Vol.5 No.6

# FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF ORO-DISPERSIBLE TABLETS OF Tridax Procumbens HERBAL DRUG B Swathy

University College Of Pharmceutical Sciences Palamuru University Mahabubnagar, Telangana, India

#### **Introduction**:

Herbal drugs are becoming more popular in the modern world not only for their use but also for research because of their application to cure variety of diseases with less toxic effects and better therapeutic effects, widespread availability and lower cost. There are three main reasons for the popularity of herbal medicines:

- 1)There is a growing concern and doubts over the reliance and safety of modern drugs and surgery.
- 2)Many modern medicines are failing to treat the most common health conditions effectively. On the other side, many natural products and procedures are proving better than drugs or surgery without the side effects. [1]
- 3)Also there are increasing evidences which suggest that many current drug therapies simply suppress symptoms and ignore the underlying disease causes. In contrast, natural products appear to address the cause of many diseases and yield superior clinical results [2].

Our country has a vast knowledge base of Ayurveda whose potential is only being realized in the recent years. Unfortunately, most physicians and patients are not aware that these natural alternatives exist. However, the drug delivery system used for administering the herbal medicine to the patient is traditional and out-of-date, resulting in reduced efficacy and acceptance of the drug. Novel drug delivery system is a novel approach to drug delivery that addresses the limitations of the traditional drug delivery systems. Drug delivery system is the method by which an optimum amount of the concerned drug is administered to the patient in such a way that it reaches exactly the 'site of action' and starts working then and there. Novel drug delivery system attempts to eliminate all the disadvantages associated with conventional drug delivery systems. There are various approaches by which novel drug delivery can be achieved.[3,4]. Modern medicine cures a particular disease by targeting exactly the affected zone inside a patient's body and transporting the drug to that area. The method by which a drug is delivered can have a significant effect on its efficacy. Some drugs have an optimum concentration range within which maximum benefit is derived, and concentrations above or below this range can be toxic or produce no therapeutic benefit at all. On the other hand, the very slow progress in the efficacy of the treatment of severe diseases has suggested a growing need for a multidisciplinary approach to the delivery of therapeutics to targets in tissues. From this, new ideas on controlling the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, non-specific toxicity, immunogenicity, bio-recognition and efficacy of drugs were generated. These new strategies, often called drug delivery systems (DDS), are based on interdisciplinary approaches that combine polymer science, pharmaceutics, bio-conjugate chemistry and molecular biology.

**PREPARATION**: Tridax Procumbens leaves were collected in Palamuru University campus and authenticated by department of botany, authenticated leaves were separated, washed and dried under shade.

Tridax procumbens belongs to family Asteraceae, and commonly known as Gaddi chamanthi ( in telugu ), Vettukaaya – thalai ( in tamil ).

Tridax procumbens leaves have vast benefits like wound healing,

antidiabetic, antibacterial, antiplas modial, antihepatotoxic, anti oxidant, anti microbial, immuno-modulatory and anti cancer.

The plant was collected from surrounding area of Palamuru University and authenticated by Department of Botany Palamuru University.Based on literature and traditional knowledge The leaves were selected for wound healing study.

**EXTRACTION**: The extracts of Tridax using a soxhlet extractor from Juice of fresh leaves, dried leaves powder, air dried whole plant is pulverized and extracts are prepared for 72 hours and the yield found to be 6% W/V at room temperature . Standard solutions were prepared in methanol for alkaloids and tannins, and methylene chloride for phytosterols. Extraction was carried out using Ethanolic Water mixture in the ratio of 7:3. To extract all the components by percolation for 48 hrs. The Extract was dried at 40°c and stored in a desiccators . It is used for phyto-chemical screening and standardization

s.no	Conce	entration (µg/ml)	Mean absorbance 469 nm
1.	0	0	
2.	10	0.603	
3.	20	1.202	
4.	30	1.813	
5.	40	2.36	
6.	50	2.903	
7.	60	3.4	
8.	70	3.884	

TABLE: 1 standard calibration data

### PREPARATION OF STANDARD CALIBRATION CURVE

The extracts are subjected to phyto-chemical screening using following standard procedures for determining chemical constituents.

Test for Alkaloids
<b>Test for Tannins</b>
Test for Phenol
Test for Falvonoids
<b>Test for Saponins</b>

Vol.5 No.6

The extract is tested for organoleptic studies and physicochemical analysis like moisture content, loss on drying, ash value, acid insoluble value and water soluble value.

#### TABLE 2: PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF EXTRACT

Sr. No.	Parameters	S	Observa	tion		
1.	Colour D	ark Gr	een			
2.	Odour In	ntense				
3.	Taste B	Bitter				
4.	Moisture (	Content	0.42			
5.	Loss on dr	rying	9.5			
6.	Ash Value	)	11.02%			
7.	Acid insol	uble va	lue	2.0		
8.	Water solu	ıble val	ue	4.5		
COMPA	ATIBILITY	ST	TUDIES	OF	DRUG	AND

## COMPATIBILITY STUDIES OF DRUG AND FORMULATION COMPONENTS

The compatibility of drug and polymers under experimental
conditions is important prerequisite before formulation.
☐ It is therefore necessary to confirm that the drug does not
react with the polymers and excipients under experimental
conditions and not affecting the shelf life of product or any
other unwanted effects on the formulation.
☐ The physical mixture of drug & polymers was used for
compatibility study.

☐ Mixtures of extract and excipients were kept in sealed vials

#### **TABLE 3: COMPATIBILITY STUDIES**

Sample Room temp

40 C in oven

and observed for any change in physical properties.

30°C+2°C/

40 C in oven	30°C±2	· C/			
$65\% \pm 5\%$	40°C±2	°C/			
$75\% \pm 5\%$					
Extract +Lactose	No Cha	nge	No Cha	ange	No
Change No Cha	nge				
Extract +Mannite	ol	No Cha	nge	No	Change
No Cha	nge	No Cha	nge		
Extract +PVP K	30	No Cha	nge	No	Change
No Cha	nge	No Cha	nge		
Extract +Starch	No Cha	nge	No Cha	ange	No
Change No Cha	nge				
Extract +SSG	No Cha	nge	No Cha	ange	No
Change No Cha	nge				
Crosspovidone	No Cha	nge	No Cha	ange	No
Change No Cha	nge				
Extract+Crossca	rmellose				
sodium No Cha	nge	No Cha	nge	No	Change
No Cha	nge				
Extract +Magnes	sium				
stearate No Cha	nge	No Cha	nge	No	Change
No Cha	_		-		

Extract +Sod.Sacharine	No Change	No	Change
No Change	No Change		
Extract +Citric acid	No Change	No	Change
No Change	No Change		

#### FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF ORO-DISPERSIBLE TABLETS OF HERBAL DRUG

- □ Oro-dispersible tablets are defined as uncoated tablets intended to be placed in the mouth where they disperse readily within 3 min before swallowing.
- □Oro-dispersible tablets are also called as orally disintegrating tablets, mouth-dissolving tablets, rapid-dissolving tablets, fast-disintegrating tablets, fast-dissolving tablets.
- Like all other solid dosage forms, they are also evaluated for hardness, friability, wetting time, moisture uptake, disintegration test, and dissolution test.
- □ Formulation of ODT is done by using super disintegrants, binders, taste enhancers, glidants, diluents, anti oxidants.
- □Lactose,polyvinylpyrollidinek30,sodium starch glycolate,
- □ crosspovidone, crascarmelloses odium, mannitol, magnesium stearate, starch, sodium saccharin, citric acid are used in formulating ODT.
- $\hfill \Box ODTs$  are formulated by using direct compression method.
- □The accurately weighed materials were mixed with required quantities of superdisintegrants, lubricant and blended for 5 minutes in polybag to form a homogenous powder mix and pre formulation studies have been performed for this blends and compressed using 6mm round concave punch set on an instrumented 16-station rotary tablet press (Cadmach model CMD4, Ahmedabad, India).

TABLE 4: FORMULATION CHART FOR ORO-DISPERSIBLE TABLE

Ingredients		FORMU	FORMULATIONS					
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6		
	F7	F8						
Extract	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%		
	30%	30%						
Lactose	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%		
	30%	30%						
Mannito	ol	25.8	20.8	32.8	31.8	30.8		
	32.8	31.8	30.8					
PVP K	30	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
	2%	2%	2%					
Starch	10%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	
	-							
SSG	-	-	3	4	5	-	-	
	-							
Crosspo	vidone	-	-	-	-	-	3	
	4	5						
Crossca	rmellose	sodium	-	-	-	-	-	
	_	_	_					

_	_	_	_	
7	П	~	4	
,		•	•	
_	u	_	_	

31±3.2

32±2.1%

 $32 \pm 3.3$ 

%

%

Vol.5 No.6

											VOI.5 NO.C
Magnesium ste	arate	1%	1%	1%	1%	% 32±1.4					
1%	1%	1%	1%	170	1,0	% 33±1.0					
Sod.Sacharine 1%	1% 1%	1% 1%	1%	1%	1%	% 30±1.2					
Citric acid	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	Tapped Density					
0.2% TABLE 5:	0.2% FORM	0.2% ULATIO	N CH	ART F	OR ORO-	3 (g/cm)Vf	0.552	0.544	0.435	0.437	0.440
DISPERSIBLE		ULATIC	on CII	AKI I	OK OKO-	0.437	0.332	0.344	0.433	0.437	0.440
Ingredients	FORM	ULATIO	ONS			Bulk Density (g	/cm )				
F9	F10	F11	F12	F13	F14	V0 0.621	0.618	0.532	0.541	0.542	0.539
F15	F16					0.542	0.551				
Extract 30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	Cars index					
30%	30%					100× (vo-vf/ vo)		11.9	18.2	18.8	18.8
Lactose 30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	18.9	19	19.9			
30%	30%					Hausners ratio v 1.231	70/vf 1.233	1.125 1.234	1.136 1.249	1.222	1.237
Mannitol	32.8	31.8	30.8	32.8	31.8						
30.8	31.8	31.8				TABLE 7:			LATION	STUD	OIES FOR
PVP K 30 2%	2% 2%	2% 2%	2%	2%	2%	POWDERED D	RUG BL	END			
Starch -	-	_	-	-							
SSG - 2%	-	-	-	-	-	Parameter F9	FORM F10	ULATIO F11	NS F12	F13	F14
Crosspovidone	-					F15	F16	ГП	Г12	Г13	Г14
2%	2%	_	_			Angle of repose					
Crosscarmellos		3	4	5		% 31±1.2					
-	-	2%				% 29±1.2					
Magnesium ste	arate	1%	1%	1%	1%	% 30±1.2					
1%	1%	1%	1%			% 29±1.2					
Sod.Sacharine	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	% 31±1.4					
1%	1%	1%	0.20/	0.20/	0.20/	% 30±0.5					
Citric acid 0.2%	0.2% 0.2%	0.2% 0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	% 29±1.7 %					
0.2%	0.2%	0.2%				Tapped Density 0.438	0.438 0.439	0.439 0.441	0.441	0.431	0.437
						Bulk Density	0.439	0.530	0.544	0.561	0.549
						0.531	0.531	0.537	0.544	0.501	0.549
						Cars index	18.2	17.1	18.9	23.1	20.4
						17.5	17.3	17.8			
						Hausners ratio 1.212	1.223 1.209	1.207 1.217	1.233	1.301	1.256
TABLE 6 POWDERED I			LATION	STUI	DIES FOR		-1				
			ovia.			POST COMPRI	ESSION 1	EVALUA	ATION P	ARAME	TERS
Parameter E1		ULATIO		D5	E6	TADIE O.	рост	COM	DESSIO	M DV	AT ITATION
F1 F7	F2 F8	F3	F4	F5	F6	TABLE 8: PARAMETER	POST	COMI	KESSIO	IN EVA	ALUATION
Angle of repose						<b>.</b>	EOF:		NG		
% 33±1.4	4					Parameters	FORM	ULATIO	NS		

F1

F7

F2

F8

F3

F4

F5

F6

Vol.5 No.6

Weight						
_	n250±1.1	250±0.8	250±1.3	250±0.7	250±2.0	250±0.6
		250±1.0				
Hardne	SS	$3.2\pm0.2$				
2	3.1±0.4					
2	$3.0\pm0.8$					
5	$3.2\pm0.3$					
2	$3.3\pm0.0$					
1	$3.2\pm0.6$					
4	3.1±0.2					
1	$3.2\pm0.4$					
2						
Friabili	ty	0.82	0.92	$0.86\pm$	0.82	0.86
	0.88	0.75	0.81			
Wetting	g time					
(Sec)	$39 \pm 0.8$	$37 \pm 18$	$23\pm1.0$	$22\pm0.7$	$21\pm0.8$	$22\pm0.8$
	$22 \pm 1.8$	$22\pm2.8$				
Absorp						
ratio (%	5)92.07	97.06	98.05	97.06	97.01	98.09
	96.03	97.45				
Disinte	gratio					
n time (	(Sec)	$258 \pm 1.3$	$209 \pm 0.8$	$30\pm0.12$	$26 \pm 0.18$	$25 \pm 0.7$
	$31 \pm 0.9$	$26 \pm 0.18$	$25 \pm 0.6$			
TABLE		POST	COMPI	RESSION	I EVA	LUATION
PARAN	METER					
D		EODMI	II ATION	īc.		
Parame	ters	FORMU			E12	E14
Parame	ters F9	F10	LATION F11	NS F12	F13	F14
	ters F9 F15				F13	F14
Weight	ters F9 F15	F10			F13	F14
Weight variatio	ters F9 F15 m250±0.	F10			F13	F14
Weight variatio	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0.	F10			F13	F14
Weight variatio 5	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 57	ters F9 F15 nn250±0. 250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.2 250±0.8	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.2±0.0	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1	ters F9 F15 nn250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.0±0.2	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1 1	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.0±0.2 3.2±0.4	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1 4	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.2±0.0 3.0±0.2 3.2±0.4 3.2±0.3	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1 4 2 2	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.2±0.0 3.0±0.2 3.2±0.4 3.2±0.3 3.2±0.6	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1 4 2 1	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.8 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.2±0.0 3.0±0.2 3.2±0.4 3.2±0.3	F10 F16			F13	F14
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1 4 2 1 6	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.3 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.2±0.0 3.0±0.2 3.2±0.4 3.2±0.3 3.2±0.6 3.3±0.4	F10 F16	F11	F12		
Weight variation 5 7 6 3 0 2 4 3 Hardne 1 1 1 4 2 1	ters F9 F15 m250±0. 250±0. 250±0.2 250±0.3 250±0.3 250±0.1 250±0.5 ss 3.2±0.9 3.2±0.0 3.0±0.2 3.2±0.4 3.2±0.3 3.2±0.6 3.3±0.4	F10 F16			F13	F14

Wetting						
time (Sec)		$22\pm3.1$	$22 \pm 0.5$	$24\pm0.8$	$23\pm0.7$	$22\pm0.9$
	$28\pm0.8$	21±0.8	21±0.1			
Absorpt	ion					
ratio (%	)96.35	98.06	97.97	94.28	96.19	97.36
	98.22	98.76				
Disinteg	rati on ti	me				
(Sec)	$25\pm0.8$	$32 \pm 1.3$	$29 \pm 2.8$	$25\pm2.2$	$33\pm 2.9$	$27 \pm 0.6$
	$25\pm 2.1$	$22 \pm 1.3$				

Results and Discussion: According to the evaluation parameters the formulation F16 was selected as optimized as the superdisintegrants crosspovidone and crasscarmellose sodium has shown a good and fast disintegration.carbopol 934, Xanthan gum, carbopol 940 and carbopol 71G NF polymers, glycerin, propylene glycol, ethanol, Transcutol P and triethanolamine were prepared desirable gel characteristics good efficacy of the topical delivery of herbal drugs. The prepared formulations were evaluating for their physical appearance. рH. viscosity. Spreadability. grittiness. homogeneity, swelling index and drug content. In our study we find that formulation F2, F4, F7 and F10 show good gelling properties with concern to the above evaluation parameters. By comparing the all formulations of herbal gel they are further evaluated for in vitro drug release study, in which the formulation F2 and F10 showed highest release in 8 hr's. The kinetics of invitro drug release showed that, the F2, F4, F7 and F10 formulations had good release kinetics and showed non fickian drug release as the n value was between 0.8 to 0.9. From these release parameters, formulations F2 and F4 showed highest release of herbal drugs in 8 hr's. These results suggest the improvement of efficacy of topical gel for the treatment of psoriasis. The enhanced efficacy of herbal gel is due to increased penetration of drugs from hydrogel than conventional formulations