Available online at www.pelagiaresearchlibrary.com

Pelagia Research Library

Advances in Applied Science Research, 2012, 3 (4):2303-2311

ISSN: 0976-8610
CODEN (USA): AASRFC

Magneto-thermal convection in a couple-stress fluid in a porous medium and its characterization

Ajaib S. Banyal

Department of Mathematics, Govt. College Nadaun (Hamirpur), (HP) INDIA

ABSTRACT

A layer of couple-stress fluid heated from below in a porous medium is considered in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field. Following the linearized stability theory and normal mode analysis, the paper mathematically established the condition for characterizing the oscillatory motions which may be neutral or unstable, for any combination of perfectly conducting, free and rigid boundaries at the top and bottom of the fluid. It is established that all non-decaying slow motions starting from rest, in a couple-stress fluid of infinite horizontal extension and finite vertical depth, which is acted upon by uniform vertical magnetic field opposite to gravity and a constant vertical adverse temperature gradient, are necessarily non-oscillatory, in the regime

$$R \le \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1+2\pi^2 F\right)+\frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2},$$

Where R is the thermal Rayleigh number, F is the couple-stress parameter of the fluid, P_l is the medium permeability, ε is the porosity of the porous medium and p_2 is the magnetic Prandtl number. The result is important since the exact solutions of the problem investigated, in closed form are not obtainable for any arbitrary combinations of perfectly conducting dynamically free and rigid boundaries.

Key Words: Thermal convection; Couple-Stress Fluid; Magnetic field; PES; Chandrasekhar number.
MSC 2000 No.: 76A05, 76E06, 76E15; 76E07.

INTRODUCTION

Stability of a dynamical system is closest to real life, in the sense that realization of a dynamical system depends upon its stability. Right from the conceptualizations of turbulence, instability of fluid flows is being regarded at its root. A detailed account of the theoretical and experimental study of the onset of thermal instability (Bénard Convection) in Newtonian fluids, under varying assumptions of hydrodynamics and hydromagnetics, has been given by Chandrasekhar [8] and the Boussinesq approximation has been used throughout, which states that the density changes are disregarded in all other terms in the equation of motion, except in the external force term. The formation and derivation of the basic equations of a layer of fluid heated from below in a porous medium, using the Boussinesq approximation, has been given in a treatise by Joseph [11]. When a fluid permeates through an isotropic and homogeneous porous medium, the gross effect is represented by Darcy's law. The study of layer of fluid heated from below in porous media is motivated both theoretically and by its practical applications in engineering. Among the applications in engineering disciplines one can name the food processing industry, the chemical processing industry, solidification, and the centrifugal casting of metals. The development of geothermal power resources has increased general interest in the properties of convection in a porous medium. Stommel and Fedorov [28] and Linden [16] have remarked that the length scales characteristic of double-diffusive convecting layers in the ocean may be sufficiently large so that the Earth's rotation might be important in their formation. Moreover, the rotation of

the Earth distorts the boundaries of a hexagonal convection cell in a fluid through porous medium, and this distortion plays an important role in the extraction of energy in geothermal regions. The forced convection in a fluid saturated porous medium channel has been studied by Nield et al [18]. An extensive and updated account of convection in porous media has been given by Nield and Bejan [17].

The effect of a magnetic field on the stability of such a flow is of interest in geophysics, particularly in the study of the earth's core, where the earth's mantle, which consist of conducting fluid, behaves like a porous medium that can become conductively unstable as result of differential diffusion. Another application of the results of flow through a porous medium in the presence of magnetic field is in the study of the stability of convective geothermal flow. A good account of the effect of rotation and magnetic field on the layer of fluid heated from below has been given in a treatise by Chandrasekhar [8] .

MHD finds vital applications in MHD generators, MHD flow-meters and pumps for pumping liquid metals in metallurgy, geophysics, MHD couplers and bearings, and physiological processes such magnetic therapy. With the growing importance of non-Newtonian fluids in modern technology and industries, investigations of such fluids are desirable. The presence of small amounts of additives in a lubricant can improve bearing performance by increasing the lubricant viscosity and thus producing an increase in the load capacity. These additives in a lubricant also reduce the coefficient of friction and increase the temperature range in which the bearing can operate.

Darcy's law governs the flow of a Newtonian fluid through an isotropic and homogeneous porous medium. However, to be mathematically compatible and physically consistent with the Navier-Stokes equations, Brinkman [6] heuristically proposed the introduction of the term $\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}\nabla^2\vec{q}$, (now known as Brinkman term) in addition to the Darcian term $-\left(\frac{\mu}{k_1}\right)\vec{q}$. But the main effect is through the Darcian term; Brinkman term contributes very little effect for flow through a porous medium. Therefore, Darcy's law is proposed heuristically to govern the flow of this non-Newtonian couple-stress fluid through porous medium. A number of theories of the micro continuum have been postulated and applied (Stokes [27]; Lai et al [14]; Walicka [30]). The theory due to Stokes [27] allows for polar effects such as the presence of couple stresses and body couples. Stokes's [27] theory has been applied to the study of some simple lubrication problems (see e.g. Sinha et al [25]; Bujurke and Jayaraman [7]; Lin [15]). According to the theory of Stokes [27], couple-stresses are found to appear in noticeable magnitudes in fluids with very large molecules. Since the long chain hyaluronic acid molecules are found as additives in synovial fluid, Walicki and Walicka [31] modeled synovial fluid as couple stress fluid in human joints. The study is motivated by a model of synovial fluid. The synovial fluid is natural lubricant of joints of the vertebrates. The detailed description of the joints lubrication has very important practical implications; practically all diseases of joints are caused by or connected with a malfunction of the lubrication. The external efficiency of the physiological joint lubrication is caused by more mechanisms. The synovial fluid is caused by the content of the hyaluronic acid, a fluid of high viscosity, near to a gel. A layer of such fluid heated from below in a porous medium under the action of magnetic field and rotation may find applications in physiological processes. MHD finds applications in physiological processes such as magnetic therapy; rotation and heating may find applications in physiotherapy. The use of magnetic field is being made for the clinical purposes in detection and cure of certain diseases with the help of magnetic field devices.

Sharma and Thakur [23] have studied the thermal convection in couple-stress fluid in porous medium in hydromagnetics. Sharma and Sharma [24] have studied the couple-stress fluid heated from below in porous medium. Kumar and Kumar [13] have studied the combined effect of dust particles, magnetic field and rotation on couple-stress fluid heated from below and for the case of stationary convection, found that dust particles have destabilizing effect on the system, where as the rotation is found to have stabilizing effect on the system, however couple-stress and magnetic field are found to have both stabilizing and destabilizing effects under certain conditions. Sunil et al. [29] have studied the global stability for thermal convection in a couple-stress fluid heated from below and found couple-stress fluids are thermally more stable than the ordinary viscous fluids. Gupta et al [10] have

studied the effect of γ -irradiation on thermal stability of CR-39 polymer where as the effect of thickness of the porous materials on the peristaltic pumping, when the tube wall is provided with non-erodible porous lining has been investigated by Reddy et al [20]. The effect of magnetic field and rotation on thermosolutal convection in Walters B' elastico-viscous fluid has been considered by Kango and Rana [12]. Saravana et al. [21] have considered the heat and mass transfer on the unsteady viscoelastic second order Rivlin-Ericksen fluid past an impulsive started infinite vertical plate in the presence of a foreign mass and constant mass flux on taking into account of viscous dissipative heat at the plate under the influence of a uniform transverse magnetic field. The electrically conducting flow of couple-stress fluid in a vertical porous layer has been investigated by Sreenadh et al [26]. The above studies were helpful in studying porous materials and thermal stability.

Pellow and Southwell [19] proved the validity of PES for the classical Rayleigh-Bénard convection problem. Banerjee et al [3] gave a new scheme for combining the governing equations of thermohaline convection, which is shown to lead to the bounds for the complex growth rate of the arbitrary oscillatory perturbations, neutral or unstable for all combinations of dynamically rigid or free boundaries and, Banerjee and Banerjee [1] established a criterion on characterization of non-oscillatory motions in hydrodynamics which was further extended by Gupta et al. [9]. However no such result existed for non-Newtonian fluid configurations, in general and for couple-stress fluid configurations, in particular. Banyal [4] have characterized the non-oscillatory motions in couple-stress fluid.

Keeping in mind the importance of couple-stress fluids and magnetic field in porous media, as stated above, this article attempts to study the couple-stress fluid heated from below in a porous medium in the presence of uniform magnetic field, with more realistic boundaries and it has been established that the onset of instability in a couple-stress fluid in a porous medium heated from below, in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the thermal Rayleigh number R, the couple-stress parameter of the fluid F, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity of the porous medium ε and the magnetic Prandtl number p_2, satisfy the inequality, $R \le \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1+2\pi^2 F\right)+\frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}$, for any combination of perfectly conducting dynamically free and rigid boundaries.

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND PERTURBATION EQUATIONS

Here we consider an infinite, horizontal, incompressible electrically conducting couple-stress fluid layer, of thickness d, heated from below so that, the temperature and density at the bottom surface z = 0 are T_0 and ρ_0 and at the upper surface z = d are T_d and ρ_d respectively, and that a uniform adverse temperature gradient $\beta\left(=\left|\frac{dT}{dz}\right|\right)$ is maintained. The fluid is acted upon by a uniform vertical magnetic field $\vec{H}(0,0,H)$. This fluid layer is flowing through an isotropic and homogeneous porous medium of porosity ε and of medium permeability k_1.

Let ρ, p, T, η, μ_e and $\vec{q}(u,v,w)$ denote respectively the fluid density, pressure, temperature, resistivity, magnetic permeability and filter velocity of the fluid, respectively Then the momentum balance, mass balance, and energy balance equation of couple-stress fluid and Maxwell's equations through porous medium, governing the flow of couple-stress fluid in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field (Stokes [27]; Joseph [11]; Chandrasekhar [8]) are given by

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\vec{q}.\nabla\right)\vec{q}\right]=-\nabla\left(\frac{p}{\rho_o}\right)+\vec{g}\left(1+\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho_0}\right)-\frac{1}{k_1}\left(\nu-\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\vec{q}+\frac{\mu_e}{4\pi\rho_o}(\nabla\times\vec{H})\times\vec{H}, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla.\vec{q}=0, \quad (2)$$

$$E\frac{dT}{dt}+(\vec{q}.\nabla)T=\kappa\nabla^2T\,, \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla.\vec{H}=0\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\varepsilon\frac{d\vec{H}}{dt}=(\vec{H}.\nabla)\vec{q}+\varepsilon\eta\nabla^2\vec{H}\,, \tag{5}$$

Where $\frac{d}{dt}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\varepsilon^{-1}\vec{q}.\nabla$, stands for the convective derivatives. Here

$E=\varepsilon+(1-\varepsilon)\left(\frac{\rho_s c_s}{\rho_0 c_v}\right)$, is a constant, while ρ_s, c_s and ρ_0, c_v, stands for the density and heat capacity of the solid (porous matrix) material and the fluid, respectively, ε is the medium porosity and $\vec{r}(x,y,z)$.

The equation of state is

$$\rho=\rho_0[1-\alpha(T-T_0)], \tag{6}$$

Where the suffix zero refer to the values at the reference level z = 0. Here $\vec{g}(0,0,-g)$ is acceleration due to gravity and α is the coefficient of thermal expansion. In writing the equation (1), we made use of the Boussinesq approximation, which states that the density variations are ignored in all terms in the equation of motion except the external force term. The kinematic viscosity ν, couple-stress viscosity μ', magnetic permeability μ_e, thermal diffusivity κ, and electrical resistivity η, and the coefficient of thermal expansion α are all assumed to be constants.

The basic motionless solution is

$$\vec{q}=(0,0,0)\,,\ \rho=\rho_0(1+\alpha\beta z)\,,\ \text{p=p(z)},\ T=-\beta z+T_0\,, \tag{7}$$

Here we use the linearized stability theory and the normal mode analysis method. Assume small perturbations around the basic solution, and let $\delta\rho$, δp, θ, $\vec{q}(u,v,w)$ and $\vec{h}=(h_x,h_y,h_z)$ denote respectively the perturbations in density ρ, pressure p, temperature T, velocity $\vec{q}(0,0,0)$ and the magnetic field $\vec{H}=(0,0,H)$. The change in density $\delta\rho$, caused mainly by the perturbation θ in temperature, is given by

$$\rho+\delta\rho=\rho_0[1-\alpha(T+\theta-T_0)]=\rho-\alpha\rho_0\theta\,,\ \text{i.e.}\quad \delta\rho=-\alpha\rho_0\theta\,. \tag{8}$$

Then the linearized perturbation equations of the couple-sress fluid reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\vec{q}}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla\delta p-\vec{g}\,\alpha\theta-\frac{1}{k_1}\left(\nu-\frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\vec{q}+\frac{\mu_e}{4\pi\rho_0}\left(\nabla\times\vec{h}\right)\times\vec{H}\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla.\vec{q}=0\,, \tag{10}$$

$$E\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t}=\beta w+\kappa\nabla^2\theta\,, \tag{11}$$

$$\nabla.\vec{h}=0\,, \tag{12}$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial t} = \left(\vec{H} . \nabla \right) \vec{q} + \varepsilon \eta \nabla^2 \vec{h} . \tag{13}$$

3. NORMAL MODE ANALYSIS

Analyzing the disturbances into two-dimensional waves, and considering disturbances characterized by a particular wave number, we assume that the Perturbation quantities are of the form

$$\left[w, \theta, h_{z,} \right] = \left[W(z), \Theta(z), K(z) \right] \exp\left(ik_x x + ik_y y + nt \right), \tag{14}$$

Where k_x, k_y are the wave numbers along the x- and y-directions, respectively, $k = \left({k_x}^2 + {k_y}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is the resultant wave number, n is the growth rate which is, in general, a complex constant and, $W(z), \Theta(z)$ and $K(z)$ are the functions of z only.

Using (14), equations (9)-(13), Within the framework of Boussinesq approximations, in the non-dimensional form transform to

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 \right) \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{P_l} \right) - \frac{F}{P_l} \left(D^2 - a^2 \right) \right] W = -Ra^2 \Theta + QD\left(D^2 - a^2 \right) K , \tag{15}$$

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - p_2 \sigma \right) K = -DW , \tag{16}$$

and

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - Ep_1 \sigma \right) \Theta = -W , \tag{17}$$

Where we have introduced new coordinates $\left(x', y', z' \right)$ = (x/d, y/d, z/d) in new units of length d and $D = d / dz'$.

For convenience, the dashes are dropped hereafter. Also we have substituted $a = kd, \sigma = \frac{nd^2}{\nu}$, $p_1 = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}$, is the thermal Prandtl number; $p_2 = \frac{\nu}{\eta}$, is the magnetic Prandtl number; $P_l = \frac{k_1}{d^2}$ is the dimensionless medium permeability, $F = \frac{\mu' / (\rho_0 d^2)}{\nu}$, is the dimensionless couple-stress viscosity parameter; $R = \frac{g \alpha \beta d^4}{\kappa \nu}$, is the thermal Rayleigh number and $Q = \frac{\mu_e H^2 d^2}{4\pi \rho_0 \nu \eta \varepsilon}$, is the Chandrasekhar number. Also we have Substituted $W = W_{\oplus}$, $\Theta = \left(\frac{\beta d^2}{\kappa} \right) \Theta_{\oplus}$, $K = \left(\frac{Hd}{\varepsilon \eta} \right) K_{\oplus}$, and $D_{\oplus} = dD$, and dropped $(\oplus)$ for convenience.

We now consider the case where the boundaries are rigid-rigid or rigid-free or free-rigid or free-free at z=0 and z=1, as the case may be, and are perfectly conducting. The boundaries are maintained at constant temperature, thus the perturbations in the temperature are zero at the boundaries. The appropriate boundary conditions with respect to which equations (15)-(17), must possess a solution are

W = 0= Θ, on both the horizontal boundaries, (18)

DW=0, on a rigid boundary, (19)

$D^2 W = 0$, on a dynamically free boundary, (20)

K = 0, on both the boundaries as the regions outside the fluid are perfectly conducting, (21)

Equations (15)-(17) and appropriately adequate boundary conditions from (18)-(21), pose an eigenvalue problem for σ and we wish to characterize σ_i, when $\sigma_r \geq 0$.

4. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1: If $R\rangle 0$, $F\rangle 0$, $P_l\rangle 0$, $p_2\rangle 0$, $\sigma_r \geq 0$ and $\sigma_i \neq 0$ then the necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial solution (W,Θ,K) of equations (15) - (17) and the boundary conditions (18), (21) and any combination of (19) and (20) is that

$$R\rangle \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1+2\pi^2 F\right)+\frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}\ .$$

Proof: Multiplying equation (15) by W^* (the complex conjugate of W) throughout and integrating the resulting equation over the vertical range of z, we get

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{P_l}\right)\int_0^1 W^*\left(D^2-a^2\right)Wdz-\frac{F}{P_l}\int_0^1 W^*\left(D^2-a^2\right)^2 Wdz=-Ra^2\int_0^1 W^*\Theta dz+Q\int_0^1 W^* D\left(D^2-a^2\right)Kdz\,, \tag{22}$$

Taking complex conjugate on both sides of equation (17), we get

$$\left(D^2-a^2-Ep_1\sigma^*\right)\Theta^*=-W^*, \tag{23}$$

Therefore, using (23), we get

$$\int_0^1 W^*\Theta dz=-\int_0^1 \Theta\left(D^2-a^2-Ep_1\sigma^*\right)\Theta^* dz\,, \tag{24}$$

Also taking complex conjugate on both sides of equation (16), we get

$$\left[D^2-a^2-p_2\sigma^*\right]K^*=-DW^*, \tag{25}$$

Therefore, using (25) and using boundary condition (18), we get

$$\int_0^1 W^* D\left(D^2-a^2\right)Kdz=-\int_0^1 DW^*\left(D^2-a^2\right)Kdz=\int_0^1 K\left(D^2-a^2\right)\left(D^2-a^2-p_2\sigma^*\right)K^* dz\,, \tag{26}$$

Substituting (24) and (26) in the right hand side of equation (22), we get

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{P_l}\right)\int_0^1 W^*\left(D^2-a^2\right)Wdz-\frac{F}{P_l}\int_0^1 W^*\left(D^2-a^2\right)^2 Wdz$$

$$=Ra^2\int_0^1 \Theta\left(D^2-a^2-Ep_1\sigma^*\right)\Theta^* dz+Q\int_0^1 K\left(D^2-a^2\right)\left(D^2-a^2-p_2\sigma^*\right)K^* dz\,, \tag{27}$$

Integrating the terms on both sides of equation (27) for an appropriate number of times by making use of the appropriate boundary conditions (18) - (21), we get

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{P_l}\right)\int_0^1\left\{|DW|^2+a^2|W|^2\right\}dz+\frac{F}{P_l}\int_0^1\left(\left|D^2W\right|^2+2a^2|DW|^2+a^4|W|^2\right)dz$$

$$=Ra^2\int_0^1\left\{|D\Theta|^2+a^2|\Theta|^2+Ep_1\sigma^*|\Theta|^2\right\}dz-Q\int_0^1\left(\left|D^2K\right|^2+2a^2|DK|^2+a^4|K|^2\right)dz-Qp_2\sigma^*\int_0^1\left(|DK|^2+a^2|K|^2\right)dz\,, \tag{28}$$

And equating the real and imaginary parts on both sides of equation (28), and cancelling $\sigma_i(\neq 0)$ throughout from imaginary part, we get

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_r}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{P_l}\right)\int_0^1\left\{|DW|^2+a^2|W|^2\right\}dz+\frac{F}{P_l}\int_0^1\left(|D^2W|^2+2a^2|DW|^2+a^4|W|^2\right)dz=Ra^2\int_0^1\left\{|D\Theta|^2+a^2|\Theta|\right\}dz$$
$$-Q\int_0^1\left(|D^2K|^2+2a^2|DK|^2+a^4|K|^2\right)dz+\sigma_r\left[Ra^2Ep_1\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz-Qp_2\int_0^1\left(|DK|^2+a^2|K|^2\right)dz\right] \tag{29}$$

And

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^1\left\{|DW|^2+a^2|W|^2\right\}dz=-Ra^2Ep_1\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz+Qp_2\int_0^1\left(|DK|^2+a^2|K|^2\right)dz, \tag{30}$$

Equation (30) implies that,

$$Ra^2Ep_1\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz-Qp_2\int_0^1\left(|DK|^2+a^2|K|^2\right)dz, \tag{31}$$

is negative definite and also,

$$Q\int_0^1\left\{|DK|^2+a^2|K|^2\right\}dz\geq\frac{a^2}{\varepsilon p_2}\int_0^1|W^2|dz, \tag{32}$$

We first note that since W, Θ and K satisfy $W(0)=0=W(1)$, $\Theta(0)=0=\Theta(1)$ and $K(0)=0=K(1)$ in addition to satisfying to governing equations and hence we have from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality [22]

$$\int_0^1|DW|^2dz\geq\pi^2\int_0^1|W|^2dz;\quad\int_0^1|D\Theta|^2dz\geq\pi^2\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz\ \text{And}\quad\int_0^1|DK|^2dz\geq\pi^2\int_0^1|K|^2dz \tag{33}$$

Further, for $W(0)=0=W(1)$ and $K(0)=0=K(1)$, Banerjee et al. [2] have shown that

$$\int_0^1|D^2W|^2dz\geq\pi^2\int_0^1|DW|^2dz\ \text{And}\int_0^1|D^2K|^2dz\geq\pi^2\int_0^1|DK|^2dz, \tag{34}$$

Further, multiplying equation (17) by Θ^* (the complex conjugate of Θ), integrating by parts each term of the resulting equation on the right hand side for an appropriate number of times and making use of boundary condition on Θ namely $\Theta(0)=0=\Theta(1)$, it follows that

$$\int_0^1\left\{|D\Theta|^2+a^2|\Theta|^2\right\}dz+\sigma_rEp_1\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz=\text{Real part of}\left\{\int_0^1\Theta^*Wdz\right\},$$
$$\leq\left|\int_0^1\Theta^*Wdz\right|\leq\int_0^1|\Theta^*W|dz\leq\int_0^1|\Theta^*||W|dz,$$
$$\leq\int_0^1|\Theta||W|dz\leq\left\{\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{35}$$

(Utilizing Cauchy-Schwartz-inequality),

This gives that

$$\int_0^1|D\Theta|^2dz\leq\left\{\int_0^1|\Theta|^2dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_0^1|W|^2dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

And thus, we get

$$\left\{\int_0^1 |\Theta|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2}\left\{\int_0^1 |W|^2 dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{36}$$

Since $\sigma_r \geq 0$, $E\rangle 0$ and $p_1\rangle 0$, hence inequality (35) on utilizing (36), gives

$$\int_0^1 \left\{|D\Theta|^2 + a^2|\Theta|^2\right\} dz \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_0^1 |W|^2 dz, \tag{37}$$

Now R $\rangle$ 0 and $Q\rangle$ 0, utilizing the equalities (31) – (34) and the inequality (37), the equation (29) gives,

$$I_1 + a^2\left[\left(\frac{1}{P_l} + \frac{2\pi^2 F}{P_l} + \frac{\pi^2}{\varepsilon p_2}\right) - \frac{R}{\pi^2}\right]\int_0^1 |W|^2 dz \langle 0, \tag{38}$$

Where

$$I_1 = \frac{\sigma_r}{\varepsilon}\int_0^1 |DW|^2 dz + \frac{F}{P_l}\int_0^1 \left(|D^2 W|^2 + a^4|W|^2\right) dz + Qa^2\int_0^1 \left(|DK|^2 + a^2|K|^2\right) dz$$

is positive definite.

Therefore, we get

$$R\rangle \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1 + 2\pi^2 F\right) + \frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}. \tag{39}$$

Thus, we must have

$$\sigma_r \geq 0 \text{ and } \sigma_i \neq 0, \text{ then } R\rangle \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1 + 2\pi^2 F\right) + \frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}, \tag{40}$$

And this completes the proof of the theorem.

Presented otherwise from the point of view of existence of instability as stationary convection, the above theorem can be put in the form as follow:-

Theorem 2: The sufficient condition for the validity of the 'exchange principle' and the onset of instability as a non-oscillatory motions of non-growing amplitude in a couple-stress fluid in a porous medium heated from below, in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field is that $R \leq \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1 + 2\pi^2 F\right) + \frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}$, where R is the thermal Rayleigh number, ε is the porosity of the porous medium, P_l the medium permeability and p_2 the magnetic Prandtl number, for any combination of perfectly conducting dynamically free and rigid boundaries.

Or

The onset of instability in a couple-stress fluid in a porous medium heated from below, in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the thermal Rayleigh number R, the couple-stress parameter F, the porosity ε of the porous medium, P_l the medium permeability and the magnetic Prandtl number p_2, satisfy the inequality, $R \leq \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1 + 2\pi^2 F\right) + \frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}$, for any combination of perfectly conducting dynamically free and rigid boundaries.

In the context of existence of instability in 'oscillatory modes' and that of 'overstability' in the present configuration, we can state the above theorem as follow:-

Theorem 3: The necessary condition for the existence of instability in 'oscillatory modes' and that of 'overstability' in a couple-stress fluid in a porous medium heated from below, in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field is

that, the thermal Rayleigh number R, the couple-stress parameter of the fluid F, the medium permeability P_l, the porosity of the porous medium ε and the magnetic Prandtl number p_2, satisfy the inequality the must satisfy the inequality $R\rangle \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1+2\pi^2 F\right)+\frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}$, for any combination of perfectly conducting dynamically free and rigid boundaries.

CONCLUSION

This theorem mathematically established that the onset of instability in a couple-stress fluid in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field, cannot manifest itself as oscillatory motions of growing amplitude if the Chandrasekhar number Q, ε is the porosity of the porous medium and p_2 the magnetic Prandtl number, satisfy the inequality $R \le \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1+2\pi^2 F\right)+\frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}$, for any combination of perfectly conducting dynamically free and rigid boundaries and it provided significant improvement in the regime of 'PES' to Banyal and Singh [26].

The essential content of the theorem, from the point of view of linear stability theory is that for the configuration of couple-stress fluid of infinite horizontal extension heated form below, having any combination of top and bottom horizontal bounding surfaces as free-free or free-rigid or rigid-free or rigid-rigid, and the region outside is perfectly conducting, in the presence of uniform vertical magnetic field parallel to the force field of gravity, an arbitrary neutral or unstable modes of the system are definitely non-oscillatory in character if $R \le \frac{\pi^2}{P_l}\left(1+2\pi^2 F\right)+\frac{\pi^4}{\varepsilon p_2}$, and in particular PES is valid.

REFERENCES

[1] Banerjee M B, and Banerjee B, *Ind. J. Pure & Appl Maths*., **1994**, 15(4), 377.
[2] Banerjee M B, Gupta J R and Prakash J, *J. Math Anal Appl*., **1992**, 179(2), 327.
[3] Banerjee M B, Katoch D C, Dube G S and Banerjee K, *Proc. R. Soc. A*,**1981**, 378, 301.
[4] Banyal A S, *Int. J. of Fluid Mech. Research***, 2011**, 38(5), 450.
[5] Banyal A S and Singh K, *Int. J. of Engg. Reseach and Applns*., **2012**, 2(3), 2714.
[6] Brinkman.C, *research(London)*, **1949**, 2, 190.
[7] Bujurke N M, and Jayaraman G, *Int. J. Mech Sci***.,1982**, 24, 369.
[8] Chandrasekhar S, *Dover Publications, New York*, **1981**.
[9] Gupta J R, Sood S K and Bhardwaj U D, *Indian J. pure appl.Math*., **1986**, 17(1), 100.
[10] Gupta et al, *Advances in Appl. Sci. Research*, **2011**, 2(1), 248.
[11] Joseph DD, *Stability of fluid motions, vol.II, Springer-Verlag*, berlin, **1976**.
[12] Kango SK, Rana GC, *Advances in Appl. Sci. Research*, **2011**, 2(4), 166.
[13] Kumar V and Kumar S, *Appl. Appl. Math*, **2011,** 5(10), 1529.
[14] Lai W M, Kuei S C, and Mow V C, *J. of Biomemechanical eng*., **1978**, 100, 169.
[15] Lin JR, *Appl. Mech.engg*., **1996**, 1, 317.
[16] Linden P F, *Geophys. Fluid dynamics*, **1974**, 6, 1.
[17] Nield D A, and Bejan A, *Springer and Verlag, Newyark*, **1999**.
[18] Nield D A, and Junqueira S L M and Lage J L, *J. fluid Mech*., **1996**, 322, 201.
[19] Pellow A, and Southwell R V, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*, **1940**, 176, 312.
[20] Reddy et a,. *Advances in Appl. Sci. Research*, **2011**, 2(2), 167.
[21] Saravana R, Sreekanth S, Sreenadh S, Reddy RH, *Advances in Appl. Sci. Research*, **2011**, 2(4), 221
[22] Schultz M H, *Spline Analysis, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersy*, **1973**.
[23] Sharma R C and Thakur K D, *Czech. J. Phys*., **2000,** 50, 753.
[24] Sharma R C and Sharma S, *Indian J. Phys*, **2001**, 75B, 59.
[25] Sinha P, Singh C, and Prasad K R, *Wear*, **2011,** 67, 15.
[26] Sreenadh S, Kishore SN, Srinivas ANS and Reddy RH, *Advances in Appl. Sci. Research*, **2011**, 2(6), 215.
[27] Stokes V K, *Phys. Fluids*, **1966**, 9, 1709.
[28] Stommel H, and Fedorov K N, *Tellus*, **1967**, 19, 306.
[29] Sunil, Devi R and Mahajan A, *Heat and Mass Transfer*, **2011**, 38, 938.
[30] Walicka A, *Zielona G, TU Press*, **1994**.
[31] Walicki E and Walicka A, *Int. J. Appl. Mech. Engg*., **1999**, 4, 363.