EDITORIAL

Outcome and Quality of Life in Chronic Pancreatitis

Giorgio Talamini, Claudio Bassi, Giovanni Butturini, Massimo Falconi, Luca Casetti, Andrew A Gumbs, Silvia Carrara, Alberto Fantin, Paolo Pederzoli

Digestive Endoscopy, Department of Gastroenterology and Surgical Sciences, University of Verona. Verona, Italy

Introduction

Chronic pancreatitis (CP) is a progressive inflammatory disorder that causes abdominal pain, various local complications and endocrine-exocrine pancreatic insufficiency.

In Western countries it is correlated with alcohol abuse in about 75% of cases, constituting a disease process with devastating social consequences since the patients can also become opiate dependent.

The evolution of CP is not easy to study secondary to its relative rarity, delay in diagnosis (on the average 1.5 years after the onset of symptoms), and above all, its long course, on the order of decades, with the average age of onset being around 40 years of age. In any case because the evolution of the disease often lasts longer than the involvement of the doctors in the various research projects, many experiences of generations of researchers in a specific referral period are effectively lost.

Methods of Evaluating Outcome

We can divide the developmental parameters necessary for the evaluation of the outcome of chronic pancreatitis into three periods.

In the first period the description of morbidity and mortality is limited to the surgical procedures performed [1, 2, 3]. These parameters considered alone are very limited in their ability to evaluate the evolution of a disease, which by definition is chronic. Emblematic of this are cases of major resections with reduced peri-operative morbidity and mortality, but with severe medium and long-term effects on pancreatic function (diabetes, malnutrition), recurrent pain and loss in working days.

In the second period a series of publications have been produced that have repeated the clinical observations in the follow-up period and which are concerned with gain as an indication of good or bad nutrition, subsequent hospitalizations for complications, and the development of diabetes mellitus. Such effort has been much more accurate than the preceding case and has brought a more realistic description of the life of the patients.

The limit of such an approach consists in the strict medical evaluation, without taking into account the perspective of the patients, who have endured the various procedures, sometimes very invasive, and the subsequent changes in them and their lives. This type of evaluation focusing on the point of view of the patient appears more constant, less is taken for granted, but this is also much more difficult to quantify (see Table 1).

The Questionnaires on "Quality of Life" (QLQ)

The actual method consists of the use of appropriate questionnaires given to patients and which contain questions regarding their daily lives Various models exist, some are specific to disease, while some can be used more universally, such as SF-36 [4], which is very useful in many countries. Nonetheless, there still is no specific questionnaire for patients with CP, although some studies to evaluate EORTC QLQ-C30 e PAN26 are already being used in pancreatic cancer [5].

On the basis of the responses obtained it is possible to evaluate the ability to work, social adaptation, cognitive factors and emotions that enable us to understand the patient's perception of his health, that is to say, the degree of satisfaction and the quality of life. Naturally, this is correlated with the severity of symptoms, type of treatment, duration of the disease, pharmacotherapeutics and characteristics of the patients (weight, diet).

Utility of Information Obtained

The need to have an adequate instrument to measure the outcome and quality of life is currently very urgent because of the plethora of therapeutic options at our disposal; in fact, aside from the traditional surgical procedures to allieve pain, there are a series of new therapeutic techniques such as extra-corporeal lithotripsy [6], endoscopy and thorascopic splanchnicectomy [7, 8]. These must be tested as objectively as possible, not only in terms of efficacy, but also with regard to the clinical and social consequences [9]. Such methods of evaluation should be effective, trustworthy and be easy to employ even for the patient. This new frontier in which the doctor finds himself has an enormous practical relevance. In the future, the evaluation of the efficacy of a procedure may be based on its effects on the quality of life [10, 11, 12]. Today, however, there does not exist a method unequivocally accepted by all and the comparison among diverse methods applied by various centers is

Author Year Study **Outcome Evaluated** Bloechle et al. [36] 1995 Quality of life after partial resection of the Questionnaire on quality of life with 50 head of the pancreas. questions. Buechler et al. [10] 1995 Randomized trial. Comparing duodenal- Evaluation of the quality of life with a 6 preserving pancreatic head resection with month follow-up. Considering: recurrent pylorus-preserving pancreatic head resection pain, weight professional gain, rehabilitation. Broome et al. [37] 1996 Three groups: necrosectomy for acute Telephone submitted SF-36 [4] to evaluate pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis treated quality of life. medically and a control group. Evans et al. [24] 1997 Outcomes of patients with chronic Evaluation of the quality of life based on: pancreatitis treated surgically. perioperative morbidity and mortality, relief of pain, analgesic use, rehabilitation and long-term surgical sequelae. 1998 Izbicki et al. [38] Comparison of two surgical procedures: Questionnaire on the quality of life with 50 wide drainage of the duct and pancreatic questions. resection. Beger et al. [16] 1999 considering of rehabilitation Outcomes patients who Evaluation social underwent a duodenal-preserving pancreatic considering: ability to work, evaluation of head resection. pain with a point system, and the Karnofsky index.

Table 1. Some publications with evaluation of quality of life in patients with chronic pancreatitis.

not easy. Table 1 illustrates several methods used in recent studies of CP to assess outcome.

The Future

One method, officially appraised and universally applied, could resolve the problem of the lack of uniformity in the evaluation of outcome and could create a true revolution in the therapeutic management of these patients in the various phases of the disease if they were to be referred to more specialized centers.

At the end of 1984, Frey reported a need for an improvement in the evaluation of data in the treatment of CP [13]. One recently published article assessing the treatment of patients with pain from CP [14], confirmed the importance of evaluating 3 factors pre and post-operatively: pain, the use of analgesics and the quality of life. Other authors also recommend an alternative assessment of the quality of life supporting the necessity of a standardized and well-evaluated questionnaire [15]. While waiting for this questionnaire (in reality one already exists and is being evaluated), in more recent publications the authors, mainly surgeons, have begun to add a more precise evaluation of the quality of life to the common series of data.

Clinical Evolution: What Is Known ?

The cardinal symptom of CP is abdominal pain. Various pathophysiologic theories have been explored to explain this and there are two principal schools of thought which identify ductal hypertension and terminal nerve damage, respectively, as the etiology of this pain. This has justified the 2 most diffusely used interventions, surgical and endoscopic decompression of the pancreatic duct and operative resection [16].

Clinically, pain can have 2 origins [17]: the first is due to inflammation and this pain can be significant, similar to an attack of acute pancreatitis, but it is usually present with episodes of milder intensity, of variable duration and alternating with pain free periods. The pain is particularly intense in the initial 6 years from presentation [18]. As time passes the symptoms tend to decrease more in intensity than in incidence, nonetheless, after 10 years about 50% of the patients still suffer from attacks of pain [19, 20]. In our experience after 15 years of follow-up about 25% of the patients still experience pain, but of these, about 75% have less than 1 recurrence of pain per year [21].

The other type is pain due to complications of the disease. Usually, complications such as pseudocysts, obstructive phenomena which block the emptying of the duodenum or biliary tree, have an excellent chance of being treated surgically. Biliary pathology (stenosis and stones) was reviewed in our series of patients and those who underwent surgery on the biliary tree with or without associated surgery, [390 subjects], pancreatic pseudocysts (46%)developed within 10 years from the onset of symptoms in about 50% of the patients [18] necessitating mainly drainage procedures (pseudocystojejunostomy) though some resections were required.

An important cause of pain is due to obstruction of the duct of Wirsung due to either stenosis or calcification, which develop after 15 years of disease in about 80% of patients.

Peptic pathology is also frequent and 40% of our patients suffer from it during their lifetimes with 14% needing gastric resections, however the majority of these cases were from the pre-H2-antagonist era.

Late Phase of Chronic Pancreatitis

The late phase of CP is characterized by exocrine and endocrine pancreatic insufficiency with the presentation of malabsorption and diabetes mellitus. In our series non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) was diagnosed in 45 patients (17%), and insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) in 156 (18%), while in 52 cases (6%) both were diagnosed. In 14 patients with IDDM (2%) and in 16 (2%) with NIDDM, the diagnosis of diabetes preceded the presentation of CP.

In a recently published paper IDDM and its complications were shown to have have a very negative impact on the quality of life for patients with CP [22], more than many other events thus necessitating frequent follow-up or admissions.

What is Obtained Surgically?

Traditionally, the effective treatment for pain of pancreatic origin can be correctly defined as the primary objective to be achieved. Surgery, resection or drainage, has an important role in treating patients with pain, achieving a lasting resolution in about 70% of cases [16, 18, 23, 24]. After 15 years of follow-up about 70% of the patients undergo pancreatic surgery. In our series 71% of the subjects who underwent a pancreatic jejunal anastamosis for pain no longer suffered from abdominal pain 5 years after the procedure [18].

The mortality in patients with CP varies in 4 studies with similar periods of observation (6.3 to 10 years) from 20.8% to 35% [19, 20, 25, 26]. In our series of 715 patients, the mortality was 25.7% 15 years after the initial presentation of the disease and 37% after 20 years [21]. The principle cause of death is due to extraintestinal malignancies (mainly pulmonary) followed by hepatic cirrhosis (13%),cardiovascular pathology (11%), pancreatic cancer (8%), diabetes mellitus (5%). gastro-intestinal hemorrhage (4%) and acute pancreatitis (2%).

Risk of Neoplasia

One of the most severe late complications is the development of a neoplasm which occurs in about 24% of patients 20 years after the initial presentation of disease [26]. One of the risk factors that seems to be more important in the

pathogenesis of CP than was initially thought is tobacco use [27, 28]. This seems relevant as an independent risk factor for the development of CP [29], but also as a risk factor for pancreatic tumorigenesis [26]. Aside from alcohol, various studies have confirmed the causal association of cigarette smoking and CP [27, 28, 30, 31]. In our group about 700 patients with CP (90%) smoked while in the control population only 35% smoked. This percentage is elevated not only in alcohol related pancreatitis, but also in idiopathic (60% smokers) and familial cases. This association, moreover, of alcohol and tobacco use is in 80% of chronic pancreatitis, yet in only 16% of a population controlled for sex and age [32]. Even though there has been a progressive reduction in the population, the prevalence of smoking in CP has remained elevated and stable in the last 20 years [31].

These habits weigh heavily on the outcome of CP, in fact, at 20 years from presentation about 4% of the patients develop a pancreatic neoplasm [26, 33] while 20% develop an extraintestinal tumor [26]. These values are notably more elevated than expected upon comparison with data from tumor registries, 13 times more elevated for the pancreatic neoplasms and about 2 times more elevated for other neoplasms.

Smokers and Non-Smokers

In our study we observed that non-smokers with CP had a lower or equal risk of neoplasia than the general population. It was recently calculated that of all pancreatic neoplasms which develop in chronic pancreatitis, about 1/3 are directly due to smoking cigarettes, while the remaining 2/3 are due to smoking and/or other factors [31].

Possible Prevention

In the general population quitting smoking reduces the risk of contracting pancreatic cancer [34]. In our series of CP, excluding the cases that developed after the diagnosis of CP (probably error in diagnosis) [35], none of the 81 non-smokers or of the 82 patients who quit smoking after the presentation of CP have until now developed a pancreatic neoplasm even though the median follow-up is about 12 years [26, 31]. As a result, it is extremely important to suggest that patients with CP stop smoking because this agent is not only a risk factor for the development of the disease and also for the amount of attacks per year [18], but also because it is associated with the development of pancreatic cancer.

Conclusions

In CP, the initial years after presentation are characterized by pain and the appearance of complications, such as pseudocysts, which profoundly hinder the quality of life of patients. Coupled with a decrease in alcohol intake, there is a confirmed amelioration of pain and treatment of complications after surgery in about 70% of the patients. In the later years of the disease, exocrine pancreatic insufficiency appears (usually discreetly managed with oral supplements), as does diabetes mellitus, which if insulin dependent has a very negative impact on the quality of life. Neoplastic degeneration, often associated with smoking, seems to occur in the last period.

Although not yet adequately quantified, quitting smoking can reasonably be felt to have an important impact on prevention.

The assessment of the quality of life in CP may modify our approach to the treatment of these patients.

Key words Cause of Death; Diabetes Mellitus; Fatal Outcome; Pancreatitis; Pancreatic Neoplasms; Pancreatic Pseudocyst; Quality of Life; Review Literature; Risk Factors; Surgery

Abbreviations CP: chronic pancreatitis; IDDMI insulin dependent diabetes mellitus;

NIDDM: non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; QLQ: questionnaire on Quality of Life

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Franco De Carli (Glaxo Wellcome) for his suggestions.

Correspondence

Giorgio Talamini Digestive Endoscopy University of Verona 37134 Verona Italy Phone: +39-045-8074.501 Fax: +39-045-508.815 E-mail address: giorgio.talamini@univr.it

References

1. Warren KW. Surgical management of chronic relapsing pancreatitis. Am J Surg 1969;117:24-32. [69066424]

2. Leger L, Lenriot JP, Lemaigre G. Five to twenty year followup after surgery for chronic pancreatitis in 148 patients. Ann Surg 1974;180:185-91. [74276894]

3. Frey CF, Child CG, Fry W. Pancreatectomy for chronic pancreatitis. Ann Surg 1976;184:403-13. [77110864]

4. Apolone G, Mosconi P, JE W. Questionario sullo stato di salute SF-36. Milano: Edizioni Angelo Guerini e Associati, 1997.

5. Fitzsimmons D, Johnson CD, George S, Payne S, Sandberg AA, Bassi C, et al. Development of a disease specific quality of life (QoL) questionnaire module to supplement the EORTC core cancer QoL questionnaire, the QLQ- C30 in patients with pancreatic cancer. EORTC Study Group on Quality of Life. Eur J Cancer 1999;35:939-41. [20003668]

6. Costamagna G, Gabbrielli A, Mutignani M, Perri V, Pandolfi M, Boscaini M, Crucitti F. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy of pancreatic stones in chronic pancreatitis: immediate and medium-term results. Gastrointest Endosc 1997;46:231-6. [98017982]

7. Stone HH, Chauvin EJ. Pancreatic denervation for pain relief in chronic alcohol associated pancreatitis. Br J Surg 1990;77:303-5. [90213134]

8. Imrie CW, Menezes N, Carter CR. Diagnosis of Chronic Pancreatitis and Newer Aspects of Pain Control. Digestion 1999;60 Suppl S1:111-113. [99152110]

9. Alexandre J, Bouillot J. Quality-of- life assessment in pancreatic surgery. Theor Surg 1992;7:18-20.

10. Buchler MW, Friess H, Muller MW, Wheatley AM, Beger HG. Randomized trial of duodenum-preserving pancreatic head resection versus pylorus-preserving Whipple in chronic pancreatitis. Am J Surg 1995;169:65-9; discussion 69-70. [95117763]

11. Johnson CD, Fitzsimmons D. Quality of life assessment in chronic pancreatitis [letter]. Int J Pancreatol 1998;24:63-4, discussion 65. [98419029]

12. Izbicki JR, Bloechle C, Knoefel WT, Rogiers X, Kuechler T. Surgical treatment of chronic pancreatitis and quality of life after operation. Surg Clin North Am 1999;79:913-44. [99399350]

13. Frey CF, Bodai BI. Surgery in chronic pancreatitis. Clin Gastroenterol 1984;13:913-40. [85025514]

14. Frey CF, Pitt HA, Yeo CJ, Prinz RA. A plea for uniform reporting of patient outcome in chronic pancreatitis. Arch Surg 1996;131:233-4. [96197918]

15. Glasbrenner B, Adler G. Evaluating pain and the quality of life in chronic pancreatitis. Int J Pancreatol 1997;22:163-70. [98106951]

16. Beger HG, Schlosser W, Friess HM, Buchler MW. Duodenum-preserving head resection in chronic pancreatitis changes the natural course of the disease: a single-center 26-year experience. Ann Surg 1999;230:512-9; discussion 519-23. [99450556]

17. Ammann RW, Muellhaupt B. The natural history of pain in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 1999;116:1132-40. [99238887]

18. Talamini G, Bassi C, Falconi M, Sartori N, Salvia R, Di Francesco V, et al. Pain relapses in the first 10 years of chronic pancreatitis. Am J Surg 1996;171:565-9. [96291176]

19. Miyake H, Harada H, Kunichika K, Ochi K, Kimura I. Clinical course and prognosis of chronic pancreatitis. Pancreas 1987;2:378-85. [87317564]

20. Lankisch PG, Lohr-Happe A, Otto J, Creutzfeldt W. Natural course in chronic pancreatitis. Pain, exocrine and endocrine pancreatic insufficiency and prognosis of the disease. Digestion 1993;54:148-55. [93365977]

21. Cavallini G, Frulloni L, Pederzoli P, Talamini G, Bovo P, Bassi C, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients suffering from chronic pancreatitis in Italy. Scand J Gastroentrol 1998;33:880-9. [98425733] 22. Petrin P, Andreoli A, Antoniutti M, Zaramella D, Da Lio C, Bonadimani B, et al. Surgery for chronic pancreatitis: what quality of life ahead? World J Surg 1995;19:398-402. [95366205]

23. Ihse I, Borch K, Larsson J. Chronic pancreatitis: results of operations for relief of pain. World J Surg 1990;14:53-8. [90163444]

24. Evans JD, Wilson PG, Carver C, Bramhall SR, Buckels JA, Mayer AD, et al. Outcome of surgery for chronic pancreatitis. Br J Surg 1997;84:624-9. [97315742]

25. Ammann RW, Akovbiantz A, Largiader F, Schueler G. Course and outcome of chronic pancreatitis. Longitudinal study of a mixed medical-surgical series of 245 patients. Gastroenterology 1984;86:820-8. [84159369]

26. Talamini G, Falconi M, Bassi C, Sartori N, Salvia R, Caldiron E, et al. Incidence of Cancer in the Course of Chronic Pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 1999;94:1253-60. [99249282]

27. Lowenfels AB, Zwemer FL, Jhangiani S, Pitchumoni CS. Pancreatitis in a native American Indian population. Pancreas 1987;2:694-7. [88144425]

28. Yen S, Hsieh CC, MacMahon B. Consumption of alcohol and tobacco and other risk factors for pancreatitis. Am J Epidemiol 1982;116:407-14. [83019666]

29. Talamini G, Bassi C, Falconi M, Frulloni L, Di Francesco V, Vaona B, et al. Cigarette smoking: an independent risk factor in alcoholic pancreatitis. Pancreas 1996;12:131-7. [96342921]

30. Bourliere M, Barthet M, Berthezene P, Durbec JP, Sarles H. Is tobacco a risk factor for chronic pancreatitis and alcoholic cirrhosis? Gut 1991;32:1392-5. [92090768]

31. Talamini G, Bassi C, Falconi M, Sartori N, Salvia R, Rigo L, et al. Alcohol and smoking as risk factors in chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. Dig Dis Sci 1999;44:1303-11. [99418442]

32. Talamini G, Bassi C. The "natural" history of pain in chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2000;118:235-7. [20106443]

33. Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Cavallini G, Ammann RW, Lankisch PG, Andersen JR, et al. Pancreatitis and the risk of pancreatic cancer. New Engl J Med 1993;328:1433-7. [93241228]

34. Silverman DT, Dunn JA, Hoover RN, Schiffman M, Lillemoe KD, Schoenberg JB, et al. Cigarette smoking

JOP. J. Pancreas (Online) 2001; 2(4):117-123.

and pancreas cancer: a case-control study based on direct interviews. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994;86:1510-6. [95018320]

35. Talamini G, Bassi C, Falconi M, Sartori N, Pasetto M, Salvia R, et al. Early Detection of Pancreatic Cancer following the Diagnosis of Chronic Pancreatitis. Digestion 1999;60:554-61. [20014874]

36. Bloechle C, Izbicki JR, Knoefel WT, Kuechler T, Broelsch CE. Quality of life in chronic pancreatitis-results after duodenum- preserving resection of the head of the pancreas. Pancreas 1995;11:77-85. [95396741]

37. Broome AH, Eisen GM, Harland RC, Collins BH, Meyers WC, Pappas TN. Quality of life after treatment for pancreatitis. Ann Surg 1996;223:665-70; discussion 670-2. [96245131]

38. Izbicki JR, Bloechle C, Broering DC, Knoefel WT, Kuechler T, Broelsch CE. Extended drainage versus resection in surgery for chronic pancreatitis: a prospective randomized trial comparing the longitudinal pancreaticojejunostomy combined with local pancreatic head excision with the pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy. Ann Surg 1998;228:771-9. [99075819]