Research paper

Predictors of patient comfort and adherence with less frequent cervical cancer screening

Kathy L MacLaughlin MD

Department of Family Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Kurt B Angstman MD MS

Department of Family Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Priscilla M Flynn DrPH

Office of Women's Health, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Jessica R Schmitt MEd

Office of Women's Health Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Amy L Weaver MS

Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Lynne T Shuster MD

Division of General Internal Medicine and Women's Health Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

ABSTRACT

Background The Papanicolaou (Pap) test is an effective, well-accepted screening tool that has led to a decrease in cervical cancer incidence and mortality. Updated evidence-based cervical cancer screening guidelines support less frequent testing in low-risk patients but have met resistance from providers and patients.

Aims To assess patient knowledge about cervical cancer screening and attitudes toward recommendations for less frequent testing.

Methods A hard copy of an eight-question survey was distributed to 389 women aged 30–64 years at two primary care clinics in Rochester, Minnesota, over a six-week period.

Results The survey response rate was 86.8%. Of the 280 women who responded to a survey question about the cause of cervical cancer, 212 (75.7%) identified human papillomavirus (HPV) as the cause. Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed

that this knowledge was not associated with feeling comfortable with a recommendation for less frequent testing or with support for less frequent testing. The only significant predictor of patient comfort and adherence with a two- to three-year Pap testing interval was the patient's belief about whether a Pap test was needed annually or every two or three years.

Conclusions Patient belief about Pap testing frequency was the strongest predictor of attitude toward less frequent Pap testing and was not based on knowledge of HPV. Future studies should explore why some patients continue to expect annual testing and identify interventions to help providers elicit and change patients' expectations about cervical cancer screening.

Keywords: cervical cancer, patient expectations, screening

How this fits in with quality in primary care

What do we know?

Patient knowledge about human papillomavirus and acceptance of less frequent Papanicolaou testing is increasing according to recent publications, but many women are still being over-screened for cervical cancer.

What does this study add?

Much of the health screening literature focuses on increasing testing rates. Our study adds to the literature by assessing predictors of patient comfort with, and adherence to, evidence-based screening guidelines that recommend less frequent use of a well-accepted screening test.

Introduction

The Papanicolaou (Pap) test is an effective screening tool that has led to a decrease in cervical cancer incidence and mortality. Although there are some discrepancies between the current guidelines from the American Cancer Society (ACS), the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), there is a consensus that Pap tests are not needed more often than every two to three years for routine screening among low-risk women. These guidelines are based on clinical trials showing minimal differences in the cumulative reduction of cervical cancer in comparisons of one-, two- and three-year Pap test intervals and an understanding of the transient nature of most human papillomavirus (HPV) infections.

Acceptance of Pap testing is high in the USA, and expectations for annual testing are so deep-seated that many patients resist less frequent screening intervals. Over-testing increases the potential for false-positive results, which may lead to further unnecessary evaluations, increased costs and increased anxiety. Despite the potential for these negative outcomes, the public is enthusiastic about annual cancer screening. 10

As part of a broader quality improvement project addressing adherence to cervical cancer screening guidelines at our medical institution, we sought to explore patient knowledge, understanding and attitudes toward cervical cancer screening. Specifically, we formulated two aims: (1) assess patient knowledge about Pap tests and cervical cancer; and (2) assess patient comfort level with a provider's recommendation for less frequent Pap testing and the likelihood of adherence to that recommendation.

Methods

Survey instrument and sampling frame

Our survey was created to test the following assumptions:

- The majority of patients surveyed would not know about appropriate screening intervals or the cause of cervical cancer (HPV).
- A history of a prior abnormal Pap test would be associated with a higher likelihood of knowing that HPV causes cervical cancer.
- Age would be inversely associated with knowledge levels about HPV (i.e. younger patients would have more knowledge).

 Knowledge of HPV as the cause of cervical cancer would predict increased comfort with and greater likelihood of following recommendations for less frequent testing.

Design of the patient survey was informed by several published surveys on HPV knowledge and was piloted among women similar to those in the study population. ^{11–13}. The survey contained eight closed questions (Table 1). Approval was sought to distribute 400 surveys, with a target of 250 completed surveys for analysis.

The Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approved this study. A paper survey was distributed by clinical desk staff personnel to women aged 30-64 years at Mayo Family Clinic Northeast and Mayo Family Clinic Northwest in Rochester, Minnesota, during a six-week period in November and December 2007. Together, the clinics serve 41 000 patients cared for by 31 physicians in family medicine, internal medicine and paediatrics, and by six mid-level providers. The patients seen in these practices are generally insured and have adequate access to health care and preventive services. Approximately 50% are Mayo Clinic employees or their dependents. Qualifying women were offered the survey regardless of the reason for their appointment. The age range of 30–64 years was chosen to reflect the age group of greatest consensus among the cervical cancer screening guidelines (ACS, ACOG and USPSTF).

Statistical analysis

For each of the eight survey questions, response percentages were based on the number of women who answered the questions. Comparisons were assessed using the χ^2 test. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between various factors with (1) how comfortable a patient was with changing Pap testing recommendations, and (2) how likely she was to follow those recommendations. Associations were summarised by calculating odds ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All *P*-values were two-tailed, and *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Of the 389 surveys distributed, three were discarded because the respondent was younger than 30 or older than 64, and 51 were returned blank (response rate, 86.8%). A total of 335 surveys were analysed, including 95 surveys with at least one missing response. Responses are summarised in Table 1.

 Table 1 Patient survey questions and responses

6 ^a 0.2 6.5 3.3 . 5.8 4.7 6.8 7.2 5.4	No. 34 289 11 1 119 82 89 24 18 3
5.8 4.7 6.8 7.2	289 11 1 119 82 89 24 18
5.8 4.7 6.8 7.2	289 11 1 119 82 89 24 18
3.3 5.8 4.7 6.8 7.2	11 1 119 82 89 24 18
5.8 4.7 6.8 7.2	1 119 82 89 24 18
5.8 4.7 6.8 7.2	119 82 89 24 18
4.7 6.8 7.2	82 89 24 18
4.7 6.8 7.2	82 89 24 18
6.8 7.2	89 24 18
7.2	24 18
	18
5.4	
	3
2.0	204
0.1	66
7.9	59
•	6
51.4 8.1 0.5	199 91 34 11
9.4	227
	86
4.3	14 8
•	o
1.4	4
	4 1
	261
	3
	7
	59
0.4	1
	0
	212
5.7	16
8.2	51
	55
	7.9

_			•	_			
12	n	Δ	7	Co	ntı	nı	אםו

	Female patients		
Questions and response choices	% ^a	No.	
8. What is your age? (optional)			
30–39	30.3	94	
40–49	36.1	112	
50–59	27.4	85	
60–64	6.1	19	
Not answered		25	

Pap, Papanicolaou. ^a Percentages are based on the number of women who answered that question. Data from Office of Women's Health PAPS Patient Survey. Rochester (MN): Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research.

Patients were stratified into two groups according to their reported knowledge of the cause of cervical cancer. Of the 280 women who responded to the question about the cause of cervical cancer, 212 (75.7%) identified HPV as the cause. The remaining 123 responses, n = 17 answered incorrectly, n = 51 not

sure and n = 55 did not answer the question, were grouped together for subsequent analysis. Patient age and history of a prior abnormal Pap test in the past five years were not significantly different between the groups (Table 2). Among women who believed that they needed to have future Pap testing, responses were

Table 2 Characteristics of women according to their knowledge of the cause of cervical cancer

Characteristic	Answered that HPV causes cervical cancer ^a			
	Yes $(n = 212)$	No, not sure or did not answer $(n = 123)$	P	
Age, years			0.50	
30–39	67/206 (32.6%)	27/104 (26.0%)		
40–49	69/206 (33.5%)	43/104 (41.3%)		
50–59	58/206 (28.2%)	27/104 (26.0%)		
60–64	12/206 (5.8%)	7/104 (6.7%)		
History of prior abnormal Pap test results	17/212 (8.0%)	17/122 (13.9%)	0.09	
Frequency of Pap test ^{b,c}			0.067	
Every year	73/199 (36.7%)	46/115 (40.0%)		
Every 2 years	50/199 (25.1%)	32/115 (27.8%)		
Every 3 years	65/199 (32.7%)	24/115 (20.9%)		
Not sure	11/199 (5.5%)	13/115 (11.3%)		
Comfortable without yearly Pap test ^{c,d}	122/194 (62.9%)	65/114 (57.0%)	0.31	
Would follow recommendation ^{c,e}	140/196 (71.4%)	76/115 (66.1%)	0.32	

HPV, human papillomavirus; Pap, Papanicolaou. ^a According to responses to question 7 (Table 1): 'What causes cervical cancer?'. ^b According to responses to question 2 (Table 1): 'How often do you believe you need a Pap test?'. ^c Excludes the 21 women who either did not respond to question 2 (Table 1) or responded, 'I have been told I do not need a Pap test'. ^d According to responses to question 4 (Table 1): 'If your doctor/provider told you that you no longer need a yearly Pap test (now every 2–3 years), how would you feel?'. Other responses for comparison were 'uncomfortable' and 'not sure'. ^e According to responses to question 5 (Table 1): 'If your doctor/provider told you that you no longer need a yearly Pap test, what would you do?'. Other responses for comparison were 'prefer a yearly Pap test' and other comments.

similar between women who knew that HPV causes cervical cancer and those who did not when asked how often they thought they should be tested (P = 0.067), whether they would be comfortable with a recommendation to change the frequency of Pap testing to every two to three years (P = 0.31), and whether they would follow their provider's recommendation of no longer needing a yearly Pap test (P = 0.32).

Responses from the subset of women who believed that they needed to have future Pap testing and reported having no abnormal Pap test results in the past five years were evaluated further. Among those who correctly answered that HPV was the cause of cervical cancer, those who felt that Pap testing should be done annually were significantly less likely to be comfortable with a change in frequency and significantly less likely to follow their provider's advice than those who felt that testing could be done every two or three years (P < 0.001 for both questions). Similar associations were observed among patients who were incorrect about or unsure of the cause of cervical cancer or did not answer the question (P < 0.001 for both questions; Table 3). Irrespective of their understanding of the cause of cervical cancer, women who felt that Pap testing should be done annually, compared with women who felt that testing could be done every two or three years, were significantly less likely to be comfortable with a change in recommendations (29.6% vs 80.8%, P<0.001) and significantly less likely to report a willingness to follow the provider's advice (40.5% vs 86.1%, P<0.001).

Tables 4 and 5 summarise the results of the multivariable logistic regression analysis for the women who believed that they needed future Pap testing regarding whether they would be comfortable with a change in screening frequency recommendations and whether they would follow a provider's advice. With analyses controlling for age, history of abnormal Pap testing, and knowledge of the cause of cervical cancer, patients who believed that they needed Pap testing every two or three years were 8.4 times (95% CI, 4.69-15.14) more likely to report being comfortable with a change in recommendations compared with patients who believed that they needed Pap testing yearly. Likewise, patients who believed that they needed Pap testing every two or three years were 10.8 times (95% CI, 5.68-20.63) more likely to report that they would follow their provider's recommendation of no longer needing a yearly Pap test.

Discussion

Past studies of patients' attitudes toward Pap screening frequency have noted resistance to decreasing intervals

Table 3 Association between patient belief about Pap test frequency and (1) patient comfort with changing Pap test frequency and (2) likelihood of accepting recommendation according to patient knowledge that HPV causes cervical cancer^a

	Answered that HPV causes cervical cancer ^b					
	Yes			No, not sure or did not answer		
Patient response	Need Pap test yearly ^c	Need Pap test every 2 or 3 years ^c	P	Need Pap test yearly ^c	Need Pap test every 2 or 3 years ^c	P
Comfortable with recommendation of no longer needing a yearly Pap test ^d	17/53 (32.1%)	88/108 (81.5%)	< 0.001	9/35 (25.7%)	38/48 (79.2%)	< 0.001
Would follow recommendation of no longer needing a yearly Pap test ^e	24/54 (44.4%)	95/109 (87.2%)	< 0.001	12/35 (34.3%)	41/49 (83.7%)	< 0.001

HPV, human papillomavirus; Pap, Papanicolaou. ^a Within the subset of 273 women who believed that they needed to have future Pap testing and reported having no abnormal Pap test results in the past 5 years. ^b According to responses to question 7 (Table 1): 'What causes cervical cancer?'. ^c According to responses to question 2 (Table 1): 'How often do you believe you need a Pap test?'. ^d According to responses to question 4 (Table 1): 'If your doctor/provider told you that you no longer need a yearly Pap test (now every 2–3 years), how would you feel?'. ^c According to responses to question 5 (Table 1): 'If your doctor/provider told you that you no longer need a yearly Pap test, what would you do?'.

Table 4 Multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors for association with whether the patient would feel comfortable with the recommendation that a Pap test was no longer needed yearly^a

Factor	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P
Age, years		0.87
30–39	1.22 (0.62–2.38)	
40–49	Referent	
50–59	1.00 (0.50-2.01)	
60–64	1.54 (0.37–6.48)	
History of prior abnormal Pap testing		0.46
Yes	0.72 (0.29–1.75)	
No or not sure	Referent	
Knowledge of HPV as cause of cervical cancer		0.79
Yes	1.08 (0.60–1.94)	
No, not sure, or not answered	Referent	
Belief about Pap test timing		< 0.001
Every year	Referent	
Every 2 or 3 years	8.43 (4.69–15.14)	
Not sure	4.97 (1.68–14.70)	

CI, confidence interval; HPV, human papillomavirus; Pap, Papanicolaou. ^a Analysis was limited to the subset of 317 women who believed that they needed to have future Pap testing. Of these 317 women, 284 provided complete responses to the outcome variable and all four factors included in the analysis.

Table 5 Multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors for association with whether the patient would follow the provider's recommendation that a yearly Pap test was no longer needed^a

Factor	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P
Age, years		0.49
30–39	0.88 (0.44–1.77)	
40–49	Referent	
50–59	1.60 (0.74–3.43)	
60–64	1.46 (0.27–7.97)	
History of prior abnormal Pap testing		0.40
Yes	1.46 (0.61–3.53)	
No or not sure	Referent	
Knowledge of HPV as cause of cervical cancer		0.86
Yes	1.06 (0.57–1.96)	
No, not sure, or not answered	Referent	
Belief about Pap test timing		< 0.001
Every year	Referent	
Every 2 or 3 years	10.82 (5.68–20.63)	
Not sure	7.69 (2.01–29.42)	

CI, confidence interval; HPV, human papillomavirus; Pap, Papanicolaou. ^a Analysis was limited to the subset of 317 women who believed that they needed to have future Pap testing. Of these 317 women, 284 provided complete responses to the outcome variable and all four factors included in the analysis.

for Pap testing. 9,14,15 When Rolnick et al14 surveyed women in a health maintenance organisation and asked their opinion about a three-year interval for screening Pap tests, only 14% responded in support of a three-year interval, 16% were neutral, 20% were sceptical and 50% had a negative response. Sirovich et al⁹ reviewed a 2002 survey involving 360 women: 75% reported preferring annual screening and 69% reported that they would continue to request annual screening even if their physicians advised a decreased frequency. Smith et al¹⁵ explored patient attitudes about risk-based cervical cancer screening through focus group interviews of patients from three different settings: an urban clinic, a university health centre and a rural family practice residency clinic. The women interviewed did not want to reduce the frequency of Pap testing and did not trust the rationale for a reduction in frequency.

Published reports indicate that patients' knowledge of HPV and its association with cervical cancer is increasing. Tiro *et al*¹⁶ analysed data from 3076 women aged 18–75 years and found that only 40% had heard of HPV, with half of that group knowing that HPV causes cervical cancer. Low levels of knowledge about the connection between HPV and cervical cancer were also found among community family practice patients, university health service patients, nursing students and patients with a history of cervical cancer. ^{5,17,18} The recent introduction of HPV vaccination and associated advertising has resulted in broader knowledge. A more recent survey of 1282 university students in the northeastern USA showed that 77% knew that HPV could cause cervical cancer. ¹⁹

In this study, contrary to our assumptions, over half of the 335 patients whose surveys we analysed knew about extended Pap test screening (51.5%) and the majority knew that HPV causes cervical cancer (75.7%). Similar to the results reported by Benning and Lund,²⁰ our findings showed that a history of a prior abnormal Pap test was not associated with a higher likelihood of knowing that HPV is the cause of cervical cancer. In our study, there was not a significant difference in HPV knowledge according to age; this finding contrasts with the finding of Pitts et al²¹ that persons between the ages of 26 and 45 years (in a survey population aged 18-70) had more knowledge of HPV. Although the majority of our surveyed population knew why Pap tests are done and knew that HPV causes cervical cancer, the only statistically significant predictor of comfort and adherence with two- to three-year interval guidelines was the patient's prior belief about whether a Pap test is needed annually or every two or three years.

Meissner *et al*²² reported a growing patient acceptance to follow physician recommendations for less frequent testing: more than half of the women were willing to adopt three-year test intervals. Among the

2206 women in the 2005 Health Information National Trends Survey, 63% reported a willingness to follow a three-year interval for Pap testing if their healthcare provider recommended it. Factors associated with an increased willingness to follow a three-year interval included lower educational attainment, less exposure to multiple sources of health information, already following a three-year screening interval, age 65 years or older and no family or personal experience with cancer. The response rate is similar to our findings of 61.4% reporting that they would be comfortable with a two- to three-year interval and 69.4% reporting that they would follow their provider's advice for less frequent Pap tests. Another finding similar to ours was that women already on a three-year schedule (and therefore with a prior expectation of less frequent screening) were willing to accept the recommendation.

Patient expectation has been shown to influence physician decision making for prescribing, referring and test ordering (including ordering screening tests), and has even been shown to modify the evidence-based views of physicians.^{23–25} Future studies could evaluate interventions to influence patient expectations for screening frequency. Haggerty *et al*²⁵ suggested a strategy of eliciting patient expectations rather than inferring them as a starting point for discussion between provider and patient.

The findings from our patient survey may not be applicable to other practice settings because $\sim 50\%$ of the surveyed patients were healthcare employees or their dependents and thus may have had more medical knowledge than patients in typical community practices. We did not ask survey respondents about specific employment, educational or economic status, which might be additional factors predicting understanding of Pap test screening. It was not possible to ascertain to what extent all female patients in the targeted age range were administered a survey. It is possible that women of different cultural backgrounds who may have been less knowledgeable about cervical cancer screening were not included in the final study population.

An important strength of this study was its focus on understanding patients' acceptance of less frequent Pap tests for women not known to be at increased risk of cervical cancer. Few studies have examined patient predictors of adherence with cancer screening guidelines from a perspective of decreasing the overuse of recommended tests rather than increasing their use. The excellent survey response rate of 86.8% allowed us to assess knowledge and beliefs of a representative sample of this particular group of women in a large primary care practice.

In summary, women in the surveyed population had high levels of knowledge about why Pap tests are performed, the recommended screening intervals and the cause of cervical cancer. Although approximately two-thirds of the women expressed comfort with twoto three-year Pap test intervals and a willingness to adhere to the recommendation, close to one-third of the women surveyed reported discomfort and a preference for continuing with annual testing. The only predictor of comfort and adherence was the patient's belief about how often the test was needed.

Further exploration should address the question of why some women at low risk of cervical cancer still expect an annual Pap test despite updated evidencebased guidelines. Identifying interventions to help medical providers elicit and change patients' expectations about screening tests, specifically Pap testing, would be useful.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge additional Partners Assessing Pap Screening (PAPS) team members, including Drs Brigitte Barrette, Rajeev Chaudhry, Michael Henry and Thomas Kastner, Ms Shari Frueh RN and Ms Rita Jones RN. We also acknowledge Ms Jillian Himli for her assistance with manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- 1 Hartmann KE, Hall SA, Nanda K, Boggess JF and Zolnoun D. *Screening for Cervical Cancer*. Systematic Evidence Review, No. 25. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US), Jan 2002.
- 2 Saslow D, Runowicz CD, Solomon D et al. American Cancer Society. American Cancer Society guideline for the early detection of cervical neoplasia and cancer. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians 2002 Nov–Dec;52(6): 342–62.
- 3 US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for cervical cancer: recommendations and rationale. *American Journal of Nursing* 2003 Nov;103(11):101–2, 105–6, 108–9.
- 4 ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins–Gynecology. ACOG Practice Bulletin no. 109: cervical cytology screening. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2009 Dec;114(6): 1409–20.
- 5 IARC Working Group on Evaluation of Cervical Cancer Screening Programmes. Screening for squamous cervical cancer: duration of low risk after negative results of cervical cytology and its implication for screening policies. *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Ed)* 1986 Sep 13;293(6548):659–64.
- 6 Ho GY, Bierman R, Beardsley L, Chang CJ and Burk RD. Natural history of cervicovaginal papillomavirus infection in young women. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1998 Feb 12;338(7):423–8.
- 7 Oboler SK, Prochazka AV, Gonzales R, Xu S and Anderson RJ. Public expectations and attitudes for annual physical examinations and testing. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2002 May 7;136(9):652–9.

- 8 Sirovich BE and Welch HG. The frequency of Pap smear screening in the United States. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2004 Mar;19(3):243–50.
- 9 Sirovich BE, Woloshin S and Schwartz LM. Screening for cervical cancer: will women accept less? *American Journal of Medicine* 2005 Feb;118(2):151–8.
- 10 Schwartz LM, Woloshin S, Fowler FJ Jr and Welch HG. Enthusiasm for cancer screening in the United States. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2004 Jan 7;291(1):71–8.
- 11 Denny-Smith T, Bairan A and Page MC. A survey of female nursing students' knowledge, health beliefs, perceptions of risk, and risk behaviors regarding human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners* 2006 Feb; 18(2):62–9.
- 12 Waller J, McCaffery K, Forrest S, Szarewski A, Cadman L and Wardle J. Awareness of human papillomavirus among women attending a well woman clinic. *Sexually Transmitted Infections* 2003 Aug;79(4):320–2.
- 13 Pruitt SL, Parker PA, Peterson SK, Le T, Follen M and Basen-Engquist K. Knowledge of cervical dysplasia and human papillomavirus among women seen in a colposcopy clinic. *Gynecologic Oncology* 2005 Dec;99(3 Suppl 1): S236–44. Epub 2005 Sep 8.
- 14 Rolnick SJ, LaFerla JJ, Jackson J, Akkerman D and Compo R. Impact of a new cervical Pap smear screening guideline on member perceptions and comfort levels. *Preventive Medicine* 1999 May;28(5):530–4.
- 15 Smith M, French L and Barry HC. Periodic abstinence from Pap (PAP) smear study: women's perceptions of Pap smear screening. *Annals of Family Medicine* 2003 Nov–Dec;1(4):203–8.
- 16 Tiro JA, Meissner HI, Kobrin S and Chollette V. What do women in the U.S. know about human papillomavirus and cervical cancer? *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 2007 Feb;16(2):288–94. Epub 2007 Jan 30.
- 17 Holcomb B, Bailey JM, Crawford K and Ruffin MT 4th. Adults' knowledge and behaviors related to human papillomavirus infection. *Journal of the American Board of Family Practitioners* 2004 Jan–Feb;17(1):26–31.
- 18 Stark A, Gregoire L, Pilarski R, Zarbo A, Gaba A and Lancaster WD. Human papillomavirus, cervical cancer and women's knowledge. *Cancer Detection and Prevention* 2008;32(1):15–22. Epub 2008 Apr 10.
- 19 Sandfort JR and Pleasant A. Knowledge, attitudes, and informational behaviors of college students in regard to the human papillomavirus. *Journal of American College Health* 2009 Sep–Oct;58(2):141–9.
- 20 Benning BR and Lund MR. Patient knowledge about human papillomavirus and relationship to history of abnormal Papanicolaou test results. *Journal of Lower Genital Tract Disease* 2007 Jan;11(1):29–34.
- 21 Pitts MK, Heywood W, Ryall R *et al.* Knowledge of human papillomavirus (HPV) and the HPV vaccine in a national sample of Australian men and women. *Sexual Health* 2010 Sep;7(3):299–303.
- 22 Meissner HI, Tiro JA, Yabroff KR, Haggstrom DA and Coughlin SS. Too much of a good thing? Physician practices and patient willingness for less frequent Pap

- test screening intervals. *Medical Care* 2010 Mar;48(3): 249–59.
- 23 Webb S and Lloyd M. Prescribing and referral in general practice: a study of patients' expectations and doctors' actions. *British Journal of General Practice* 1994 Apr; 44(381):165–9.
- 24 Kravitz RL, Bell RA, Azari R, Kelly-Reif S, Krupat E and Thom DH. Direct observation of requests for clinical services in office practice: what do patients want and do they get it? *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2003 Jul 28;163(14):1673–81.
- 25 Haggerty J, Tudiver F, Brown JB, Herbert C, Ciampi A and Guibert R. Patients' anxiety and expectations: how they influence family physicians' decisions to order cancer screening tests. *Canadian Family Physician* 2005 Dec;51:1658–9.

FUNDING

This project was supported by the Mayo Clinic Office of Women's Health: Quality Improvement Initiative.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approved this study.

PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Kathy L MacLaughlin, Department of Family Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA. Email: mayo.edu

Received 18 April 2011 Accepted 29 September 2011