

Motivations for Contributing to Health-Related Study

Jones Pedrick*

Department of Intensive and Critical Care, University of California, California, United States

*Corresponding author: Jones Pedrick, Department of Intensive and Critical Care, University of California, California, United States, Tel: +122556226955; E-mail: jonespedrick@gmail.com

Received date: August 05, 2021; Accepted date: August 19, 2021; Published date: August 27, 2021

Citation: Pedrick J (2021) Motivations for Contributing to Health-Related Study. J Intensive Crit Care Vol.7 No. 8: 55.

Introduction

Wikipedia may be a free online encyclopedia created through the cooperative efforts of volunteers. As Associate in Nursing open wiki, anyone will freely increase, modify, or delete its contents. It's become a serious supply of health-related data for health care professionals, students, patients, and therefore the general public.

Wikipedia is that the largest online cyclopedia with quite twenty six million articles in 287 completely different languages and quite four million within the English-language Wikipedia. It presently ranks because the sixth most-visited web site on the web, attracting over 365 million distinctive guests monthly or twenty nine.5% of world web shoppers. Seeking health data on-line is currently commonplace and widespread globally. As of March 2013, the English-language Wikipedia contained quite twenty eight, 216 medical articles and may be a distinguished repository of on-line health data. Once health terms are searched in standard search engines like Google and Yahoo, Wikipedia seems within the high ten results 71%-85% of the time. Wikipedia's world quality as a web health resource has conjointly been ascertained among physicians, with seventieth reportage victimization it in one study.

Wikipedia has attracted contention round the responsibility of its entries. A comparison of science-related topics with reference Britannica indicated a comparable error rate. Czarnecka-Kujawa and colleagues found Wikipedia's medical science entries were comprehensive and had moderate responsibility. The risks related to information have raised the standards of management on Wikipedia wherever there are varied policies, guidelines, and cooperative systems in situ to confirm the standard of knowledge. the main focus of those has been on account articles wherever there's a threat of libel legal proceeding instead of on health-related articles, however there

are several specific tips on medical topics. Though the responsibility of Wikipedia is of nice importance, it's not the first field of enquiry for this study. We propose that the controversy round the responsibility of knowledge is simply too straightforward. Equally correct articles will have completely different focuses, completely different kinds of writing, and so on, all which can have an effect on however helpful articles are audiences and the way different audiences use articles. The content of Wikipedia articles ultimately depends on people who contribute to Wikipedia (Wikipedia's) and their reasons for doing therefore, and this is often our focus.

Recognizing the constraints of typical psychological feature theory, Elmore Leonard projected a model of self-concept motivations: the people are impelled to perform a behavior supported their inherent standards (internal self-concept) or standards that are in accordance with a reference cluster (external self-concept). The individual's motivations stems either from meeting a group of perceptions of the self (i.e., of their own values and competencies) or the perceptions of the ideal-self (i.e., of values, competencies, and success of the reference group). Applying this to Wikipedia, principle and Lai ascertained that internal self-concept-based motivation is that the chief motivation predictor in knowledge-sharing intention and behavior. People were presumably to share information in Wikipedia because of the arrogance in their capabilities, affirmed by the thought of self-efficacy. Studies on structure information sharing have shown that self-efficacy is that the crucial predictor of knowledge-sharing intention and behavior conjointly unconcealed that the standard of the data and therefore the quality of the data system yielded a positive perspective toward Wikipedia. Previous analysis of individual behavior has incontestable that individual attitudes are sensible predictors of behavior and are found to be crucial in knowledge-sharing intention and behavior.