here that the model (1) is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = r_1x(1 - \frac{x}{K_1}) - \sigma_1x(1-m) + \sigma_2y - q_1E_1x \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = r_2y(1 - \frac{y}{K_2}) + \sigma_1x(1-m) - \sigma_2y.$$

Which has two equilibria, $E_0(0,0)$, $E_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ satisfied if (4), (5) and (6) are holds.

The jacobian matrix of the system (11) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 - \frac{2r_1x}{K_1} - \sigma_1(1-m) - q_1E_1 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1 & r_2 - \frac{2r_2y}{K_2} - \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

The characteristic equation of the Jacobian matrix of (12) at $E_0(0,0)$ is

$$\lambda^2 - (r_1 - \sigma_1(1-m) - q_1E_1 + r_2 - \sigma_2)\lambda + (r_1 - \sigma_1(1-m) - q_1E_1)(r_2 - \sigma_2) - \sigma_1(1-m)\sigma_2 = 0. \tag{13}$$

Since $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = (r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1 + r_2 - \sigma_2) > 0$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = (r_1 - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1)(r_2 - \sigma_2) - \sigma_1(1 - m)\sigma_2 > 0$.

Hence $E_0(0, 0)$ is unstable.

Similarly, The characteristic equation of the Jacobian matrix of (12) at $E_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is

$$\lambda^2 - \left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} \bar{x} + \frac{r_2}{K_2} \bar{y} + \frac{\sigma_1(1 - m)}{\bar{y}} \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma_2}{\bar{x}} \bar{y} \right) \lambda + \frac{r_2}{K_2} \bar{y} \left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma_2}{\bar{x}} \bar{y} \right) + \frac{r_1\sigma_1(1 - m)}{K_1\bar{y}} \bar{x}^2 \tag{14}$$

Since $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = -\left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} \bar{x} + \frac{r_2}{K_2} \bar{y} + \frac{\sigma_1(1 - m)}{\bar{y}} \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma_2}{\bar{x}} \bar{y} \right) < 0$ and

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \frac{r_2}{K_2} \bar{y} \left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma_2}{\bar{x}} \bar{y} \right) + \frac{r_1\sigma_1(1 - m)}{K_1\bar{y}} \bar{x}^2 > 0.$$

Thus $E_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is locally asymptotically stable.

Now suppose that system (1) has a unique positive equilibrium $E_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$. The Jacobian matrix of (1) at E_2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 - \frac{2r_1x^*}{K_1} - \alpha z^* - \sigma_1(1 - m) - q_1E_1 & \sigma_2 & -\alpha x^* \\ \sigma_1 & r_2 - \frac{2r_2y^*}{K_2} - \sigma_2 & 0 \\ k\alpha z^* & 0 & -d + k\alpha x^* - q_2E_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

The characteristic equation of the Jacobian matrix of (15) at E_2 is

$$\lambda^3 + a_1\lambda^2 + a_3\lambda + a_3 = 0, \tag{16}$$

where

$$a_1 = \frac{r_1}{K_1} x^* + \frac{r_2}{K_2} y^* + \frac{\sigma_1(1 - m)}{y^*} x^* + \frac{\sigma_2}{x^*} y^*$$

$$a_2 = \left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} x^* + \frac{\sigma_2}{x^*} y^* \right) \left(\frac{r_2}{K_2} y^* + \frac{\sigma_1(1 - m)}{y^*} x^* \right) + \sigma_1(1 - m)\sigma_2 + k\alpha^2 x^* z^*$$

$$a_3 = k\alpha^2 x^* z^* \left(\frac{r_2}{K_2} y^* + \frac{\sigma_1(1 - m)}{y^*} x^* \right).$$

According to Routh–Hurwitz criteria, the necessary and sufficient conditions for local stability of equilibrium point E_2 are $a_1 > 0$, $a_3 > 0$ and $a_1a_2 - a_3 > 0$.

It is obvious that $a_1 > 0, a_3 > 0$. Thus, the stability of E_2 are we fine the sign of $a_1a_2 - a_3$.

So that we calculate

$$a_1 a_2 - a_3 = \left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} x^* + \frac{r_2}{K_2} y^* + \frac{\sigma_1(1-m)}{y^*} x^* + \frac{\sigma_2}{x^*} y^* \right) \left(\left(\frac{r_1}{K_1} x^* + \frac{\sigma_2}{x^*} y^* \right) \left(\frac{r_2}{K_2} y^* + \frac{\sigma_1(1-m)}{y^*} x^* \right) + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \right) - k \alpha^2 x^* z^* \left(\frac{r_2}{K_2} y^* + \frac{\sigma_1(1-m)}{y^*} x^* \right)$$

> 0.

Hence $E_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$ is locally asymptotically stable.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that in an aquatic environment that consists of two zones, a free fishing zone and a reserve zone where fishing is strictly prohibited is very conducive. The mathematical and bio-economic theories concerning renewable resources for harvesting have been systematically developed. Criteria for local stability and global stability for system are derived. The competitive cooperative and predator-prey models have been studied and the result remains positive and hence stable for all the parameters used.

REFERENCES

- [1] Clark, C. W., Bio-economic Modeling and Fisheries Management, *John Wiley and Sons, New York*, 3 (1985); 381-391.
- [2] Clark, C. W., Mathematical Bio-economics, the Optimal Management of Renewable Resources, *John Wiley and Sons, New York* (1990); 0-471, 50883-7.
- [3] Kar, T. K. and Chakraborty, K., Marine Reserves and its Consequences as a Fisheries Management Tool, *World Journal of Modeling and Simulation*, 5 (2009); 83-95.
- [4] Kar, T. K. and Matsuda, H., A Bio-economic Model of a Single Species Fishery with Marine Reserve, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 86 (2008); 171-180.
- [5] Kar, T. K. and Swarnakamal, M., Influence of Prey Reserve in a Prey-predator Fishery, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 65 (2006); 1725-1735.
- [6] Kar, T. K., Selective Harvesting in a Prey-predator Fishery with Time Delay, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling*, 38 (2003); 449-458.
- [7] Kar, T. K. and Chaudhuri, K. S., Regulation of a Prey-predator Fishery by Taxation a Dynamic Reaction Model, *Journal of Biological System*, 11 (2003); 173-187.
- [8] Kronbak, L. G., The Dynamics of an Open-access Fishery, Baltic Sea Cod, *Marine Resource Economics*, 19 (2005); 459-479.
- [9] Mikkelesen, E., Aquaculture-Fisheries Interactions, *Marine Resource Economic*, 43 (2007); 287-303.
- [10] Zhang, R., Junfang, S. and Yang, H., Analysis of a Prey-predator Fishery Model with Prey Reserve, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 1 (50) (2007); 2481-2492.