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#### Abstract

Determination of maturity date and group classification of forty nine (49) varieties of Barley Crop were classified out using the experimental data collected from lake Chad, Research Institute in Maiduguri after the 2011cropping season. The purpose was to group the varieties into early, normal and late maturity varieties so as to assist plant breeders carry out further work on varieties evaluations. Data were collected on $50 \%$ heading date, yield and plant height as three major characteristics in Crop varieties needed in breeding work. Lognormal density function was used in the parametric Survival analysis since it has the least Anderson - Darling (adj) value after the goodness of fit test. One way analysis variance (ANOVA) was employed to test for the group homogeneity as the groups were determined using the first, second and third quartile values. The results show that the median heading date was $63 \pm$ 0.96 days with an average yield of $0.77 \mathrm{~kg} /$ plot. The first quartile (early maturity date) have an average $58 \pm 0.93$ days with the highest average yield of $1.077 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{plot}$, and the third quartile (late maturity date) have an average 1.075 days of $66 \pm$ with an average yield of $0.58 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{plot}$. The authors recommend the selection of varieties from the first group that exhibited short maturity date highest average yield for further breeding work and an extension of this work using germination date, panicle count and weight of 1000 grains.


## INTRODUCTION

Barley is a common name for any of a genus of cereal grass (Hordeum). It originated from Asia and Ethiopia, and is one of the most ancient of cultivated plants (Encarta, 2012). It is now the fourth largest grain crop after Wheat, Rice, and Corn.

In United States, Canada, as well as in the greater portion of Europe, Barley is sown in the spring. Along the Mediterranean Sea and in parts of California and Arizona, it is sown in the fall. Drought resistant and hardy barley can be grown on marginal Crop land. Salt resistant strains are being developed to increase its usefulness in coastal regions. Barley germinates at about the same temperature as wheat. Barley grain, hay, strain and several by product are used as feed. The grain is used for malt beverages and in cooking. Like other Cereals, Barley contains a large proportion of Carbohydrate (65\%) and Protein (12.8\%).

In Nigeria, Barley is grown in the Northern part of the Country between latitude $10^{0}-14^{0} \mathrm{~N}$ and altitude of $240-$ $340 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ during the cold season under irrigation which involve huge cost of production. As in wheat, it during heading, the temperature exceeds $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the yield is reduced, (Nwaosu, 2010, Nwaosu et al 2010). In the recent times experiments carried out in Lake Chad Research Institute is focused on rainfall conditions on Mambilla Plateau in Taraba State of Nigeria.

Forty nine (49) varieties obtained from Cymmit during the 2012 were evaluated.
Since the crop thrives in cold environment within which certain temperature requires that does not last long, varieties that are short maturity duration, with average plant height and high yield are most desirable. Since no variety meets these characteristics, cross breeding becomes imperative. Breeding improves, stabilize crop varieties and increase productivity which culminates into food availability (Spencer, 1988).

It is therefore necessary to develop Statistical methods that incorporate these characteristics of Barley to characterize the varieties into early, normal and late maturing varieties. The breeders can then advance their activities with these varieties. This will also greatly reduce the cost of repeating these trials in order to look out for these characteristics.

Fifty percent (50\%) heading is the date in which $50 \%$ of the varieties have headed, and then is converted into days from the date the varieties germinated. These data were used for this exercise in the absence of maturity date, since like wheat; from heading date to maturity date takes a maximum of two (2) weeks. In Agricultural production, plant height and growth duration are among the variables that can be used in grouping measurement (Kwanchai et al 1984).

This work is divided into four (4) sections. Section one (1) is introduction, section two(2) is the methodology, section three (3) is the results while section four (4) is the general discussion, conclusion and recommendations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forty nine (49) exotic varieties of Barley were acquired and tested at Lake Chad Research Institute Experimental Farm in 2011-2012 farming season. The data for this paper were lifted from the experimental log book of the Institute. The authors verified that the experimental procedures were strictly adhered to. The data used for the paper were the $50 \%$ heading date. Also data were collected for plan height and yield as these were the parameters that are needed for the breeding work.

To determine the appropriate density function for the survival analysis, four probability density functions, Exponential, Weibull, Lognormal base e and Gamma (Seefig.1). Lognormal distribution was used because it stood out of other distributions. Percentiles were used to segregate the varieties into groups. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) were employed to test for homogeneity between groups.

### 2.1 Survival Analysis

Survival Analysis also known as time-to-event analysis or time -to-failure analysis is a branch of Statistics which deals with the death of Biological process or organisms. The function denoted by $S(t)$, describes the probability that an individual survives longer time t . (Consuelo et al, 2005, Allison, 1995, Cox et al, 1999, Terry et al, 2000 and Ismor, 2008) and is given as follows;
$S(t)=P[T>t]=1-P[T \leq t]=1-F(t)$
$=1-\int_{-\infty}^{t} f(t) d x$
where t is a realization of the time random variable T .
$f(t) d x=\frac{d}{d t}(1-S(t))$

### 2.2 Hazard function

Let us consider what happens to the conditional probability of time $t$ as the time interval shrinks towards 0 .
$h(t)=f(t \mid T \geq t)=F^{\prime}(t \mid T \geq t)$
$=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t+\Delta t \mid T \geq t)-F(t \mid T \geq t)}{\Delta t}$
$=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(t \leq T \leq t+\Delta t \mid T \geq t)}{\Delta t}$
$=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P[t \leq T \leq t+\Delta t, T \geq t]}{\Delta t P[T \geq t]}$
$=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P[t \leq T \leq t+\Delta t]}{\Delta t[1-F(t)]}$
$\underline{P[\text { maturity between } t \text { and } t+\Delta t]}$
$P[$ not matured at time $t]$
$=\frac{f(t)}{1-F(t)}=\frac{f(t)}{S(t)}$
This is known as the hazard function or the failure rate function (maturity rate function). It is also known as an instantaneous failure rate or the conditional density of failure rate at time $t$, given that the variety has survived until time $t$ (Engelhart, 1995).

An increasing $h(t)$ at time $t$ indicates that the variety is more likely to mature in the next increment of time $(t, t+\Delta t)$. That is the variety is maturing with time. In this study, we made use ofnon-censored time since there is no fixed time for the varieties of Barley to mature or head and the experiment terminated when the varieties completely matured and were harvested.

### 2.3Lognormal Distribution

Suppose we have a random variable T that has a Lognormal distribution then $X=\log T$ is normally distributed with mean $\mu_{z}=\mu$ and variance $\sigma_{z}{ }^{2}=\sigma^{2}$ then the probability density function (pdf) of T by change of variable technique gives (http://www.math.uah.edu/stat)
$f_{T}(t)=\left|\frac{d \log (t)}{d t}\right| * f_{X}(\log t)$
$=\frac{1}{t^{2} \sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(\log t-\mu)^{2}}$
Hence,
$F(t)=\emptyset\left(\frac{\ln t-\mu}{\sigma}\right), \quad \mathrm{t} \in(0, \infty)$
and the quartile function is given by

$$
F^{-1}(P)=\operatorname{Exp}\left[\mu+\sigma \emptyset^{-1}(P)\right], \quad 0<\mathrm{P}<1
$$

It then follows that
$P\left[T \leq t_{p}\right]=P$
And
$Z_{p}=\frac{\ln t_{p}-\mu_{\text {lnt }}}{\sigma_{\text {lnt }}} \rightarrow t_{p}=e^{Z_{p} * \sigma_{\text {lnt }}+\mu_{\text {lnt }}}$

## RESULTS

The data analyses were carried out using Minitab Statistical Software Version 13 (see Appendix).Figure 1 shows the plot of four probability density functions with the adjusted Anderson- Darling test of goodness of fit. The Lognormal base e showed the least value of the goodness of fit test value of 0.858 while Exponential shows the lack of fit. This is not unconnected to the fact that the result of the hazard function is not a constant. Figure 2 shows the probability plot of the Lognormal density function with $95 \%$ confidence interval. It can be seen that the entire data lie within the region, strengthening the fact that Lognormal is the best fit. Table 1 shows the result of the maximum likelihood estimation of Lognormal distribution with log-likelihood value of -160.034 which is significant. The location parameter $\mu_{\operatorname{logx}}=4.128$ with the scale parameter value $\sigma_{\operatorname{logx}}^{2}=0.102$. The Anderson- Darling (Adj) for Lognormal is 1.064 . The estimate of the median is $62.39 \pm 0.913$ days with the first quartile giving $57.93 \pm 0.94$ days and the third quartile $66.49 \pm 1.07$ days and the interquartile range of $8.56 \pm 0.86$ days.

Figure 3 shows the parametric survival plot with $95 \%$ confidence interval. One can easily read the probabilities of varieties failing and any given day while Figure 4 shows the parametric hazard plot. From the graph, we can read off the instantaneous heading date for the varieties. From the graph, the instantaneous heading date of 63 is 0.1 in other words 10 varieties are expected to mature daily. This result shows that by day $6{ }^{\text {rd }} 50 \%$ of the varieties are expected to have headed with the expected maturing date of 70 days. Also $25 \%$ of the varieties are expected to have headed by day 58 with expected maturing date of $63^{\text {rd }}$ day. Also $75 \%$ of the varieties were expected to have headed by $66^{\text {th }}$ day with expected maturing day of 74 . These values were used in classifying the varieties into early, normal and late heading dates. The result of the segregation showed that 18 varieties are in early heading group, 18 varieties are also in normal heading group while 13 varieties are in late heading group. The one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) shows that there is significant differences ( $p<0.001$ ) between the average heading dates for the groups. The early maturing group has an average maturing date of 56days followed by the normal maturing group with 63 days, then the late group with 71 days. The groups were also compared in their yield and height parameters. The early maturing group shows a significant highest mean yield of $1.077 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{plot}$ followed by normal group with the mean yield of $0.73 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{plot}$ while the late group gave an average yield of $0.58 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{plot}$. From the table also there is no significant difference between the groups with respect to the plant height.

## CONCLUSION

From the ongoing, it was observed that there are differences in maturity days and in yield parameter between the three groups. The $95 \%$ confidence limits for the mean values shows that there are some varieties in the normal and late groups whose average yield are greater than some varieties in the early group. The authors suggest that these varieties should be selected by the plant breeders for further work. The authors also suggest that some other parameters like the panicle count, tiller count and days to germination to be included in the further work since they also important parameters in breeding work.
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## APPENDIX

| Distribution Analysis: Data (time in days) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Step | Log-Likelihood |
| 0 | -160.037 |
| 1 | -160.034 |
| 2 | -160.034 |
| 3 | -160.034 |

Variable: time

| Censoring Information | Count |
| :--- | :--- |
| Uncensored value | 49 |

Estimation Method: Maximum Likelihood
Distribution : Lognormal base e
Parameter Estimates
Standard $95.0 \%$ Normal CI

| Parameter | Estimate | Error | Lower | Upper |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Location | 4.12815 | 0.01460 | 4.09954 | 4.15675 |


| Scale | 0.10217 | 0.01032 | 0.08382 | 0.12454 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Log-Likelihood $=-160.034$
Goodness-of-Fit
Anderson-Darling (adjusted) $=1.0639$
Characteristics of Distribution

|  | Standard | $95.0 \%$ Normal CI |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimate | Error | Lower | Upper |
| Mean(MTTF) | 62.3875 | 0.9130 | 60.6235 | 64.2028 |
| Standard Deviation | 6.3910 | 0.6623 | 5.2162 | 7.8303 |
| Median | 62.0627 | 0.9059 | 60.3124 | 63.8638 |
| First Quartile(Q1) | 57.9298 | 0.9368 | 56.1225 | 59.7953 |
| Third Quartile(Q3) | 66.4906 | 1.0752 | 64.4162 | 68.6317 |
| Interquartile Range(IQR) | 8.5608 | 0.8751 | 7.0065 | 10.4599 |

Table of Percentiles

| Standard |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent | Percentile | Error | Lower | Upper |
| 1 | 48.9333 | 1.3750 | 46.3112 | 51.7037 |
| 2 | 50.3153 | 1.2949 | 47.8403 | 52.9184 |
| 3 | 51.2123 | 1.2438 | 48.8317 | 53.7091 |
| 4 | 51.8977 | 1.2055 | 49.5880 | 54.3149 |
| 5 | 52.4619 | 1.1745 | 50.2096 | 54.8152 |
| 6 | 52.9470 | 1.1485 | 50.7431 | 55.2466 |
| 7 | 53.3760 | 1.1260 | 51.2140 | 55.6292 |
| 8 | 53.7630 | 1.1062 | 51.6381 | 55.9754 |
| 9 | 54.1175 | 1.0885 | 52.0256 | 56.2935 |
| 10 | 54.4458 | 1.0725 | 52.3839 | 56.5889 |
| 20 | 56.9489 | 0.9673 | 55.0843 | 58.8767 |
| 30 | 58.8250 | 0.9157 | 57.0573 | 60.6475 |
| 40 | 60.4768 | 0.8968 | 58.7445 | 62.2603 |
| 50 | 62.0627 | 0.9059 | 60.3124 | 63.8638 |
| 51 | 62.2219 | 0.9083 | 60.4668 | 64.0279 |
| 52 | 62.3816 | 0.9111 | 60.6212 | 64.1931 |
| 53 | 62.5419 | 0.9142 | 60.7756 | 64.3595 |
| 55 | 62.8647 | 0.9212 | 61.0849 | 64.6964 |
| 56 | 63.0275 | 0.9252 | 61.2400 | 64.8671 |
| 57 | 63.1913 | 0.9295 | 61.3955 | 65.0396 |
| 58 | 63.3563 | 0.9341 | 61.5516 | 65.2138 |
| 60 | 63.6902 | 0.9444 | 61.8658 | 65.5684 |
| 61 | 63.8594 | 0.9501 | 62.0242 | 65.7490 |
| 62 | 64.0304 | 0.9561 | 62.1835 | 65.9321 |
| 63 | 64.2031 | 0.9626 | 62.3440 | 66.1177 |
| 64 | 64.3779 | 0.9694 | 62.5057 | 66.3062 |
| 65 | 64.5548 | 0.9766 | 62.6688 | 66.4976 |
| 66 | 64.7341 | 0.9842 | 62.8335 | 66.6922 |
| 69 | 65.2880 | 1.0098 | 63.3385 | 67.2975 |
| 70 | 65.4787 | 1.0193 | 63.5110 | 67.5073 |
| 71 | 65.6729 | 1.0293 | 63.6861 | 67.7217 |
| 73 | 66.0729 | 1.0511 | 64.0446 | 68.1653 |
| 74 | 66.2793 | 1.0628 | 64.2286 | 68.3955 |
| 80 | 67.6357 | 1.1488 | 65.4212 | 69.9252 |
| 90 | 70.7452 | 1.3935 | 68.0660 | 73.5298 |
| 91 | 71.1744 | 1.4315 | 68.4233 | 74.0362 |
| 92 | 71.6437 | 1.4741 | 68.8120 | 74.5919 |
| 93 | 72.1632 | 1.5224 | 69.2403 | 75.2096 |
| 94 | 72.7479 | 1.5780 | 69.7198 | 75.9076 |
| 95 | 73.4206 | 1.6438 | 70.2685 | 76.7141 |
| 96 | 74.2188 | 1.7239 | 70.9157 | 77.6757 |
| 97 | 75.2120 | 1.8267 | 71.7157 | 78.8788 |
| 98 | 76.5529 | 1.9702 | 72.7872 | 80.5134 |
| 99 | 78.7150 | 2.2118 | 74.4972 | 83.1716 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Table of Survival Probabilities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 5 . 0 \%}$ Normal CI |  |  |  |
| Time | Probability | Lower | Upper |
| 51.0000 | 0.9727 | 0.9263 | 0.9917 |
| 52.0000 | 0.9583 | 0.9013 | 0.9851 |
| 52.0000 | 0.9583 | 0.9013 | 0.9851 |
| 53.0000 | 0.9388 | 0.8708 | 0.9750 |
| 55.0000 | 0.8815 | 0.7932 | 0.9391 |
| 55.0000 | 0.8815 | 0.7932 | 0.9391 |
| 55.0000 | 0.8815 | 0.7932 | 0.9391 |
| 56.0000 | 0.8428 | 0.7462 | 0.9114 |
| 56.0000 | 0.8428 | 0.7462 | 0.9114 |
| 56.0000 | 0.8428 | 0.7462 | 0.9114 |
| 56.0000 | 0.8428 | 0.7462 | 0.9114 |
| 56.0000 | 0.8428 | 0.7462 | 0.9114 |
| 57.0000 | 0.7975 | 0.6942 | 0.8765 |
| 57.0000 | 0.7975 | 0.6942 | 0.8765 |
| 58.0000 | 0.7462 | 0.6381 | 0.8344 |
| 58.0000 | 0.7462 | 0.6381 | 0.8344 |
| 58.0000 | 0.7462 | 0.6381 | 0.8344 |
| 58.0000 | 0.7462 | 0.6381 | 0.8344 |
| 61.0000 | 0.5671 | 0.4550 | 0.6740 |
| 62.0000 | 0.5039 | 0.3935 | 0.6141 |
| 62.0000 | 0.5039 | 0.3935 | 0.6141 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 63.0000 | 0.4417 | 0.3343 | 0.5536 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 64.0000 | 0.3818 | 0.2786 | 0.4942 |
| 65.0000 | 0.3254 | 0.2277 | 0.4370 |
| 66.0000 | 0.2736 | 0.1824 | 0.3830 |
| 69.0000 | 0.1498 | 0.0831 | 0.2451 |
| 69.0000 | 0.1498 | 0.0831 | 0.2451 |
| 70.0000 | 0.1194 | 0.0615 | 0.2080 |
| 70.0000 | 0.1194 | 0.0615 | 0.2080 |
| 71.0000 | 0.0940 | 0.0446 | 0.1751 |
| 73.0000 | 0.0561 | 0.0222 | 0.1215 |
| 73.0000 | 0.0561 | 0.0222 | 0.1215 |
| 73.0000 | 0.0561 | 0.0222 | 0.1215 |
| 74.0000 | 0.0426 | 0.0153 | 0.1002 |
| 74.0000 | 0.0426 | 0.0153 | 0.1002 |
| 74.0000 | 0.0426 | 0.0153 | 0.1002 |
|  |  |  |  |

Goodness of Fit
Distribution Anderson-Darling (adj)
Weibull 1.286
Lognormal base e 0.858
Exponential 18.159
Logistic 0.938

## Table of Percentiles

|  | Standard $95 \%$ Normal |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | CI |  |  |  |
| Distribution | Percent | Percentile | Error | Lower | Upper |
| Weibull | 1 | 42.0374 | 2.27783 | 37.8018 | 46.7476 |
| Lognormal base e | 1 | 48.9333 | 1.37496 | 46.3112 | 51.7037 |
| Exponential | 1 | 0.6270 | 0.08957 | 0.4739 | 0.8296 |
| Logistic | 1 | 44.8989 | 2.22756 | 40.5330 | 49.2649 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weibull | 5 | 49.1433 | 1.87251 | 45.6069 | 52.9538 |
| Lognormal base e | 5 | 52.4619 | 1.17454 | 50.2096 | 54.8152 |
| Exponential | 5 | 3.2001 | 0.45715 | 2.4186 | 4.2341 |
| Logistic | 5 | 51.1149 | 1.59632 | 47.9861 | 54.2436 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weibull | 10 | 52.6525 | 1.64620 | 49.5228 | 55.9799 |
| Lognormal base e | 10 | 54.4458 | 1.07245 | 52.3839 | 56.5889 |
| Exponential | 10 | 6.5732 | 0.93903 | 4.9679 | 8.6972 |
| Logistic | 10 | 53.9286 | 1.34433 | 51.2938 | 56.5634 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weibull | 50 | 63.0685 | 1.01999 | 61.1007 | 65.0996 |
| Lognormal base e | 50 | 62.0627 | 0.90587 | 60.3124 | 63.8638 |
| Exponential | 50 | 43.2439 | 6.17770 | 32.6832 | 57.2170 |
| Logistic | 50 | 62.2026 | 0.94764 | 60.3453 | 64.0600 |

Table of MTTF
Distribution
Weibull
Lognormal base e
Exponential
Logistic

| Standard $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal CI |  |  |  |
| Mean | Error | Lower | Upper |
| 62.2558 | 1.02913 | 60.2711 | 64.3059 |
| 62.3875 | 0.91299 | 60.6235 | 64.2028 |
| 62.3878 | 8.91254 | 47.1519 | 82.5467 |
| 62.2026 | 0.94764 | 60.3453 | 64.0600 |


| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |  |
| time | Between Groups | 1794.940 | 2 | 897.470 | 201.686 | .000 |  |
|  | Within Groups | 204.692 | 46 | 4.450 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1999.633 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| height | Between Groups | 156.164 | 2 | 78.082 | 1.367 | .265 |  |
|  | Within Groups | 2626.530 | 46 | 57.098 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 2782.694 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| yield | Between Groups | 2090858.556 | 2 | 1045429.278 | 3.860 | .028 |  |
|  | Within Groups | 12458940.704 | 46 | 270846.537 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 14549799.259 | 48 |  |  |  |  |


| Time |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duncan, b |  |  |  |  |
| rep | N | Subset for alpha $=0.05$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| early | 18 | 55.50 |  |  |
| normal | 18 |  | 63.17 |  |
| late | 13 |  |  | 70.85 |
| Sig. |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.
a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size $=15.955$.
b. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

| Yield |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Duncan $^{\text {a,b }}$ |  |  |  |
| rep | N | Subset for alpha $=0.05$ |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 |
| late | 13 | 576.908 |  |
| normal | 18 | 734.106 | 734.106 |
| early | 18 |  | 1077.117 |
| Sig. |  | .398 | .069 |

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.
a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size $=15.955$.
b. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.
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