# Endoscopic Ultrasound Guided Needle Based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy for Diagnosis of Pancreatic Lesions

## Amir Houshang Mohammad Alizadeh

## Department of Endoscopy, Arad Hospital, Somaye St, Tehran, Iran

Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) is a promising and new *in vivo* imaging method for biological systems. The main feature of CEL is providing a real-time histologic and cytologic examination through endoscopy. The realtime evaluation permits for preliminary comprehension on vascular, epithelial, papillary, and ductal structures [1, 2]. This technology can be accomplished either by an endo-microscope attached to either an endoscope or colonoscope (endoscope-based CLE) or by a probe placed on EUS-FNA needles (probe based or needle based CLE) [3, 4].

The first approach is generally useful for imaging accessible luminal and ductal components. On the other side, needle based confocal laser endomicroscopy (nCLE) is preferable to visualize solid organs such as pancreas, liver, and lymph nodes [3]. The nCLE can also role as a suitable substitute for rapid on-site evaluation (ROSE) which is based on immediate cytological staining during EUS-FNA [5].

The nCLE is generally accomplished by placing a miniprobe on the top of 19 or 22 G needles during EUS-FNA [6]. This modification has distinctly promoted the diagnostic utility of endoscopic procedure. Through the nCLE process, tissues are illuminated using laser light, and the reflected lights are then recorded into grey scaled confocal images by a computer [3, 6]. For obtaining high resolution images, there is a need for introducing fluorescent dyes; i.e. fluorescein which is administrated intravenously and acriflavine which is employed locally [7]. Before nCLE can be used as a routine technique, it ought to be validated regarding safety and accuracy issues. Furthermore, stablishing standard diagnostic criteria is also a perquisite.

Received January 30th, 2018 - Accepted February 19th, 2018 **Keywords** Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration; Pancreatic Neoplasms **Abbreviations** EUS endoscopic ultrasound; nCLE needle confocal laser endomicroscopy; **Correspondence** Amir Houshang Mohammad Alizadeh Department of Endoscopy, Arad Hospital Somaye St, Tehran, Iran **Tel** +0098-21-77601095 **Fax** +0098-21-77500958 **E-mail** ahmaliver@yahoo.com Several studies have been conducted aiming to provide guidelines on the imaging features of cystic pancreatic tumors on nCLE. These include INSPECT study by Konda *et al.* in 2013 [6], CONTACT 1 study by Napoléon *et al.* in 2015 [8], and DETECT study by Nakai *et al.* in 2015 [9]. The main feature of pancreatic cystic lesions on nCLE has been the presence of regular villous structures [9]. This feature yielded sensitivity and specificity values of 59% and 100% respectively, with positive and negative predictive values of 100% and 50% [6].

Presence of irregular cell aggregates within an irregular vascular network hued with fluorescein may suggest adenocarcinoma [10]. Generally, the presence of thick dark (>40  $\mu$ m) and thin white (<20  $\mu$ m) bands, irregular dark objects along with visualized epithelia structures (as either villous or glandular), as well as fluorescein leakage in the tissue suggest a malignant phenomenon [11]. In contrast, thin bands (either white or dark) propose a non-neoplastic condition [11]. On the other hand, dominance of fibrotic tissue in the context of irregular glandular compartment is suggestive for chronic pancreatitis [10].

Good feasibility for various pancreatic lesions and well tolerability with the least adverse effects are also among noteworthy benefits of nCLE [11]. However, the procedure has been reported with pancreatitis as a complication in 3-9% of cases [3, 9]. Other reported complications included abdominal pain and intra-cystic bleeding [6].

The immediate benefit of real-time visualization of tissue structures via high resolution nCLE can be ruling out the malignant nature of the lesions in early phases. An inevitable delay in diagnosis of the malignant transformation is one of the major drawbacks of available routine diagnostic methods. Beside the fact that malignant nature of pancreatic tissue may be missed during conventional ERCP, delayed diagnosis due to inconclusive histological findings may further deprive the patients from timely interventions [12]. The nCLE have shown comparable sensitivity and specificity (98%, 67%) respective to histological examination (45%, 100%) for detection of a neoplastic process [13].

The nCLE is a novel approach that can provide invaluable information on the features of pancreas cysts, however, standard criteria needs to be developed and validated. It can render high sensitivity and specificity when combining with other diagnostic methods. There is a need for developing standard criteria for diagnosis of pancreatic lesions using nCLE.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors had no conflicts of interest.

### References

1. Kadayifci A, Atar M, Basar O, Forcione DG, Brugge WR. Needle-Based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy for Evaluation of Cystic Neoplasms of the Pancreas. Dig Dis Sci 2017; 62:1346-53. [PMID: 28281172]

2. Karstensen JG, Cârţânə T, Klausen PH, Hassan H, Popescu CF, Səftoiu A, et al. Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Needle-based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy: A Pilot Study for Use in Focal Pancreatic Masses. Pancreas 2015; 44:833-5. [PMID: 26061561]

3. Konda VJA, Aslanian HR, Wallace MB, Siddiqui UD, Hart J, Waxman I. First assessment of needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy during EUS-FNA procedures of the pancreas (with videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74:1049-60. [PMID: 21924718]

4. Bhutani MS, Koduru P, Joshi V, Karstensen JG, Saftoiu A, Vilmann P, et al. EUS-guided needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy: a novel technique with emerging applications. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2015; 11:235. [PMID: 27099595]

5. Petrone MC, Arcidiacono PG. Basic technique in endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration for solid lesions: How many passes? Endosc Ultrasound 2014; 3:22. [PMID: 24949407]

6. Konda VJA, Meining A, Jamil LH, Giovannini M, Hwang JH, Wallace MB, et al. A pilot study of in ivo identification of pancreatic cystic neoplasms with needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy under endosonographic guidance. Endoscopy 2013; 45:1006-13. [PMID: 24163192]

7. Meining A, Shah RJ, Slivka A, Pleskow D, Chuttani R, Stevens PD, et al. Classification of probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy findings in pancreaticobiliary strictures. Endoscopy 2012; 44:251-7. [PMID: 22261749]

8. Napoléon B, Lemaistre A-I, Pujol B, Caillol F, Lucidarme D, Bourdariat R, et al. A novel approach to the diagnosis of pancreatic serous cystadenoma: needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy. Endoscopy 2015; 47:26-32. [PMID: 25325684]

9. Nakai Y, Iwashita T, Park DH, Samarasena JB, Lee JG, Chang KJ. Diagnosis of pancreatic cysts: EUS-guided, through-the-needle confocal laser-induced endomicroscopy and cystoscopy trial: DETECT study. Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 81:1204-14. [PMID: 25634486]

10. Giovannini M, Caillol F, Monges G, Poizat F, Lemaistre AI, Pujol B, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy in solid pancreatic masses. Endoscopy 2016; 48:892-8. [PMID: 27576181]

11. Meining A, Chen YK, Pleskow D, Stevens P, Shah RJ, Chuttani R, et al. Direct visualization of indeterminate pancreaticobiliary strictures with probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy: A multicenter experience. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74:961-8. [PMID: 21802675]

12. Hernandez LV. Better imaging versus better value: Striking a balance in confocal laser endomicroscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74:969-70. [PMID: 22032313]

13. Meining A, Chen YK, Pleskow D, Stevens P, Shah RJ, Chuttani R, et al. Direct visualization of indeterminate pancreaticobiliary strictures with probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy: A multicenter experience. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74:961-8. [PMID: 21802675]