Economic Integration of Animal Models and Their Psychological Aspects

Gillian Anderson[*]

Department of Behavioral Biology Group and Helmholtz Institute, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

[*]**Corresponding author:** Gillian Anderson, Department of Behavioral Biology Group and Helmholtz Institute, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands, Tel: +31720963677; Email: Andersong12@gmail.com

Abstract

A rising body of research investigates whether animals have skills similar to human metacognition (i.e., the ability of humans to monitor their states of uncertainty and knowing). Comparative psychologists investigated this topic by administering perception, memory, and food-concealment paradigms to dolphins, pigeons, rats, monkeys, and apes. As part of this approach, some associative modelers have sought to characterize animals "metacognitive" performances in low-level, associative terms-a significant objective if realized. The authors provide a synopsis of the factual and theoretical circumstances surrounding these associative descriptions. Important occurrences are missed by associative descriptions in the animal-metacognition literature. The emphasis on abstract, mathematical associative models causes severe interpretive issues. The authors contrast these unsuccessful associative descriptions with a modern comparative psychology theoretical approach. The alternative method has the potential to improve comparative psychology as an empirical discipline and more thoroughly incorporate it into the mainstream of experimental psychology and cognitive research.

Keywords: Animal models of depression; Fear conditioning; Developmental activities; Extinction

Introduction

The convergence of pharmacological, psychological, and economic theories under behavioral economics has aided in the understanding of drug use disorder. This method makes an important contribution by conceptualizing reinforcement from the standpoint of behavioral economic demand. Demand studies give a comprehensive picture of reinforcement in which various behavioral mechanisms influencing decision making and drug use are assessed. The current state of research on behavioral economic demand as a common language for addiction science researchers across various model systems and stages of a translational continuum is described in this review.

We begin by reviewing the theoretical ideas and techniques used to estimate demand in animal and human models. Following that, the potential for demand to serve as a common language for various research groups in psychopharmacology and addiction science (e.g., those examining neurobehavioral outcomes, drug development, and clinical practice) is discussed. An review of extant empirical research is also presented, which, despite their limited number, show strong linguistic and conceptual agreement between animal and human demand models for examining biological, environmental, and pharmacological individual differences underpinning drug-taking behavior.

Methodological refinement and the integration of more complex environmental characteristics should aid in improving correlation between animal and human demand research, as well as therapeutic translation of such findings. We hope that this review and discussion will serve as motivation for new collaboration studies incorporating behavioral economic demand between animal and human researchers who share a shared aim of enhancing drug use treatment results and overall psychological well-being.

Animal models of depression

Depression is a chronic, recurring, and sometimes deadly illness that affects up to 20% of the world's population. Despite its prevalence and serious impact on people, little is known about its pathogenesis. One of the major factors is a dearth of validated animal models as a result of disagreement on the pathophysiology and etiology of depression. Furthermore, some basic symptoms, including as depression, worthlessness, and persistent thoughts of death or suicide, cannot be recreated in experimental animals.

At the moment, the criteria for developing animal models of depression are based on one of two principles: the effects of known antidepressants or stress responses. This study focuses on the most often used animal models of depression, such as learned helplessness, persistent moderate stress, and social defeat. Additionally, behavioral tests for antidepressant screening are outlined, such as the forced swimming test and tail suspension test. The prospects and configurations of each model are evaluated. In the future, new techniques to developing novel animal models and detecting depression will be addressed.

Fear Conditioning

Through associative mechanisms, the capacity to discriminate between danger and safety arises early in life. Understanding the mechanisms behind threat and safety associative learning can provide insight into the processes that determine the development of normative fears and pathological anxiety. Fear

conditioning and extinction paradigms have been widely used in research to identify underlying processes in animals and adults, but little is known about these mechanisms in children and adolescents. The current study provides empirical data on the development of fear conditioning and extinction. Because creative behaviour happens spontaneously only at low frequency in nonhuman animals, conventional observational approaches frequently overlook it.

Developmental Activities

Experiments have tended to depend excessively on paradigms from child development or adult human cognition, which may be unsuitable for animals that live quite distinct perceptual environments and have very different motor capacities than humans. If specific criteria are satisfied, anecdotal analysis can provide a solution to this deadlock. Anecdotes must be documented soon after observation to be reliable, and only the records of scientists familiar with the species and persons involved should be utilized.

Even still, analyzing a single record is always difficult, and analysis is only viable when many recordings show that a behavior pattern occurs frequently under comparable settings. This method successfully used to investigate a variety of wide range of animal creative abilities, including the distribution, nature, and neural correlates of deception across the primate order the existence of teaching in animals and the neural correlates of several aptitudes, such as foraging innovation in birds and innovation, social learning, and tool-use in primates.

We demonstrate how we utilized this technique to investigate a novel issue, the cognition of the African elephant, a species whose sheer size and evolutionary distance from humans rendered standard comparative psychology methodologies ineffective. The main aim is to document these species innovative cognitive skills while also developing adequate experimental techniques to confirm and extend previous findings.

Conclusion

The study of animal cognition is fraught with controversy, and one of the most long-standing and contentious issues in the field is whether nonhuman animal behaviour can be fully understood on the basis of purely associative principles, or whether some animal behaviors require the assumption of inferential capacities in animals that are not humans. Surprisingly, the current discussion appears to be yielding little actual progress in terms of the accumulation of new, broadly accepted ideas.

References

1. Bolhuis J J Wynne C D L (2009) Can evolution explain how minds work? Nature 458: 832-833

2. Cosmides L, Tooby J (1997) Evolutionary psychology: A primer. Accessed

3. Laland KN, Brown GR (2011) Sense and Nonsense. evolutionary perspectives on human behaviour. Second edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press

4. Buller D J (2005) Adapting minds. Evolutionary psychology and the persistent quest for human nature. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

5. Bolhuis J J (2005) We're not Fred or Wilma. Science 309: 706

6. Richardson RC (2007) Evolutionary psychology as maladapted psychology. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press

7. Bolhuis J J (2008) Piling on the selection pressure. Science 320: 1293

8. Buller DJ (2009) Four fallacies of pop evolutionary psychology. Scientific American 74-81