

**Research Article** 

# Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices and Perceived Barriers among Mental Health Professionals in Sudanese Psychiatric Hospitals regarding COVID-19 Outbreak

### Fajr Elhashimi<sup>\*</sup>, Mohammed Ibrahim, Bahga Taha

Department of Psychiatry, Omdurman Islamic University, Khartoum Sudan

# <u>ABSTRACT</u>

**Objectives:** To assess mental health professionals (MHPs) knowledge, attitude and practice in Sudanese psychiatric facilitates.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional self-administered questionnaire targeting the whole population of MHPs which is 141, was conducted at three psychiatric facilities in Khartoum state, Sudan. 89 agreed to participate consisting of psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and nurses.

**Results:** 70.8% of MHP's in this study had adequate knowledge of COVID-19 symptomatology, transmission, management, and preventive measures. 44.9% were not willing to take the COVID-19 vaccine. Good practices like maintaining quarantine during outbreak and wearing a medical mask were observed. The significant difference found in Spearman's correlation was between knowledge and attitude which was a negative correlation. Findings showed that MHPs (37.1%, N=33) had positive Practice, (57.3%, N=51) had positive attitude regarding COVID-19. MHPs perceived that lack of an official specialized in infection control, overcrowding in psychiatric patients' rooms, and Lack of policy procedures of infection control practice were the major barriers to infection control.

**Conclusion:** This study found that MHPs in Sudan have good knowledge, and suggest a special need to scale up facilities' infection control materials to meet the required good practice.

Key Words: COVID-19; KAP; Psychiatrist; Psychologists; Nurses; Social workers; Psychiatric hospital

# **INTRODUCTION**

On 31st December 2019, cases of pneumonia of unknown cause in Wuhan, China were reported to the world health organization (WHO). The pathogen identified was severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (sars-cov-2) [1]. After the first cases of this predominantly respiratory viral illness were first reported in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in late December 2019, SARS-CoV-2 rapidly disseminated across the world in a short span of time, compelling the WHO to declare it as a global pandemic on March 11, 2020 [2]. The honorable practice of mental health care relies heavily on the close relationship between doctors and patients, hence the delicate sensitivity and sensibility of mental health care that functions on the balance of that close relationship are greatly impacted due to the spread of the current pandemic Covid-19 as both doctors and patients are considered a potential source of infection [3]. As a time that governments focus on the direct effects of Covid-19 attention toward psychiatric facilities is needed. The main goal of the study was to measure the level of knowledge, attitude, and practice concerning COVID-19 on mental health professionals (MHPs), analyze socio-demographic variables that affect KAP's and explore challenges and barriers perceived toward infection control practices

Received:	09-February-2022	Manuscript No:	ipdehc-22-12372
Editor assigned:	11-February-2022	PreQC No:	ipdehc-22-12372 (PQ)
Reviewed:	25-February-2022	QC No:	ipdehc-22-12372
Revised:	02-March-2022	Manuscript No:	ipdehc-22-12372 (R)
Published:	09-March-2022	DOI:	10.21767/2049-5471.19.2.0010

**Corresponding author** Fajr Elhashimi, Department of Psychiatry, Omdurman Islamic University, Khartoum, Sudan; E-mail: fajr. hashim@gmail.com

**Citation** Elhashimi F, Ibrahim M, Taha B (2022) Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices and Perceived Barriers among Mental Health Professionals in Sudanese Psychiatric Hospitals regarding COVID-19 Outbreak. Diversity & Equality in Health and Care. 19:10.

**Copyright** © Elhashimi F, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# BACKGROUND

The truth about how the virus SARS-CoV-2 can spread both direct by droplet transmission and indirectly by contaminated objects makes it all the more dangerous and strenuous to contain [4].

As of Feb, 21th 2020, the virus has affected 3,019 healthcare workers (HCWs) with 5 deaths [5]. The fact that HCWs are at risk of infection in the epidemic chain is a critical issue because HCWs help in controlling the outbreak, they consider being the most vulnerable group at risk of contracting this new SARS-CoV-2 infection, in addition to the physiological and psychological stress, fatigue, occupational burnout, stigma, and physical violence [6].

It is well established that transmission of the disease among HCWs is associated with overcrowding, the absence of isolation room facilities, and environmental contamination. However, this is likely compounded by the fact that some HCWs have inadequate awareness of infection prevention practices [7].

Knowledge of the disease in addition to the reasoned action approach (RAA) analysis can considerably influence HCWs' attitudes and practices, and incorrect attitudes and practices directly increase the risk of infection [8].

The first announced case of the novel coronavirus in Sudan, the Sudan government imposed a strict and militarized "national lockdown" policy on March 13, 2020, that prohibited citizens from leaving quarantine except for food, medicine, and essential labor as a result of constrained resources in Sudan, the mortality rate of Covid-19 is exceptionally high [9]. The psychiatric patients are among the vulnerable group due to their lack of coherent preventive behavioral attitude toward the virus and the task of treating them is befallen on the Pandemic psychiatric medical staff.

Psychiatric hospitals are located mainly in the capital of Sudan in Khartoum, where the majority of consultant psychiatrists are present; leaving other 11 cities in Sudan deprived of mental health services [10]. Up until recently, there was no specific antiviral treatment available for COVID-19 [11]. However, due to the societal disruption brought by the virus, several national governments, and the international community have invested vast sums of money in the development of a safe and effective vaccine. Currently, there are now several vaccines that are in use. The first mass vaccination program started in early December 2020 and as of 15 February 2021, 175.3 million vaccine doses have been administered. At least 7 different vaccines (3 platforms) have been administered [12]. WHO cautioned that previous success in controlling COVID-19 transmission is not a guarantee of future success and that continued vigilance is a must [13].

# **Objectives**

Hence the overall number of COVID-19 cases is rising, understanding mental health professionals (MHPs) knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) and possible risk factors help to predict the outcomes of planned behavior. Thus, this study aimed to investigate if MHPs working in psychiatric facilitates have the needed knowledge, attitude, and practice to overcome this pandemic.

# **METHODS**

This study was a cross-sectional hospital-based performed in three psychiatric in-patient facilities in Khartoum state Tigani Almahi, Alidressi, and Taha Baashar. Total coverage was done to cover mental health professionals which included psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and nurses working in these hospitals was obtained which was 141 based on previous research [10].

The questionnaire was based on previous researches [5,14]. and has been edited by consultant Infection control experts to meet our country's requirements. It consisted of questions assessing demographics; information source; knowledge, attitude, practice towards COVID-19; and perceived barriers to infection control. Demographic characteristics included were age, gender, marital status, specialty, experience year, and one item regarding the source of information about COVID-19. The knowledge section had 16 items and each question was answered Correct or Incorrect. Correct answers codes as positive knowledge and incorrect answers as poor knowledge. The attitude and practice section had three items and fourteen items respectively, and responses were recorded on a five point Likert scale ((1) rarely; (2) Occasionally; (3) Sometimes; (4) Most of the time; (5) Always). 13 items assessed the perception of MHPs regarding barriers to infection control. Responses were recorded on a five point Likert scale (strongly agree, agree undecided, disagree, strongly disagree). Data collection was held from 23rd January to 24th February 2021.

For analysis of data, Statistical Package for Social Sciences software, version 26.0 was used. Initially, all information gathered via questionnaire was coded into variables. Normality of data was tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Both descriptive and inferential statistics involving the Mann Whitney U test, Kruskal Wallis H test, and superman's correlation were used to present results. A Chi-square test was used to compare categorical data. Frequency and percentage were used in the descriptive statistical analysis. For each test, a p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. A Chi-square test was used to compare categorical data.

The study was approved by the ethics committee at the Omdurman Islamic University (OIU/FMHS/OD 19/10/2020), permission from hospitals ethical committees and consents from participants were taken,,

# RESULTS

The response rate was 63% mean (SD) age of participants was  $36.5\pm11.3$  years, the majority (76.4%) of respondents were female, Nearly one half of the study respondents (39.3%) were psychologists, and (12.4%) were social workers. Most respondents (32.6%) had more than eleven years of work experience. Approximately half of the respondents were married (49.4%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Association of socio-demographic variables between psychiatrists, ps	sychologists, social workers,	psychiatric nurses groups
---	-------------------------------	---------------------------

Variables		N%	Psychiatrists	Psychologists	Social work- ers	Nurses	P value
			N=24(27.0%)	N=35(39.3%)	N=11(12.4%)	N=19(21.3%)	
Age (M	ean+SD)	36.5±11.3					0.000*
Condou	Male	21(23.65)	10(47.6%)	4(19%)	0	7(33.3%)	
Gender	Female	68(76.4%)	14(20.6%)	31(45.6%)	11(16.2%)	12(17.6%)	
	Single	39(43.8%)	15(38.5%)	17(43.6%)	3(7.7%)	4(10.3%)	0.000*
Marital	Married	44(49.4%)	8(18.2%)	15(34.1%)	7(15.9%)	14(31.8%)	
Status	Divorced	4(4.5%)	1(25%)	2(50%)	1(25%)	0	
	Widowed	2(2.2%)	0	1(50%)	0	1(50%)	
	≤1	19(21.3%)	6(31.6%)	12(63.2%)	1(5.3%)	0	0.202
Experience	02-May	25(28.1%)	11(44%)	9(36%)	3(12%)	2(8%)	
Years	06-Oct	16(18.0%)	4(25%)	4(25%)	0	8(50%)	
	≥11	29(32.6%)	3(10.3%)	10(34.5%)	7(24.1%)	9(31%)	
	Tigani Almahi						0.808
Hospitals		27(30.3%)	10(37%)	11(40.7%)	3(11.1%)	3(11.1%)	
	Alidressi	30(33.7%)	9(30%)	11(36.7%)	0	10(33.3%)	
	Taha Baashar	32(36.0%)	5(15.6%)	13(40.6%)	8(25%)	6(18.8%)	

He findings demonstrated that (52.8%) of MHPs were using news and media as their main sources for information during

the last month (Figures 1 and 2).





Figure 1: Percentage of MHPs source of Information.



Figure 2: Barriers Perceived by Mental Health Professionals

A correlation between different domains of the questionnaire was also assessed. A negative correlation was observed between knowledge and attitude (Table 2)

 Table 2: A correlation between different domains of the questionnaire

 was also assessed. A negative correlation was observed between

 knowledge and attitude

variables	rho	p-value
Knowledge, Attitude	-0.278	0.008**
Knowledge, Practice	-0.098	0.359
Practice, Attitude	0.157	0.141
	**Significant At P<0.05	

The results of study indicate that there was sufficient knowledge. 70.8% of MHP's demonstrated positive knowledge of COVID-19 (Table 3). demonstrate a Statistical significance difference between Male and Female in knowledge using signifi-Table 3: Mean score with respect to demographics cance level <0.05 with female achieving higher mean, although females scored higher than males but there was no statistical significant difference in attitudes and practices between Males and Females.

There's a statistical significance of Social workers' knowledge showing higher knowledge mean (57.95) than psychiatrists (31.15) in contrast social workers had a lower attitude (32.95) ] than psychiatrists (62.63).

Descriptive statistics for each item in the questionnaire are given in **Table 4**. For participants' practice and attitudes, the result was assessed by summing up scores. The score was then computed. For Practice Scores between (55-70) were graded as positive Practice, meanwhile, scores between graded as a negative Practice (14-54). 33(37.1%) had positive Practice, 65(62.9%) had negative Practice (**Table 5**)

	K.score		A.score		P.score	
Variable	1.23 ± 0.091	P-value	3.40 ± 0.975	P-value	3.76 ± 0.732	P-value
	Mean Rank		Mean Rank		Mean Rank	
Gender		0.046		0 106		0.490
Male	35.36	0.040	51.33	0.190	48.4	0.469
Female	47.98		43.04		43.95	
Marital Status*						
Single		0.649		0.448		0.873
					47.58	
	42.96		49.92			
Married	45.15		40.81		43.09	
Divorced	56.75		42.5		42.5	
Widowed	58		46.25		41.75	
Specialty*						
Psychiatrists	31.15	0.009		0.001	50.42	0.198
			62.63			
Psychologists	46.69		40.23		48.23	
Social Workers	57.95		32.95		34.91	
Psychiatric Nurses	51.89		38.5		38.05	

Table 4: Comparison of knowledge, practice and attitude between psychiatrists, psychologists, social Workers and nurses on covid-19

Knowledge	Response	psychiatrists	psychologists	social workers	nurses	Р
Special caution must be taken if a person presents with Fever, cough and Difficulty	Correct	24(100%) 0	34(97.1%) 1(2.9%)	11(100%) 0	18(94.7%) 1(5.3%)	0.648
breatning?						
COVID19 patients develop severe	Correct	23(95.8%)	28(80%)	8(72.7%)	15(78.9%)	0.251
acute respiratory illness?	Incorrect	1(4.2%)	7(20%)	3(27.3%)	4(21.1%)	0.231
In epidemics, meat	Correct	24(100%)	34(97.1%)	9(81.8%)	17(89.5%)	
products can be safely consumed if	Incorrect	0	1(2.9%)	2(18.2%)	2(10.5%)	0.108
these items get						

well cooked and prepared?

COVID-19 is a vi-	Correct	23(95.8%)	34(97.1%)	11(100%)	18(94.7%)	0 881
ral Infection?	Incorrect	1(4.2%)	1(2.9%)	0	1(5.3%)	0.001
Washing hands	Correct	18(75%)	30(85.7%)	10(90.9%)	7(36.8%)	0.5
water) for 20s?	Incorrect	6(25%)	5(14.3%)	1(9.1%)	12(63.2%)	0.5
Influenza vac- cine also gives	Correct	2(8.3%)	10(28.6%)	1(9.1%)	7(36.8%)	0.076
protection from COVID-19?	Incorrect	22(91.7%)	25(71.4%)	10(90.9%)	12(63.2%)	0.070
Should the nose and mouth be cov-	Correct	24(100%)	35(100%)	11(100%)	18(94.7%)	0 203
ered when cough- ing or sneezing?	Incorrect	0	0	0	1(5.3%)	0.293
Psychiatric and neuropsychiat- ric patients are	Correct	10(41.7%)	11(31.4%)	2(18.2%)	4(21.1%)	
more susceptible for having com- plications from COVID-19?	Incorrect	14(58.3%)	24(68.6%)	9(81.8%)	15(78.9%)	0.388
Antibiotic is the	Correct	2(8.3%)	12(34.4%)	5(45.5%)	9(47.4%)	0.025*
first line treat- ment?	Incorrect	22(91.7%)	23(65.7%)	6(54.5%)	10(52.6%)	
Polymerase Chain reaction can be	Correct	20(83.3%)	20(57.1%)	7(63.6%)	14(73.7%)	0.470
used as diagnostic test for COVID-19?	Incorrect	4(16.7%)	15(42.9%)	4(36.4%)	5(26.3%)	0.179
Vaccination of	Correct	16(66.7%)	22(62.9%)	0	11(57.9%)	0.00/1
Available?	Incorrect	8(33.3%)	13(37.1%)	11(100%)	8(42.1%)	0.001
Incubation period	Correct	20(83.3%)	32(91.4%)	10(90.9%)	17(89.1%)	0 707
2-14 days?	Incorrect	4(16.7%)	3(8.6%)	1(9.1%)	2(10.5%)	0.797
ls the disease transmitted only	Correct	1(4.2%)	9(25.7%)	0	3(15.8%)	0.050
from people with symptoms?	Incorrect	23(95.8%)	26(74.3%)	11(100%)	16(84.2%)	0.059
Can the disease be transmitted for	Correct	4(16.7%)	13(37.1%)	3(27.3%)	6(31.6%)	0 207
a distance of more than two meters?	Incorrect	20(83.3%)	22(62.9%)	8(72.7%)	13(68.4%)	0.397
Does the medical (surgical) mask	Correct	17(70.8%)	28(80%)	7(63.6%)	14(73.7%)	0 706
protect against the virus?	Incorrect	7(29.2%)	7(20%)	4(36.4%)	5(26.3%)	0.700

Table 5: Comparison of attitude, practice and knowledge between psychiatrists, psychologists, social Workers and nurses on covid-19

Attitude	Response	psychiatrists	psychologists	social workers	nurses	Р
	Always	5(38.5%)	3(23.1%)	1(7.7%)	4(30.8%)	
	Most of time	5(29.4%)	7(41.2%)	2(11.8%)	3(17.6%)	
Level of your fear	Sometime	12(32.4%)	15(40.5%)	4(10.8%)	6(16.2%)	0.589
	Occasionally	2(13.3%)	8(53.3%)	2(13.3%)	3(20.0%)	
	Rarely	0	2(28.6%)	2(28.6%)	3(28.6%)	
Would you like	Always	19(40.4%)	17(36.2%)	3(6.4%)	8(17.0%)	
to take a corona	Most of time	1(50.0%)	0	1(50.0%)	0	
the vaccine is	Sometime	3(16.7%)	7(58.3%)	3(25.0%)	0	0.013*
provided?	Occasionally	0	2(40.0%)	0	3(60.0%)	
	Always	15(38.5%)	12(30.8%)	4(10.3%)	8(20.5%)	
Willingness to care for the psy- chiatric patients suffering from the COVID-19?	Most of time	4(33.3%)	6(50.0%)	0	2(16.7%)	
	Sometime	2(13.3%)	5(33.3%)	4(26.7%)	4(26.7%)	0.366
	Occasionally	0	3(75.0%)	0	1(25.0%)	
	Rarely	3(15.8%)	9(47.4%)	3(15.8%)	4(21.1%)	

Practice	Response	psychiatrists	psychologists	social workers	nurses	Р
	Always	14(25.0%)	24(42.9%)	8(14.3%)	10(17.9%)	
During outbreak	Most of time	6(30.0%)	8(40.0%)	1(5.0%)	5(25.0%)	
,whether main-	Sometime	2(28.6%)	1(14.3%)	2(28.6%)	2(28.6%)	0.806
with family ?	Occasionally	2(50.0%)	1(25.0%)	0	1(25.0%)	
	Rarely	0	1(50.0%)	0	1(50.0%)	
Do you wear per-	Always	10(18.5%)	27(50.0%)	5(9.3%)	12(22.2%)	
sonal protection equipment	Most of time	7(46.7%)	4(26.7%)	2(13.3%)	2(13.3%)	
	Sometime	4(33.3%)	2(16.7%)	2(16.7%)	4(33.3%)	0.198
when dealing with all patients?	Occasionally	2(66.7%)	1(33.3%)	0	0	
	Rarely	1(20.0%)	1(20.0%)	2(40.3%)	1(20.0%)	
Do you wear	Always	18(27.3%)	29(43.9%)	7(10.6%)	12(18.2%)	
personal protec-	Most of time	4(30.8%)	4(30.8%)	2(15.4%)	3(23.1%)	
when dealing with	Sometime	0	1(25.0%)	1(25.0%)	2(50.0%)	
patients suffering	Occasionally	2(50.0%)	1(25.0%)	1(25.0%)	0	0.28
symptoms?	Rarely	0	0	0	2(100%)	
What protection do yo	ou wear					
	Medical mask	20(27.8%)	32(44.4%)	7(9.7%)	13(18.1%)	
Medical mask	Most of time	3(37.5%)	1(12.5%)	1(12.5%)	3(37.5%)	
	Sometime	0	1(20.0%)	2(40.0%)	2(40.0%)	0.31
	Occasionally	0	0	0	0	
	Rarely	1(25.0%)	1(25.0%)	1(25.0%)	1(25.0%)	
	Always	7(30.4%)	7(30.4%)	3(13.0%)	6(26.1%)	
	Most of time	3(37.5%)	3(37.5%)	1(12.5%)	1(12.5%)	
Fabric mask	Sometime	1(16.7%)	2(33.3%)	0	3(50.0%)	0.662
	Occasionally	0	3(42.9%)	2(28.6%)	2(28.6%)	
	Rarely	13(28.9%)	20(44.4%)	5(11.1%)	7(15.6%)	
	Always	7(36.8%)	8(42.1%)	1(5.3%)	3(15.8%)	
	Most of time	2(33.3%)	2(33.3%)	2(33.3%)	0	
N95 Mask	Sometime	8(57.1%)	3(21.4%)	1(7.1%)	2(14.3%)	0.153
	Occasionally	1(16.7%)	3(50.0%)	1(16.7%)	1(16.7%)	
	Rarely	6(13.6%)	19(43.2%)	6(13.6%)	13(29.5%)	
	Always	7(23.3%)	15(50.0%)	0	8(26.7%)	
	Most of time	5(41.7%)	3(25.0%)	2(16.7%)	2(16.7%)	
Gloves	Sometime	4(28.6%)	3(21.4%)	2(14.3%)	5(35.7%)	0.264
	Occasionally	0	2(50.0%)	1(25.0%)	1(25.0%)	
	Rarely	8(27.6%)	12(41.4%)	6(20.7%)	3(10.3%)	
	Always	4(16.7%)	7(20.0%)	1(9.1%)	2(10.5%)	
	Most of time	2(8.3%)	2(5.7%)	0	2(10.5%)	
Face Shield	Sometime	3(12.5%)	1(2.9%)	1(9.1%)	1(5.3%)	0.455
	Occasionally	4(16.7%)	1(2.9%)	1(9.1%)	0	
	Rarely	11(45.8%)	24(68.6%)	8(72.7%)	14(73.7%)	
	Always	4(16.7%)	3(8.6%)	0	3(15.8%)	
	Most of time	2(8.3%)	2(5.7%)	1(9.1%)	0	
Medical gown	Sometime	3(12.5%)	3(8.6%)	0	3(15.8%)	0.331
	Occasionally	2(8.3%)	0	1(9.1%)	2(10.5%)	
	Rarely	12(50.0%)	27(77.1%)	9(81.8%)	11(57.9%)	

# DISCUSSION

To the best of my knowledge, this is the first study in Sudan analyzing KAP on COVID-19 among psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and nurses' staff working in psychiatric facilities. Psychiatric patients may contribute to the high risk of transmitting the infection to MHPs due to their impulsive behavior, therefore proper precautions in such an environment must be taken [15] The present study is a majorly female dominated, married, and educated population, which is similar to previous studies [16,17]. In this study, (84.3%) of participants agreed that hand washing is a preventive measure against COVID-19 infection, Although less than this number 77.50% were committed to this practice, moreover, it was mostly followed after only being in direct contact with an actual patient. The overall knowledge score was 70.8% which signaled that participants have adequate knowledge toward COVID-19, which is comparatively lower than the previous study 79.42% even though the same study suggested that the knowledge score is higher in the more properly educated sample such as psychiatrists and nurses which does not apply in this study [18]. An estimate of (69.70%) gave an inadequate and erroneous answer to a question regarding the impact of COVID-19 on the psychiatry team where they stated that the current pandemic doesn't have any mentionable impact on them, signaling a conspicuous lack in knowledge regarding the full extent of the current pandemic's outrage and the role of psychiatry team in a hospital's setting or a private institution.

The analysis of MHPs' knowledge and the factors affecting their attitude and practices could provide a reference for preventing the further spread of the epidemic among MHP's and psychiatric patients. The fact that half of the participants receive their knowledge from social media and the news needs to be pressingly addressed as it affects the integrity and the accuracy ofthe knowledge and reflects on their attitude and practice.

Close to (45%) of participants were not willing to take the COVID-19 vaccine which is a major finding that should be followed with an intent to study this aversion as vaccination is even more important for controlling the COVID-19 pandemic especially in facilities that lack proper infection.

In this study, an overall knowledge questionnaire score was (70.8%) which indicates the participants have adequate knowledge of COVID-19, which is comparatively lower than the previous study conducted in China where the estimated score was 89.51%, whereas a similar study was also conducted in India with an estimated score of 79.42%, the reason could be due to negligence in following protective protocols and safety measures, despite the participants being selected from a higher educated sample of psychiatrists and nurses, in addition to the lack of sufficient training and promotion to the working staff. (70.8%) of the participants from this study conceded that Insufficient training was a barrier in infection control. (57.3%) were willing to care for psychiatric patients who were infected by the COVID-19 virus in contrast to the previous study (77.17%). The self-contained measures for the willingness to care for the infected patients included advanced training, experience in regard to caring for patients with COVID-19, and the confidence to know the risk and how to navigate them for proper protection of themselves and their patients.

89.9% of participants were wearing a surgical mask and 28.1% wearing an N95 mask, According to previous studies the use of cotton masks, surgical masks, and N95 masks contributes to having a protective effect concerning the transmission of infective droplets/aerosols of SARS-CoV-2 and that the protective efficiency was higher when masks were worn by a virus spreader, importantly, medical masks (surgical masks and even

N95 masks) were not able to completely block the transmission of virus droplets/aerosols even when completely sealed, moreover, evidence from laboratory studies of potential airborne spread of influenza from shedding patients indicates that guidelines related to the current 1-meter respiratory zone may need to be extended to a larger respiratory zone and include protection from ocular inoculation. Despite the slight margin of difference in the rate of the protective effect of surgical masks against N95 masks, where the latter reported to have a better protective effect during aerosol generating procedures, surgical masks are actually more adopted into society due to conveniences, availability and relatively affordable prices compare to the N95 masks [19]. However, more protective measures such as hand washing and personal's hygiene also need to be adopted to limit the spread of the virus and to maximize the indiviuals' level of protection against the current pandemic [20,21].

Despite the availability of infection control materials such as masks and gloves worldwide, (73%) of participants reported that such materials are scarce in Sudan, (55.1%) lack of gloves and masks, and (70.8%) lack of isolation rooms. The study recommends that the Ministries of Health should promote all COVID-19 precautionary and preventative materials to adhere to the guidelines recommended by the World Health Organization to minimize the rate of infection.

80.90% of the participants concurred with the lack of an official specialized unit in infection control. The presence of a specialized infection control unit is critical for training and monitoring the medical staff especially in accommodating with COVID-19 outbreak [22]. Meanwhile (74.2%) consented that overcrowding in psychiatric patients' rooms and the Lack of policy procedures of infection control practice were also a barrier in infection control.

### LIMITATION

A pilot study before the administration of the questionnaire wasn't taken which may contribute to the validity of data.

#### CONCLUSION

Mental health professionals tend to have proper knowledge toward COVID-19 transmission but it does not apply to their practice, therefore Special needs by the government toward facilities' infection control materials are required.

#### DATA

Data may be made available to qualified researchers upon request to the author.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Due credit goes to the research's supervisor/s, The Dean of the University, faculty advisors, hospital staff, and include but is not limited to the editors and colleagues.

#### **FINANCIAL SUPPORT**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agen-

cy, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

None

# **ETHICAL STANDARDS**

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000.

### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Provided

### **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS**

Applicable

### REFERENCES

- Abdelfattah D (2020) Stressors Occurring in Psychiatry Residents Working in Psychiatric Hospitals. During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 22(6):20br02735.
- Ahmed A, Mohammed NS, El Sadig SM, Fahal LA, Abelrahim ZB, et al. (2021) COVID-19 in Sudan. The J Infect Dev Ctries 15: 204-208.
- Cascella MRM, Aleem A (2021) Features, evaluation, and treatment of coronavirus (COVID-19). StatPearls-NCBI bookshelf.
- Chang D, Xu H, Rebaza A, Sharma L, Dela Cruz S, et al. (2020) Protecting health-care workers from subclinical coronavirus infection. The Lancet Respiratory medicine 8(3): e13.
- Erfani A, Shahriarirad R, Ranjabr K, Mirahmadizadeh A. Moghadami M, et al. (2020) Knowledge, Attitude and Practice toward the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak: A Population-Based Survey in Iran. Front Public Health 8:599007.
- 6. Hung IFN (2020) Treatment of coronavirus disease 2019. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 15(6): 336-340.
- Isaacs D, Britton P, Howard zones A, Kesson A, Khatami A, et al. (2020) Do facemasks protect against COVID-19? J Paediatr Child Health 56(6): 976-977.
- Loeb M, Dafoe N, Mahon YJ, John M, Sarbia A et al. (2009) Surgical mask vs N95 respirator for preventing influenza among health care workers: Randomized Controlled Trial. Jama 302(17):1865-71.
- 9. Lotfi M, Hamblin MR, Rezazi N (2020) COVID-19: Transmission, prevention and potential therapeutic opportunities.

Clinica Chimica Acta 508:254-266.

- 10. Mahato C, Suryavanshi S (2020) Knowledge, attitude, and practices towards COVID-19 among nurses, ward attendants, and housekeeping staff at a tertiary psychiatric institute in India. Int J Com Med and Pub Healt 7: 5035-5043.
- Mceachan R, Taylor N, Harrison R, Lawton R, Gardner P, et al. (2016) Meta-Analysis of the Reasoned Action Approach (RAA) to Understanding Health Behaviors. Ann Behav Med 50(4): 592-612.
- 12. Olum R, Chekwech G, Wekha G, Nassozi DR, Bongomin F, et al. (2020) Coronavirus Disease-2019: Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Health Care Workers at Makerere University Teaching Hospitals, Uganda. Fronti Public Health 8:181.
- 13. Osman AHM, Bakhiet A, Elmusharaf S, Omer A, Abdelrahman A, et al. (2019) Sudan's mentalhealth service: Challenges and future horizons. BJPsych Int 17(1): 17-19.
- Saqlain M, Munir MM, Rehman SU, Gulzar A, Naz S, et al. (2020) Knowledge, attitude, practice and perceived barriers among healthcare workers regarding COVID-19: A cross-sectional survey from Pakistan. The J hosp infection 105: 419-423.
- Sohrabi C, Alsafiz, Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, et al. (2020) World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). Int J Surg 76: 71-76.
- Sommerstein R, Fux CA, Vuichard-Gysin D, Abbas M, Marschall J, et al. (2020) Risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission by aerosols, the rational use of masks, and protection of healthcare workers from COVID-19. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 9: 100.
- 17. Wang H, Wang S (2020) COVID-19 infection epidemic: The medical management strategies in Heilongjiang Province, China. Crit Care 24(1): 107.
- WHO Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Reports.
- 19. WHO (2021) Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Vaccines.
- 20. Wu Z, Mcgoogan JM (2020) Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA 323(13):1239-1242.
- Zhang M, Zhou M, Tang F, Wang Y, NIE H, et al. (2020) Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Henan, China. J Hospital Inf. 105(2): 183-187.
- 22. Zhong BL, Lu OW, Li HM, Zhang QQ, Liu XG, et al. (2020) Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: A quick online cross-sectional survey. Int J Biol Sci 16(10):1745-1752.