

#### Open access

Research Article

# Influence of COVID-19 Conditions on Sleep Alterations of Georgian and Foreign Students at the University of Georgia: A Cross Sectional Study

Nnaemeka Emmanuel Mgbedo<sup>1\*</sup>, Natia Landia<sup>2</sup>, Inga Odzelashvili<sup>2</sup>, Fatemeh Alighanbari<sup>3</sup>, and Mariam Gogichadze<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Department, University of Georgia, Georgia <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, Georgia <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Ivane Javakhishvili, Georgia

### **ABSTRACT**

The alterations in sleep among undergraduate students have been a burden to their mental health and academic studies. We used the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index scale in this study conducted among Georgian and International students. The respondents participated in this study through the University intranet as their responses remained anonymous. The survey comprised the demographic characteristics and sleep health deteriorating wake patterns such as subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleeping medication, and daytime dysfunction. A total of 500 students completed this study, 72% were Georgian students, and 28% were international students. 50.8% of Georgian students were under the age of 20 years, and 74.3% of international students were between 21 and 30 years of age. Most Georgian students reported poor subjective sleep quality, short sleep duration, fewer sleep disturbances, and daytime dysfunction. However, sleep latency was higher among international students. Both categories of students reported using sleeping pills as the statistical significance was observed between global score and gender, subjective sleep quality, and age grade of Georgian students (p<0.05). This study aimed to evaluate the sleep-wake health quality among international and Georgian undergraduate students at the University of Georgia.

Keywords: Sleep cycle; Sleep pills; Sleep habits; COVID-19; Students; PSQI

# **INTRODUCTION**

Generally, students usually have trouble falling asleep, which led to investigations regarding sleep patterns among international students [1]. It has been suggested that during the COVID-19 pandemic, sleep disorders would deepen among international students would be stronger [2,3]. The essence of sleep crosses over the affairs of every creature for the proper physiology of the body mechanisms [4]. It is crucial for mental health [5,6] and healthy living [7]. It has a wide range of effects, and deprivation can result in fatigue and dysfunction of neurocognitive functions [8]. It has been recommended that students should have 7-9 hours of sleep per night [9] but most students do not value the upshot of sleep owing to their studies and time constraints [10]. Compared to studies, most foreign students find it difficult to adapt to the change in environment, new peer groups, and social life [11]. The effect of body pain or physical injury can affect the student's sleep, especially after strenuous sporting activity [7]. This study aimed to evaluate the sleepwake health quality among international and Georgian under-

Received:	29-March-2023	Manuscript No:	IPQPC-23-15886
Editor assigned:	31-March-2023	PreQC No:	IPQPC-23-15886 (PQ)
Reviewed:	14-April-2023	QC No:	IPQPC-23-15886
Revised:	19-April-2023	Manuscript No:	IPQPC-23-15886 (R)
Published:	26-April-2023	DOI:	10.36648/1479-1064.23.31.010

**Corresponding author** Nnaemeka Emmanuel Mgbedo, Medical Department, The University of Georgia, Georgia, E-mail: nnae-mekaemmannuel.std@ug.edu.ge

**Citation** Mgbedo NE, Landia N, Odzelashvili I, Alighanbari F, Gogichadze M (2023) Influence of COVID-19 Conditions on Sleep Alterations of Georgian and Foreign Students at the University of Georgia: A Cross Sectional Study. Qual Prim Care. 31.010.

**Copyright** © 2023 Mgbedo NE, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

graduate students at the University of Georgia (UG).

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This descriptive study was designed to examine the sleep health quality among international students and Georgian Students during COVID-19, using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index scale (PSQI). The data was collected by an online survey which was created using Google forms from the 22<sup>nd</sup> of March until the 23<sup>rd</sup> of June 2022. The link was shared among the students after receiving approval from the University's ethical board. During this period, the University was following an online regime of education, and the responses were voluntary and anonymous.

#### Assessment

Demographic variables: included gender, age group (<20, 21-30, >31), and nationality (country of origin). The countries were specified by the students and six countries. The specified countries were Egypt, India, Iran, Nigeria, Georgia, and Israel.

#### **PSQI**

We used the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index scale (PSQI) which contained 19 self-rated questions. The subcomponents included Subjective Sleep Quality (SSQ), Poor (Very poor and poor), Good (Very good and pretty good), sleep latency (SL) High (31-60 minutes and >60 minutes), Low (<15 minutes and 16-30 minutes), sleep duration (SD), habitual sleep efficiency (HSE), sleep disturbances (SDs), use of medications (USM) <1 time/week (Not during the last month and less than once a week) and >1 time/week (once or twice a week and three or more times a week) and daytime sleep dysfunction (DDS). The seven subcomponents were added to get one global score (GS) which ranged from 0 to 21 points and above 7 indicated poor sleep quality.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The descriptive analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Chi-square test was used to determine the relationship between ages and sleep quality, as well as gender and poor sleep quality. The P values were two-sided and significant at P<0.05.

### RESULTS

Out of the 500 undergraduate university students who participated in this study, 360 (72%) students were Georgian students while 140 (28%) students were international students from Egypt, India, Iran, Nigeria, and Israel. 76.1% of Georgian students, were females and 23.9% were males, while 68.6% females and 31.4% of males were international students. In the age category, 50.8% of Georgian students were <20 years, and 48.1% were between the ages of 21-30 years (Table 1). Among international students, 74.3% of students aged between 21-30 years, were higher than <20 years and >31 years age categories, 22.1% and, 3.6% respectively (Table 2).

Table 1: The Frequency of demographic characteristics and PSQI components among UG Students.

	Variables	Georgian Students (N=360)	International Students (N= 140)
Sev	Male	86(23.9%)	44(31.4%)
Sex	Female	274(76.1%)	96(68.6%)
	<20	183(50.8%)	31(22.1%)
Age	21-30	173(48.1%)	104(74.3%)
	>31	4(1.1%)	5(3.6%)
		PSQI	
022	Good	158(51.4%)	96(68.6)
SSQ	Poor	175(48.6%)	44(31.4)
SL	High	201(55.8%)	75(53.6%)
	Low	159(44.2%)	65(46.4)
20	<7 hrs	123(34.2%)	71(50.7%)
30	>7 hrs	237(65.8%)	69(49.3%)
	<75%	139(38.6%)	102(72.9%)
HJE	>75%	221(61.4%)	38(27.1%)
SDo	High	99(27.2%)	89(63.6%)
305	Low	261(72.5%)	51(36.4%)
LISM	<1 Time/Week	331(91.9%)	131(93.6%)
0.510	>1 Time/Week	29(8.1%)	9(6.4%)
	High	161(44.7%)	106(75.7%)
עפע	low	199(55.3%)	34(24.3)

CS	<7 GS >7		173(48	83(59.3%)		
			187(51	1.9%)	57(40.7%)	
able 2: Frequenc	y distribution of the repre	esented countries for	the international stud	dents by demographi	57(40.7 characteristics and sel Nigeria N (%) 12(8.9%) 18(12.9%) 4(2.9%) 23(16.4%) 3(2.1%) 23(16.4%) 7(5.0%)	selected variables
		lı	nternational Student	ts		
V	ariahlo	Egypt	India	Iran	Nigeria	Israel
		N (%)	N (%)	ia         Iran         Nigeria           6)         N (%)         N (%)           0%)         6(4.3%)         12(8.9%)           1%)         22(15.7%)         18(12.9%)           1%)         22(15.7%)         18(12.9%)           %)         5(3.6%)         4(2.9%)           3%)         23(16.4%)         23(16.4%)           %)         0         3(2.1%)           QI         9%)         21(15.0%)         23(16.4%)           3%)         7(5.0%)         7(5.0%)         3(5.0%)           3%)         15(10.7%)         15(10.7%)         15(10.7%)	N (%)	
Sov	Male	5(3.6%)	14(10.0%)	6(4.3%)	12(8.9%)	7(5.0%)
Sex	Female	18(12.9%)	24(17.1%)	22(15.7%)	18(12.9%)	14(10.0%)
	<20	8(5.7%)	9(6.4%)	5(3.6%)	4(2.9%)	5(3.6%)
Age	21-30	15(10.7%)	27(19.3%)	23(16.4%)	23(16.4%)	16(11.4%)
	>31	0	2(1.4%)	0	3(2.1%)	0
			PSQI			
000	Good	17(12.1%)	25(17.9%)	21(15.0%)	23(16.4%)	10(7.1%)
55Q	Poor	6(4.3%)	13(9.3%)	7(5.0%)	7(5.0%)	11(7.9%)
0	High	14(10.0%)	20(14.3%)	15(10.7%)	15(10.7%)	11(7.9%)
SL	Low	9(6.4%)	18(12.9%)	13(9.3%)	15(10.7%)	10(7.1%)
0.0	<7 hrs	12(8.6%)	20(14.3%)	17(12.1%)	12(8.6%)	10(7.1%)
SD	>7 hrs	11(7.9%)	18(12.9%)	11(7.9%)	18(12.9%)	11(7.9%)
1105	<75%	13(9.3%)	28(20.0%)	23(16.4%)	22(15.7%)	16(11.4%)
HSE	>75%	10(7.1%)	10(7.1%)	5(3.6%)	8(5.7%)	5(3.6%)
0.5	High	13(9.3%)	28(20.0%)	16(11.4%)	19(13.6%)	13(9.3%)
SDs	Low	10(7.1%)	10(7.1%)	12(8.6%)	11(7.9%)	8(5.7%)
	<1 Time/Week	22(15.7%)	36(25.7%)	27(19.3%)	29(20.7%)	17(12.1%)
USM	>1 Time/Week	1(0.7%)	2(1.4%)	1(0.7%)	1(0.7%)	4(2.9%)
DOD	High	19(13.6%)	29(20.7%)	21(15.0%)	24(17.1%)	13(9.3%)
DSD	low	4(2.9%)	9(6.4%)	7(5.0%)	6(4.3%)	8(5.7%)
00	<7	11(7.9%)	23(16.4%)	19(13.6%)	18(12.9%)	12(8.6%)
GS	>7	12(8.6%)	15(10.7%)	9(6.4%)	12(8.6%)	9(6.4%)

**Tables 3-6** presents the components of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and the prevalence of poor sleep quality during the COVID-19 among Georgian students and international students by their age and gender. The result shows that

Page 63

the prevalence of poor subjective sleep quality (SSQ) was significantly higher among students <20 years (Table 3). However, there was statistical significance between poor sleep quality and gender among Georgian students (Table 5).

Table 3: Comparison of the PSQI component scores and the Georgian student's age grade.

Georgian Students											
PS	SQI	<20	21-30	>30	Total	<b>X</b> <sup>2</sup>	P-value				
	Good	21.70%	28.60%	1.10%	51.40%	14.014	-0.05				
55Q	Poor	29.20%	19.40%	0.00%	48.60%	14.011	<0.05				
CI	Low	21.90%	21.40%	0.80%	44.20%	4 604	× 0.05				
SL	High	28.90%	26.70%	0.30%	55.80%	1.024	>0.05				
0.5	>7 hrs	30.30%	34.70%	0.80%	65.80%	0.540	. 0.05				
SD	<7 hrs	20.60%	13.30%	0.30%	34.20%	6.519	>0.05				

Mgbedo NE, et al.

	>75%	30.00%	30.60%	0.80%	61.40%	1 000	> 0.05
HSE	<75%	20.80%	17.50%	0.30%	38.60%	1.099	>0.05
SDc	Low	37.50%	33.90%	1.10%	72.50%	2 005	>0.05
305	High	13.30%	14.20%	0.00%	27.50%	2.005	~0.05
	<1 Time/Week	46.90%	43.90%	1.10%	91.90%	0 479	>0.05
0310	>1 Time/Week	3.90%	4.20%	0.00%	8.10%	0.475	20.00
חפח	Low	25.80%	28.30%	1.10%	55.30%	5 656	>0.05
DOD	High	25.00%	19.70%	0.00%	44.70%	0.000	20.00
GS	<7	21.40%	25.60%	1.10%	48.10%	8 764	>0.05
	>7	29.40%	22.50%	0.00%	51.90%	0.704	- 0.00

 Table 4: Comparison of the PSQI component scores and the international student's age grade.

International Students										
PSQI		<20	21-30	>30	Total	<b>X</b> <sup>2</sup>	P-value			
022	Good	14.30%	50.70%	3.60%	68.60%	0 5 2 2	>0.05			
330	Poor	7.90%	23.60%	0.00%	31.40%	2.555	20.05			
CI.	Low	12.10%	39.30%	2.10%	53.60%	0 100	>0.05			
5L	High	10.00%	35.00%	1.40%	46.40%	0.123	>0.05			
80	>7 hrs	10.70%	37.90%	2.10%	50.70%	0.242	>0.05			
30	<7 hrs	11.40%	36.40%	1.40%	49.30%	0.242	20.05			
ЦСЕ	>75%	16.40%	53.60%	2.90%	72.90%	0.196	>0.05			
ПЭЕ	<75%	5.70%	20.70%	0.70%	27.10%	0.100	~0.05			
SDo	Low	13.60%	46.40%	3.60%	63.60%	2.096	>0.05			
305	High	8.60%	27.90%	0.00%	36.40%	2.900	20.05			
LIGM	<1 Time/Week	22.10%	67.90%	3.60%	93.60%	2 220	>0.05			
03101	>1 Time/Week	0.00%	6.40%	0.00%	6.40%	5.529	20.05			
	Low	19.30%	52.90%	3.60%	75.70%	4.064	>0.05			
030	High	2.90%	21.40%	0.00%	24.30%	4.904	20.05			
68	<7	12.90%	42.90%	3.60%	59.30%	2 562	>0.05			
GS	>7	9.30%	31.40%	0.00%	40.70%	3.302	~0.05			

Table 5: Comparison of the PSQI component scores and the gender of the Georgian Student's age grade.

D		Georgian	Students	Total	▶2	Burker	
P	SQI	Male	le Female		Χ-	P-value	
	Good	14.20%	37.20%	51.40%	0.000	> 0.05	
55Q	Poor	9.70%	38.90%	48.60%	2.833	>0.05	
0	Low	12.50%	31.70%	44.20%	2.05	× 0.05	
SL	High	11.40%	44.40%	55.80%	3.05	>0.05	
<b>CD</b>	>7 hrs	16.70%	49.20%	65.80%	0 777	× 0.05	
5D	<7 hrs	7.20%	26.90%	34.20%	0.777	>0.05	
	>75%	16.40%	45.00%	61.40%	0.400	. 0.05	
HSE	<75%	7.50%	31.10%	38.60%	2.482	>0.05	

SDs	Low	20.00%	52.50%	72.50%	7 106	>0.05	
	High	3.90%	23.60%	27.50%	7.130		
LIGM	<1 Time/Week	23.10%	68.90%	91.90%	2 1 9 2	>0.0F	
USM	>1 Time/Week	0.80%	7.20%	8.10%	3.102	-0.05	
חפח	Low	14.40%	40.80%	55.30%	1 22	>0.0E	
030	High	9.40%	35.30%	44.70%	1.23	20.05	
68	<7	15.30%	32.80%	48.10%	11 441	<0.05	
65	>7	8.60%	43.30%	51.90%	11.441	~0.05	

Table 6: Comparison of the PSQI component scores and the international students from respective countries by Gender.

DEOL		Eç	gypt	Inc	dia	Ir	an	Nig	jeria	lsr	ael	Total	<b>V</b> 2	Divolue
P	7301		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Totai	<b>^</b> -	P-value
220	Good	2.90%	9.30%	7.10%	10.70%	3.60%	11.40%	7.90%	8.60%	2.10%	5.00%	68.60%	4.00	> 0.05
55Q	Poor	0.70%	3.60%	2.90%	6.40%	0.70%	4.30%	0.70%	4.30%	2.90%	5.00%	31.40%	, 0	>0.05
0	Low	0.70%	9.30%	5.70%	8.60%	2.10%	8.60%	6.40%	4.30%	4.30%	3.60%	53.60%	1.566	> 0 0F
SL	High	2.90%	3.60%	4.30%	8.60%	2.10%	7.10%	2.10%	8.60%	0.70%	6.40%	46.40%		>0.05
00	>7 hrs	0.00%	8.60%	5.70%	8.60%	3.60%	8.60%	4.30%	4.30%	3.60%	3.60%	50.70%	0.377	> 0 0F
5D	<7 hrs	3.60%	4.30%	4.30%	8.60%	0.70%	7.10%	4.30%	8.60%	1.40%	6.40%	49.30%		>0.05
	>75%	0.70%	8.60%	7.10%	12.90%	4.30%	12.10%	7.10%	8.60%	5.00%	6.40%	72.90%	0.633	
HSE	<75%	2.90%	4.30%	2.90%	4.30%	0.00%	3.60%	1.40%	4.30%	0.00%	3.60%	27.10%		>0.05
0.0-	Low	2.10%	7.10%	8.60%	11.40%	1.40%	10.00%	5.00%	8.60%	4.30%	5.00%	63.60%	0 500	> 0 0F
SDS	High	1.40%	5.70%	1.40%	5.70%	2.90%	5.70%	3.60%	4.30%	0.70%	5.00%	36.40%	0.589	>0.05
	<1 Time/ Wk.	2.90%	12.90%	10.00%	15.70%	4.30%	15.00%	8.60%	12.10%	3.60%	8.60%	93.60%	0.016	>0.05
USM	>1 Time/ Wk.	0.70%	0.00%	0.00%	1.40%	0.00%	0.70%	0.00%	0.70%	1.40%	1.40%	6.40%	0.010	>0.05
חפח	low	3.60%	10.00%	9.30%	11.40%	3.60%	11.40%	7.10%	10.00%	3.60%	5.70%	75.70%	3.958	>0.05
030	High	0.00%	2.90%	0.70%	5.70%	0.70%	4.30%	1.40%	2.90%	1.40%	4.30%	24.30%		20.05
68	<7	0.00%	117.90%	8.60%	7.90%	3.60%	10.00%	6.40%	6.40%	4.30%	4.30%	59.30%	4 902	>0.05
GS	>7	3.60%	5.00%	1.40%	9.30%	0.70%	5.70%	2.10%	6.40%	0.70%	5.70%	40.70%	4.003	≥0.05

### DISCUSSION

Our study presented that the prevalence of poor sleep quality among Georgian students and international students by age was 51.9% and 40.7% respectively. This was probably because local students were more involved in social activities before the pandemic period and the pandemic limited this activity in comparison to foreign students, as it is well known that good/ active wakefulness is necessary for sufficient night sleep. There was a significant relation between sleep quality and Georgian students' age grades. The age prevalence corresponded with the age grades published in Kim's study among international students in South Korea during the COVID-19 pandemic and remained consistent with Browning's studies [12,13]. The females, with respect to gender, had poor sleep quality during the pandemic as observed in other studies. It is very interesting evidence, that females seemed more and significantly vulnerable in terms of sleep and mood disorders, it was revealed in our investigation as well in the works of other researchers [14-17].

However, students who had poor sleep quality reported diffi-

culty in falling asleep after their daily activities and some sleep less than 7 hours in other to achieve the plans for the next day. Studies reported that the sleep duration of the students during the pandemic increased which was not the same among the students who had less than 7 hours of sleep in this study. Some of the students spent more time in bed trying to sleep as their habitual sleep efficiency was less than 75% which can be attributed to insomnia, psychological stress, and the use of sleeping tablets [18-25].

Meanwhile, some studies have shown that students who have low sleep duration at night are likely to have daytime dysfunction which was observed among the participants of this study [26-28]. There are multivariate factors that can affect the sleep quality of students such as the course of study, time management, academic performance and we assumed that the impact of COVID-19 affected the sleep quality of some students. Compared to studies, most foreign students find it difficult to adapt to social life [29-35]. The condition in reference to hygiene, tidiness, and spaciousness of the room could affect the quality of

# CONCLUSION

The effect of life situations on the mental state of students which triggered nightmares, aggressive behavior, and depression eventually resulted in sleep deprivation. This descriptive study suggested that both international and local students still have difficulty with their sleep quality and essence of sleep consultations is required. Since poor sleep quality has been a global problem, especially among students, university authorities should help the students through publicity and consultations for raising awareness. Further investigative studies regarding healthy sleep quality are recommended.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

M.E.N: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing-review and editing. L.N.: Investigation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing-review and editing. O.I. and A.F: Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing-review and editing. G.M.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# **FUNDING**

This research received no external funding

# INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STATE-MENT

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the School of Health Sciences, the University of Georgia (UGREC-01-22).

# **INFORMED CONSENT STATEMENT**

Online Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank all the students who responded to the questionnaire. We also appreciate the University of Georgia for the immense support of this study.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

 Fruehwirth JC, Biswas S, Perreira KM (2021) The covid-19 pandemic and mental health of first-year college students: Examining the effect of covid-19 stressors using longitudinal data. plos one 16.

- Batra K, Sharma M, Batra R, Singh TP, Schvaneveldt N (2021) Assessing the psychological impact of COVID-19 among college students: Evidence of 15 countries. Healthcar 9: 222.
- Valenzuela RL, Velasco RI, Jorge MP (2022) Impact of Covid-19 pandemic on sleep of undergraduate students: A systematic literature review. Stres Heal.
- Orzech KM, Salafsky DB, Hamilton LA (2011) The state of sleep among college students at a large public university. J Ameri Coll Healt 59: 612-619.
- 5. Fenn KM, Hambrick DZ (2012) Individual differences in working memory capacity predict sleep-dependent memory consolidation. J Exper Psych Gen 141: 404-410.
- Okano K, Kaczmarzyk JR, Dave N, Gabrieli, J D, Grossman J C (2019) Sleep quality, duration, and consistency are associated with better academic performance in college students. Npj Sci Learn 4(1).
- Almojali AI, Almalki SA, Alothman AS, Masuadi EM, Alaqeel MK (2017) The prevalence and association of stress with sleep quality among medical students. J Epide Glob Heal 7: 169.
- 8. AlDabal L (2011) Metabolic, endocrine, and immune consequences of sleep deprivation. The Op Res Medi J 5: 31-43.
- Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, Alessi C, Bruni O, et al. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: Methodology and results summary. Slee Heal 1: 40-43.
- Dąbrowska-Galas M, Ptaszkowski K, Dąbrowska J (2021) Physical activity level, insomnia and related impact in medical students in Poland. Inter J Envi Res Publ Heal 18: 3081.
- 11. Abdullah HM, Ahmed M, Khan S, Khan UI (2021) A very unusual cause of severe right upper quadrant pain: Splenic infarct in a patient with heterotaxy syndrome. BMJ Cas Rep 14.
- 12. Kim HR, Kim EJ (2021) Factors associated with mental health among international students during the COVID-19 pandemic in South Korea. Inter J Envi Res Pub Heal. 18: 11381.
- Browning MH, Larson L R, Sharaievska I, Rigolon A, McAnirlin O (2021) Psychological impacts from COVID-19 among university students: Risk factors across seven states in the United States. PLOS ONE 16(1).
- 14. Mgbedo EN (2022) During the COVID-19 pandemic evaluation of stress and depression among university of georgia students: A pilot cross-sectional study. In Proceedings of 6th Eastern-European Conference of Mental Health & 3rd International Public Mental Health Conference, Zagreb, Croatia.
- 15. Aamir S, Winkel C (2021) The impact of covid-19 on Saudi Arabian female students: An application of the CES-D depression scale. J Edu Soc Res 11: 23.
- 16. Cellini N, Canale N, Mioni G, Costa S (2020) Changes in sleep pattern, sense of time and digital media use during

COVID-19 Lockdown in Italy. J Sleep Res 29.

- 17. Mgbedo N E, Alighanbari F, Radmehr S, George A M, Gogichadze M (2022) Covid-19 pandemic's impact on the student's sleep patterns at the University of Georgia. Sleep Medi 100.
- Ranjbar K, Hosseinpour H, Shahriarirad R, Ghaem H, Jafari K (2021) Student's attitude and sleep pattern during school closure following covid-19 pandemic quarantine: A webbased survey in south of Iran. Envi Heal Prev Medi 26(1).
- Frazier P, Liu Y, Asplund A, Meredith L, Nguyen-Feng VN (2021) US college student mental health and covid-19: Comparing pre-pandemic and pandemic timepoints. J Amer Coll Heal 1-11.
- 20. Vidas D, Larwood JL, Nelson NL, Dingle GA (2021) Music listening as a strategy for managing COVID-19 stress in first-year university students. Front Psych 12.
- 21. Prowse R, Sherratt F, Abizaid A, Gabrys RL, Hellemans KG, et al. (2021) Coping with the COVID-19 pandemic: Examining gender differences in stress and mental health among university students. Front Psych 12.
- Dąbrowska-Galas M, Ptaszkowski K, Dąbrowska J (2021) Physical activity level, insomnia and related impact in medical students in Poland. Int J Env Res Pub Heal 18: 3081.
- 23. Alyoubi A, Halstead EJ, Zambelli Z, Dimitriou, D (2021) The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on student's mental health and sleep in Saudi Arabia. Int J Env Res Publ Heal 2021, 18: 9344.
- 24. Totskiy D, Alekhin A, Leonenko N, Pultcina C, Belyaeva S, et al. (2021) The psychological hardiness of students with a high insomnia index during the COVID-19 pandemic. E3S Web of Conf 258: 07092.
- 25. Yu C, Li X, Qi G, Yang L, Fu W (2021) Prevalence, risk factors, and clinical correlates of insomnia in China college student during the COVID-19. Front Psych 12.
- 26. Huang CF, Yang LY, Wu LM, Liu Y, Chen HM (2014) Determinants of daytime sleepiness in first-year nursing students: A questionnaire survey. Nurs Edu Tod 34: 1048-1053.
- 27. Taher YA, Samud AM, Ratimy AH, Seabe AM (2012) Sleep complaints and daytime sleepiness among pharmaceutical students in Tripoli. Libya J Medi 7: 18930.
- 28. Morrison I, Riha RL (2012) Excessive daytime sleepiness and narcolepsy an approach to investigation and Management. Eur J Inter Medi 23: 110-117.
- 29. Hashim H, Ng JS, Ngo JX, Ng YZ, Aravindkumar B (2022) Lifestyle factors associated with poor sleep quality among

undergraduate dental students at a Malaysian Private University. Slee Sci 15(4).

- Lin PH, Lee YC, Chen KL, Hsieh PL, Yang SY, et al. (2019) The relationship between sleep quality and internet addiction among female college students. Front Neuro.
- 31. Liu CH, Stevens C, Wong SHM, Yasui M, Chen JA (2018) The prevalence and predictors of mental health diagnoses and suicide among U.S. college students: Implications for addressing disparities in service use. Depr Anx 36: 8-17.
- Lai J, Rahmani A, Yunusova A, Rivera AP, Labbaf S (2020) Using multimodal assessments to capture personalized contexts of college student well-being in 2020: Case study. JMIR Form Res 5(5).
- Shonia T, Lobjanidze M, Antia K, Lobjanidze T (2020) Stress and sleeping disorders among international students of the University of Georgia. Eur J Publ Heal 30.
- Taylor DJ, Bramoweth AD, Grieser EA, Tatum JI, Roane BM (2013) Epidemiology of insomnia in college students: Relationship with Mental Health, quality of life, and substance use difficulties. Behav Ther 44: 339-348.
- 35. Gusman MS, Grimm KJ, Cohen AB, Doan LD (2021) Stress and sleep across the onset of the novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 pandemic: Impact of distance learning on US college student's health trajectories. Slee 44.
- Molla A, Wondie T (2021) Magnitude of poor sleep hygiene practice and associated factors among medical students in Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. Slee Dis 1-7.
- 37. Nadorff MR, Nazem S, Fiske A (2011) Insomnia symptoms, nightmares, and suicidal ideation in a college student sample. Slee 34: 93-98.
- Duong CD (2021) The impact of fear and anxiety of covid-19 on life satisfaction: Psychological distress and sleep disturbance as mediators. Pers Ind Diff 178: 110869.
- Lin RM, Xie SS, Yan YW, Yan WJ (2017) Intolerance of uncertainty and adolescent sleep quality: The mediating role of worry. Pers Indi Diff 108: 168-173.
- Philbrook LE, Macdonald-Gagnon GE (2021) Bidirectional relations between sleep and emotional distress in college students: Loneliness as a moderator. J Gen Psych 182: 361-373.
- 41. Saleh D, Camart N, Romo (2017) Predictors of stress in college students. Front Psych 8.
- 42. Jiang, X I, Zheng Xy, Yang J, Ye Cp, Chen Yy, et al. (2015) A systematic review of studies on the prevalence of insomnia in university students. Pub Heal 129: 1579-1584.