

Pelagia Research Library

Advances in Applied Science Research, 2011, 2 (3): 107-113



Growth of Cultured White Leg Shrimp *Litopenaeus Vannamei* (Boone 1931) In Different Stocking Density

Gunalan Balakrishnan .¹ Soundarapandian Peyail.¹ . Kumaran Ramachandran., ¹Anand Theivasigamani.,.² Kotiya Anil Savji³ Maheswaran Chokkaiah⁴ and Pushparaj Nataraj¹

 ¹Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Science, Annamalai University, Parangipettai, Tamilnadu, India
 ²Dept. Fisheries Environment, TANUVAS, Fisheries College & Research Institute, Tuticorin ³Fisheries Research Station, J.A.U, Port Okha.
 ⁴CP Aquaculture India Private Ltd, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh

ABSTRACT

The present study is the report on the culture of L. vannamei in the brackish water shrimp farm in Bhimavaram, west Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh, India. The study was conducted in four culture ponds at different stocking densities. Crab fencing and bird netting was done before pumping water to prevent the autoentrants. The average pH reading for the AM was 7.9 to 8.8, while a pH of 8.2 to 9.1 was recorded in the PM. After 110th days of pond culture, the mean average weights of the shrimp at harvest were 21.2, 18.9, 19.6, and 17.5; survivals were 82, 92, 81, and 80 %; FCR was 1.4, 1.34, 1.38, and 1.35. The average production was 8750, 9813, 8138, and 8591 kg/ha for P1, P2, P5, and P6, respectively. Two way ANOVA was attempted between stocking density and Average body weight (ABW). The calculated p value was 0.0017. Its shows the relationship between stocking density and average body weight is highly significant. So ABW increases with less stocking density and vice versa. The present investigation it was concluded that L.vannamei culture is successful in brackish water environment and the growth is directly related to stocking density.

Keywords: *Litopenaeus vannamei*, Growth performance, Stocking densities, Water quality, statistical analysis.

INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, white spot disease (WSD) has spread worldwide and caused largescale mortalities and severe damage to shrimp culture, particularly in Asia leading to massive economic losses [1,2]. Due to continues outbreak of WSSV in of *P.monodon* culture leads to shattering of shrimp culture in India. So the farmers are seriously looking for alternative species for culture. At right time the Coastal Aquaculture Authority of India (CAA) introduced a new species (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) in India. At the same time CAA is very keen in the bio security and approval for culture of *L.vannamei*.

The shrimp has been introduced and farmed in Asia since the mid 1990s, with production in Mainland China being particularly significant. However, beginning in 1996, *L. vannamei* was introduced into Asia on a commercial scale. Total production of *L.vannamei* in Asia was approximately 316 000 mt in 2002. In some countries, *L. vannamei* culture has been promoted by some private sector suppliers as being tolerant or resistant to WSSV, leading to introductions based on a mistaken belief that they are safe.

It is now evident that *L. vannamei* is farmed and established in several countries in East, Southeast and South Asia and is playing a major significant role in shrimp aquaculture production. There is very limited research works were done on the culture and growth performance of *L.vannamei* with different stocking densities in brackish water ponds in India. So the present study was attempted to evaluate the survival, growth, and FCR of *L. vannamei* culture in the brackish water with different stocking densities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was undertaken at a shrimp farm in Bhimavaram, west Godavari district, Andhrapradesh, India. The study was conducted in four shrimp culture ponds. Three ponds (1, 2, and 5) were 0.8ha and pond 6 was 0.9ha in area. Besides the above there was a reservoir pond in the size of 2ha, a sedimentation pond and a chlorination pond are in the size of 0.6 ha. Water recirculation method followed to avoid cross contamination during the culture period. All the experimental ponds were 1.2 - 1.5m deep. The soil type was sandy clay. Ponds were initially prepared by drying, tilting (to remove the pests and predators and oxidize bottom soil) and liming to correct the pH of the soil. Inorganic fertilizers such as urea and triple superphosphate were applied to enrich the natural food organisms in the water.

Crab fencing and bird netting was done before pumping water to prevent the autoentrants. The filter bags were checked properly, which was fitted in the inlet and outlet pipe, then the pumping was done to the entire ponds. After filling water kept stand one day with out any disturbance for sedimentation. Subsequently the water was chlorinated (60 ppm/ha) after that excess chlorine was neutralized by dechlorination process which took 72 hours. After dechlorination, the water enriched with probiotic for the good beneficial bacterial environment. The algal bloom was noticed slowly in the ponds.

The *L.vannamei* seeds (post larval stage 14, that had been acclimated to a salinity level of 17 ppt and confirmed negative for the white spot syndrome virus(WSSV) and Taura syndrome virus(TSV) by the polymerase chain reaction(PCR assay), were purchased from CP Aquaculture India Private Ltd , hatchery Gudur. The seeds were transported in oxygenated double-layered polythene bags with crushed ice packs between inner and outer covers of the bag to maintain optimum temperature in turn to keep less stress to the shrimps and the entire set up was packed in a carton. The seeds were brought to the farm site and bags were kept in the pond water for some time to adjust the temperature. Then the pond water was added slowly into the seed bag to adjust the salinity and pH. Subsequently the seeds were released slowly in to the ponds. The stocking densities were $50/m^2$, $51/m^2$ and $61/m^2$ for ponds 1, 2, 5 and 6 respectively.

Blanca feed pellets (CP Aquaculture India Pvt Ltd) were fed to the stocked post larvae for four times daily at 7am, 10am, 3pm and 9pm respectively. No water exchange was done for the entire

culture period. But some water from the reservoir was added at regular intervals to compensate water loss due to evaporation or soil seepage. During harvest all the water from culture ponds drained to sedimentation pond and ultimately reached to reservoir pond. At any account of time the pond water was not pumped out side of the farm as a bio secure measures. From the 55th days of culture (DOC) onwards cast net (sampling) was used every seven days for monitoring shrimp health and growth.

The water level was measured by using a standard scale with cm marking. The water quality parameters like salinity, pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen and light transparency were measured by using hand refractometer, pH pen, thermometer, and dissolved oxygen meter and secchi disc, respectively. Aeration was given to the entire culture period for all ponds. Totally 16 hp aerator was fixed for each culture pond. The aerators were placed in such a way that it could dissolve maximum dissolved oxygen (DO) into the pond water and makes the culture environment friendly. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) and Average daily growth (ADG) were calculated by the given formula below

FCR = Total weight of the harvested shrimps / total feed used ADG = Total weight gained by the shrimps / Total days of culture

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Two way ANOVA analysis was applied to know the statistical significance between growth and stocking densities of the shrimp. Data were expressed in the mean \pm standard error.

RESULTS

Water quality analyses for the culture ponds are summarized in Tables 1&2. Pond water pH, temperature and DO readings are recorded in early mornings (AM) and late evenings (PM). For the four culture ponds an overall, average fluctuation of pH reading was between 7.9 and 8.8 in the early morning, while fluctuation of pH value was between 8.2 and 9.1 in the evening. DO values fluctuated varied between 5.5 mg/l and 3.5 mg/l in the morning and between 4.5 mg/l and 10.2 mg/l in the evening (Table 2). In general, AM readings became lower as the cycle progressed and the standing crop increased. Average AM and PM pond temperatures were 22 to 29° C, respectively (Table 1). In general, the temperature trend through the production cycle started with temperatures around 27.5 °C, dropped to 22 °C because of a cold front during the third and fourth week, and then increased to a range of 28–29°C. During the culture period the maximum salinity was recorded 19ppt and minimum salinity was recorded 15ppt in all the ponds.

| paran | Range | | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Salinit | 15 – 19 | | | |
| Temper | 22 – 29 | | | |
| pН | 7.9 - 8.8 | | | |
| pН | PM | 8.2 - 9.1 | | |

Weekly averages of shrimp weights are presented in Table.3 .After 110th days of pond culture, the mean average weights of the shrimp at harvest were 21.2g, 18.9g, 19.6g, and 17.5g (Table 3);

survivals were 82, 92, 81, and 80 %; FCR was 1.4, 1.34, 1.38, and 1.35. The average production was 8750, 9813, 8138, and 8591 kg/ha for P1, P2, P5, and P6, respectively (Table 4).

| Days of culture (DOC) | 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|
| MORNING (mg/l) | 4 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| EVENING (mg/l) | 4.5 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 9 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 7 |

Table 2 Average Dissolve Oxygen concentration

Table 3 Weekly growth performances (g)

| Donda | Days Of Culture (DOC) | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Ponds | 55 | 62 | 69 | 76 | 83 | 90 | 97 | 104 | 111 |
| P 1 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 16.4 | 17.5 | 18.7 | 21.2 |
| P 2 | 7.4 | 9.43 | 10.7 | 11 | 13.2 | 13.8 | 16.4 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| P 5 | 8.3 | 9.96 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 17.9 | 17.99 | 19.6 |
| P 6 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 15.3 | 16.7 | 16.85 | 17.5 |

| Details | Pond 1 | Pond 2 | Pond 5 | Pond 6 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Area (Ha) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Initial Stocking (Numbers) | 400000 | 450000 | 410000 | 550000 |
| Density (Numbers/m ²) | 50 | 56 | 51 | 61 |
| Stocking Date | 2-Nov-09 | 2-Nov-09 | 3-Nov-09 | 3-Nov-09 |
| Harvest Date | 4-Mar-10 | 3-Mar-10 | 2-Mar-10 | 1-Mar-10 |
| Culture Period | 122 | 121 | 119 | 118 |
| Harvest Size (g) | 21.2 | 18.9 | 19.6 | 17.5 |
| Count (numbers/Kg) | 47 | 53 | 51 | 57 |
| Shrimp Harvest (Kg) | 7000 | 7850 | 6510 | 7732 |
| Survival percentage | 82 | 92 | 81 | 80 |
| Total Feed Used (Kg) | 9800 | 10500 | 9000 | 10400 |
| FCR | 1.4 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.35 |
| ADG | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
| Production(Kg/Ha) | 8750 | 9813 | 8138 | 8591 |

Table 4 Pond performance details

For each pond cost analysis was worked out. Production cost for 1kg shrimp (19.2 gram, 52 counts) was calculated as Rs 121.44. The feed cost was Rs 61.2/kg, followed by seed cost Rs 18.6/kg. The over all production was 29.092 metric tons. Totally 39.700 metric ton feed was used. The average FCR was 1.36, average ABW was 19.2g and average density was 54numbers/m². Profit /kg shrimp was Rs 78.56 and overall total profit was Rs 22, 85,468 (Table 5).

Two way ANOVA was attempted between stocking density and Average body weight (ABW). The calculated p value was 0.0017. Its shows the relationship between stocking density and average body weight is highly significant. So ABW increases with less stocking density and vice versa.

| Area (ha) | 3.3 | | |
|---|---------|--|--|
| Density (Numbers/m ²) | 54 | | |
| Harvest size (g) | 19.2 | | |
| Count (numbers/kg) | 52 | | |
| Doc | 119 | | |
| Survival (%) | 84 | | |
| FCR | 1.36 | | |
| Production (kg) | 29092 | | |
| Total feed (kg) | 39700 | | |
| Seed cost/kg shrimp | Rs18.6 | | |
| Feed cost/kg shrimp | Rs 61.2 | | |
| Pond preparation cost/kg | Rs 1 | | |
| Water treatment cost/ kg | Rs 3.6 | | |
| Feed probiotic cost/ kg | Rs 0.2 | | |
| Water probiotic cost/kg | Rs 0 | | |
| Bottom probiotic cost /kg | Rs 2.3 | | |
| Carbon source cost/ kg | Rs 0.2 | | |
| Minerals cost /kg | 2.37 | | |
| Chemicals cost/kg | 2.6 | | |
| Feed supplement cost/kg | 0.26 | | |
| Diesel cost/kg | 4.45 | | |
| Electricity cost/kg | 16.25 | | |
| Labour cost/kg | 3.85 | | |
| Farm lease cost/kg | 2.06 | | |
| Maintenance & repair/kg | 1 | | |
| Other expenses/kg | 1.5 | | |
| Total production cost (Rs)/kg of shrimp | 121.44 | | |
| Material price (Rs) | 200 | | |
| Profit / kg | 78.56 | | |
| Total profit (Rs) | 2285468 | | |

DISCUSSION

The present study is the report on the culture of *L. vannamei* in the brackish water shrimp farm in Bhimavaram, west Godavari district, Andhrapradesh, India. This study shows that stocking density affects growth of *L. vannamei*. Several authors have reported on the growth and survival of *L. vannamei* in different salinities and densities [3, 4, 5, and 6]. The maintenance of good water quality is essential for optimum growth and survival of shrimp. Good water quality characterized by adequate dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH and salinity. Excess feed, faecal matter and metabolites will exert tremendous influence on the water quality of the shrimp farm [7]. In the present study the salinity was maintained 15 - 19 ppt in all ponds. However, the white leg shrimp, *L. vannamei*, is widely cultured in Central and South America [8] and tolerates the salinities of 2-45 ppt [9, 10]. Several authors have reported good growth and survival of *L. vannamei* in brackish water of 1.7-2.3 ppt [11- 13, 5, and 6]. [14, 15] recommended a salinity range of 10 - 35 ppt was ideal for shrimp culture. [16, 17] reported that growth is higher in low

saline (2 ppt) water than in sea water. In the present study pH value was ranging between 7.9 - 8.8 in the morning and 8.2-9.1 in the evening. The pH of pond water is influenced by many factors, including pH of source water, acidity of bottom soil and shrimp culture inputs and biological activity. [18] recommended the favorable pH range of 7.6-8.6 for *L. vannamei*. The concentrations of DO in all ponds are ranged from 3.5-5.5 mg/l in the morning and 4.5-10.2 mg/l in the evening during the culture period. The values of water quality parameters reveal that all these are in the acceptable range for survival and growth for *L.vannamei* [19, 20].

The growth of the shrimps depends on the quality of feed. In the present study CP feed was used for all the ponds and the amount was followed as per feed chat. The maximum feed was used in pond P2 followed by P6, P1 and P5. In the present study the average FCR was 1.36 for all ponds. Similar results were recorded by [21, 22, and 7]. even though the stocking densities was quiet high could able to achieve the better FCR in all the ponds because of quality of the feed, feed management, water quality, pond bottom management and other effective farm management. Weekly sampling is very important to know the shrimp health, growth and survival. In the present study first sampling was carried out in all ponds at the 55th DOC of the culture. During sampling the growth of the shrimps varied depends on the density. At the time of harvest in P1 pond the shrimps harvested at the size of 21.2 grams, inP2 pond 18.9 grams, in P5 pond 19.6 grams and in P6 pond 17.5 grams. The higher survival (92%) was recorded in the pond P2 and lowest survival (80%) was recorded in pond P6. [11] was observed similar finding in their research. Shrimp survival was quit well considering the dimension of the pond and the sanitary risks of outdoor-reared shrimp [23, 24]. The appreciable feed conversion ratio indicates good rearing procedures combined with a suitable environment and a good shrimp biological responsiveness. From the present investigation it was concluded that L.vannamei culture is successful in brackish water environment and the growth is directly related to stocking density.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to the Dean Dr.T. Balasubramanian, CAS in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Science, Annamalai University, Parangipettai for their encouragement and provided facilities (CASMB Contribution Number: 02/2011).

REFERENCES

[1] Lightner DV, A Handbook of Shrimp Pathology and Diagnostic Procedures for Diseases of Cultured Penaeid Shrimp. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Lousiana, USA, **1996**, pp304.

[2] Flegel TW, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol, **1997**,13: 433–442.

[3] Wyban JA, Sweeney JN, Kanna RA, J. World Aquacult. Soc., 1988,19:210-217.

[4] Samocha T, Lawrence AL, Biedenbach JM, J. Applied Aquacult., 1993, 2(1):55-64.

[5] Samocha TM, Lawrence AL, Bray WA, Collins CA, Castille FL, Lee PG, Davies CJ, Production of marketable *Litopenaeus vannamei* in green house enclosed raceways in the Arizona desert using ground saline water. **1999**, p. 669. In: *Book of Abstracts*. World Aquacult. Soc. Ann. Conf., Sydney, Australia.

[6] Emberson CR, Samocha TM, and Wood GF, Use of ground saline water for commercial production of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in the Sonora desert, Arizona, USA. **1999**, p. 668. In: *Book of Abstracts*. World Aquacult. Soc. Ann. Conf., Sydney, Australia.

[7] Soundarapandian P, Gunalan B, Int. J. Zool. Res., 2008, 4(1): 21-27.

[8] Wen-Young Tseng, *Shrimp Mariculture A Practical Manual (2nd ed.)*.W.S. Aquaculture, Cannan International Pty. Ltd., Brisbane, Australia. **1988**, pp282.

[9] Parker JC, Conte FS, Macgrath WS, Miller BW, Proc. World Maricult. Soc., 1974, 5:65-79.

[10] Samocha TM, Lawrence AL, Pooser D, Israeli J. Aquaculture - Bamidgeh, 1998, 50(2):55-59.

[11] Bray WA, Lawrence A L, Leung-Trujillo J R, Aquaculture, 1994, 122, 133–146.

[12] Bray WA, Moya M, Lawrence AL, Collins CA, Brood stock culture of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in low salinity desert groundwater of 2.3 ppt: Summary of growth and sperm development. **1999**, p. 101. In: *Book of Abstracts*. World Aquacult. Soc. Ann. Conf., Sydney, Australia.

[13] Moya M, Lawrence AL, Collins CA, Samocha TM, Acclimation of *Litopenaeus vannamei* postlarvae to 2 ppt ground saline water in Sonora Desert, Arizona. **1999**, p. 424. In: *Book of Abstracts*. World Aquacult. Soc. Ann. Conf., Sydney, Australia.

[14] Karthikean J, Aquaculture (Shrimp farming) its influence on environment. Technical Paper submitted to the Seminar Our Environment-Its challenges to development projects. American Society of Civil Engineers, Culcutta, India **1994.**

[15] Gunalan B, Soundarapandian P, and Dinakaran GK, *Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **2010**,2(1): 5-8.

[16] Samocha T, Addison M, Lawrence L, Craig A, Collins FL, Castille WA, Bray CJ, Davies PG, Lee G, Wood F, *J. Appl. Aquac.* **2004**,15, 1–19.

[17] Sowers AD, Tomasso JR, J. World Aquac. Soc. 2006, 37, 214–217.

[18] Wang X Q, Ma S, Dong S L. *Transactions of Oceanology and Limnology*, **2004**, 63(4): 94-100.

[19] Van Wyk P, dan John Scarpa, Water Quality Requirements and Management. Chapter 8 in . Farming Marine Shrimp in Recirculating Freshwater Systems. Prepared by Peter Van Wyk, Megan Davis- Hodgkins, Rolland Laramore, Kevan L. Main, Joe Mountain, John Scarpa. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumers Services.Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution **1999.**

[20] Wickens JF, Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev., 1976, 14: 435-507.

[21] Paul Raj BB, Fish.world, 1999,5:13-17.

[22] Ramakrishna R, Culture of the tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricus) in low saline waters. M.Sc. Thesis, Annamalai University, **2000**, pp: 31.

[23] Green BW, Teichert-coddington DT, Boyd CE, Wigglesworth J, Corrales H, Zelaya R, Martinez D, Ramírez E, Effect of diet protein on semi-intensive production of Penaeus vannamei during the rainy season. In: Fifteenth Annual Technical Report. Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture CRSP, Burke D., Baker J., Goetze B., Clair D., Egna H., eds. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, **1997**, 77-83.

[24] Martinez-Cordova LR, Porchas-Cornejo MA, Villarrealcolemnares H, Calderon-Perez JA, Naranjo-Paramo J, *Aquacultural Engineering*, **1998**,17: 21- 28.