



Ethical End-of-Life Care in Livestock Management

Rachel Moore*

Department of Veterinary Ethics, Silver line University, Denver, United States

DESCRIPTION

End-of-life care in livestock management is a sensitive and important aspect of ethical practices that requires careful planning, skilled execution and consistent attention to animal welfare. Unlike routine husbandry activities, decisions regarding the final stages of an animal's life involve assessing both physical condition and overall well-being and they demand thoughtful consideration of methods used to minimize distress and discomfort. Ethical end-of-life care is not limited to the act itself; it encompasses preparation, handling and post-procedure processes to ensure that the experience for animals is as humane and dignified as possible [1]. Livestock may reach the end of life due to illness, injury, old age or operational decisions related to production. Regardless of the reason, ethical management prioritizes reducing suffering. Pre-assessment is essential, including evaluation of pain, mobility, appetite and responsiveness. Animals showing severe or chronic discomfort may require intervention sooner rather than later to prevent prolonged distress. Determining the most appropriate method of euthanasia or slaughter depends on species-specific considerations, the facilities available and the training of personnel. Procedures must be carried out swiftly and accurately, using methods that are widely recognized as humane, minimizing fear, pain and agitation [2]. Handling during end-of-life care is equally critical. Calm, gentle and predictable interaction helps prevent additional stress for animals already in compromised states. Caretakers should approach animals slowly, avoid sudden movements or loud noises and maintain a reassuring presence. Maintaining social groupings when possible, especially for herd animals, reduces anxiety and allows animals to remain in familiar company. In cases where separation is unavoidable, minimizing the duration and providing visual or auditory contact with

companions can ease distress. Training and preparation of personnel is central to ethical end-of-life management [3]. Staff must be competent in handling techniques, familiar with proper equipment and able to recognize behavioral indicators of distress. Ongoing education ensures that procedures are performed correctly and consistently, while also reinforcing awareness of the animals' welfare needs. Documentation of protocols and adherence to standard procedures supports accountability and allows facilities to review practices to identify areas for improvement [4,5].

Post-procedure care also forms part of ethical management. In cases where animals are euthanized on site, proper disposal and hygiene measures prevent unnecessary exposure to other animals and staff while maintaining safety and sanitation. Reflective evaluation following the procedure allows staff to assess both animal experience and operational effectiveness, helping refine practices for future situations [6-8]. Ethical end-of-life care also considers the emotional and psychological aspects for caretakers. Supporting personnel in coping with decisions and the outcomes of procedures contributes to consistent and humane practice. Open discussion, mentorship and professional guidance help maintain a culture that values animal welfare while balancing operational demands. Integrating ethical end-of-life considerations into overall livestock management reinforces broader welfare objectives. Planning for these events ensures that animals experience minimal distress throughout their lifespan, from routine care to the final stage. By prioritizing assessment, handling, method selection, training and post-procedure review, managers can achieve humane and responsible outcomes. In addition, this approach reinforces trust among stakeholders, including farm workers, veterinarians, regulators and the wider public, highlighting that livestock are treated with consideration and care throughout their lives [9-10].

Received: 26-Aug-2025; Manuscript No: IPJASLP-25-23394; **Editor assigned:** 29-Aug-2025; PreQC No: IPJASLP-25-23394(PQ); **Reviewed:** 12-Sep-2025; QC No: IPJASLP-25-23394; **Revised:** 19-Sep-2025; Manuscript No: IPJASLP-25-23394(R); **Published:** 26-Sep-2025; DOI: 10.36648/2577-0594.9.3.65

Corresponding author: Rachel Moore, Department of Veterinary Ethics, Silver line University, Denver, United States; E-mail: marcus.riley@westbridgeuni.uk

Citation: Moore R (2025) Ethical End-of-Life Care in Livestock Management. J Animal Sci. 9:65.

Copyright: © 2025 Moore R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, ethical end-of-life care in livestock management requires careful attention to assessment, handling, procedure execution and post-procedure evaluation. It emphasizes minimizing distress, maintaining dignity and ensuring that animals experience as little discomfort as possible. By training personnel, establishing protocols and maintaining consistent attention to welfare, livestock managers can responsibly address the most sensitive aspects of animal care while upholding humane standards and operational integrity.

REFERENCE

1. Quain A (2021). The gift: Ethically indicated euthanasia in companion animal practice. *Vet Sci.* 8(8):141.
2. Batchelor CE, McKeegan DE (2012). Survey of the frequency and perceived stressfulness of ethical dilemmas encountered in UK veterinary practice. *Vet Rec.* 170(1):19.
3. Kipperman BS, Kass PH, Rishniw M (2017). Factors that influence small animal veterinarians' opinions and actions regarding cost of care and effects of economic limitations on patient care and outcome and professional career satisfaction and burnout. *JAVMA.* 250(7):785-794.
4. Kipperman B, Morris P, Rollin B (2018). Ethical dilemmas encountered by small animal veterinarians: characterisation, responses, consequences and beliefs regarding euthanasia. *Vet Rec.* 182(19):548.
5. Yeates JW, Main DC (2011). Veterinary opinions on refusing euthanasia: justifications and philosophical frameworks. *Vet Rec.* 168(10):263.
6. Kogan LR, Schoenfeld-Tacher RM, Hellyer PW, Rishniw M, Ruch-Gallie RA, et.al. (2017). Survey of attitudes toward and experiences with animal abuse encounters in a convenience sample of US veterinarians. *JAVMA.* 250(6): 688-696.
7. Cooney KA (2022). Importance of documenting euthanasia decision-making processes in patients' medical records. *The Vet Rec.* 190(9):364-366.
8. Corah L, Lambert A, Cobb K, Mossop L (2019). Appointment scheduling and cost in first opinion small animal practice. *Heliyon.* 5(10).
9. Gareth Jones D, Winkelmann A (2019). BODIES R US: ethical views on the commercialization of the dead in medical education and research. *Anat Sci Educ.* 12(3):317-325.
10. Champney TH (2019). A bioethos for bodies: Respecting a priceless resource. *Anat Sci Educ.* 12(4):432-434.