

DOI: 10.21767/2471-8157.100030

Mucosal Immunology: A Short Notes

Arnaldo Cantani*

Allergy and Clinical Immunology Division, Department of Pediatrics, University of Roma "La Sapienza" Medical School, Italy

***Corresponding author:** Arnaldo Cantani, Allergy and Clinical Immunology Division, Department of Pediatrics, University of Roma "La Sapienza" Medical School, Italy, Tel: +39 06 49911; E-mail: cantani13@gmail.com**Rec Date:** Aug 01, 2016, **Acc Date:** Aug 28, 2016, **Pub Date:** Aug 31, 2016**Citation:** Cantani A. Mucosal Immunology-A Short Notes. Interv Cardiol J 2016, 2:3.

Introduction

Neonatal mucosal immunology

The lymphoid tissues of the mucosal surfaces of gastrointestinal (GI), respiratory tracts, etc. on the whole called MALT, in addition to the primary function of preserving sterile the delicate epithelial surfaces in contact with the external environment, should also be able to recognize the external antigens and to respond by sensitizing CD4 T cells. If there is a malfunction in normal physiology, the host is at risk of enduring a cell mediated tissue damage [1-4]. Cell-mediated immunity (CMI) resembles a double-edged sword, since many aspects of this cascade imply the immanent risk of being detrimental to the structures to be protected; thus, it is evident the necessity of reducing the immune response impact on mucosal surfaces, by limiting at the least needed for the appointed goal, to eliminate pathogenic antigens [2,4-6]. Although manifold defense barriers both natural and immune (such as sIgA) provide reliable defense lines for the epithelial external surfaces, the high solubility and low MW of many non-pathogenic antigens, either inhaled or dietary, make a frequent event the antigen penetration within epithelial tissues [7].

Foetal mucosal immunology

An array of factors acting during the intrauterine life have been shown as promoting fetal mucosal immunology in children. The *in utero* sensitization, recently amplified by substantial data, has gained a salient place among the prenatal factors. CB T lymphocytes proliferate in the presence of allergens, while testing CB cells with α -lactalbumin, β -lactoglobulin and bovine seroalbumin, there was a meaningful proliferative response [8]. Both an intrauterine sensitization to foods, and aeroallergens were demonstrated, hence supporting the concept that fetal programming by the mother during the second and early third trimester of pregnancy results in FIS T cell priming. The recent observation of HDM in amniotic fluid collected during amniocentesis at 16 to 17 weeks of gestation and in CB provides evidence that both trans placental and trans amniotic routes of exposure can occur *in utero* [9,10]. Consequently, the hypothesis that FIS T cells are exposed during gestation to maternally derived allergens is supported by a line of evidence. and maternal exposure to allergens may prompt the development of fetal T-specific

responses. Therefore, we may propose a timing in the FIS, that is immunologically active before 16 weeks of gestation, as I demonstrated in a study of stem cells, that were resolute in a variety of disease [11].

References

1. Ameratunga R, Woon ST, Gillis D, Koopmans W, Steele R (2013) New diagnostic criteria for common variable immune deficiency (CVID), which may assist with decisions to treat with intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulin. Clin Exp Immunol 174: 203-211.
2. Bacchelli C, Buckridge S, Thrasher AJ, Gaspar HB (2007) Translational mini-review series on immunodeficiency: molecular defects in common variable immunodeficiency. Clin Exp Immunol 149: 401-409.
3. Cunningham-Rundles C (2008) Autoimmune manifestations in common variable immunodeficiency. J Clin Immunol 28: 42-45.
4. Deane S, Selmi C, Naguwa SM, Teuber SS, Gershwin ME (2009) Common variable immunodeficiency: etiological and treatment issues. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 150: 311-324.
5. Lopez-Herrera G, Tampella G, Pan-Hammarström Q, Herholz P, Trujillo-Vargas CM, et al. (2012) Deleterious mutations in LRBA are associated with a syndrome of immune deficiency and autoimmunity. Am J Hum Genet 90: 986-1001.
6. Park JH, Resnick ES, Cunningham-Rundles C (2011) Perspectives on common variable immune deficiency. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1246: 41-49.
7. Poodt AE, Driessen GJ, de Klein A, van Dongen JJ, van der Burg M, et al. (2009) TAC1 mutations and disease susceptibility in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. Clin Exp Immunol 156: 35-39.
8. Resnick ES, Cunningham-Rundles C (2012) The many faces of the clinical picture of common variable immune deficiency. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 12: 595-601.
9. Resnick ES, Moshier EL, Godbold JH, Cunningham-Rundles C (2012) Morbidity and mortality in common variable immune deficiency over 4 decades. Blood 119: 1650-1657.
10. Schäffer AA, Salzer U, Hammarström L, Grimbacher B (2007) Deconstructing common variable immunodeficiency by genetic analysis. Curr Opin Genet Dev 17: 201-212.
11. Yong PF, Thaventhiran JE, Grimbacher B (2011) "A rose is a rose is a rose," but CVID is Not CVID common variable immune deficiency (CVID), what do we know in 2011? Adv Immunol 111: 47-107.