2016 Vol.1 No.2:10

Current Concepts in Tendon Bioengineering

Sebastian Andreas Muller^{*}

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, MD, University of Basel, Switzerland

*Corresponding author: Sebastian Andreas Müller, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Basel, Switzerland, E-mail: Sebastian.mueller@usb.ch

Received date: March 9, 2016; Accepted date: April 8, 2016; Published date: April 13, 2016

Copyright: ©2016 **Muller** SA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Due to their specific morphologic structure, tendons are the soft tissue with the highest tensile strength, needed to transfer muscle power to the skeletal system. In case of tendon injury, such as traumatic tendon tears, chronic overuse of tendons, or degenerative tendinopathy, function and motion are impaired. However, repaired tendon tissue rarely achieves functionality equal to that of the pre-injured state, whereas final tear resistance may be reduced by up to 30% [1-3]. The fact that tendons often tear upon an underlying tendinopathy as a precondition, is considered rather rarely for research and treatment of patients with acute tendon injuries [4]. For optimal treatment, enhanced understanding of tendon physiology, degenerative pathology, and healing processes in ruptured tendons is necessary. Due to their similar structure, healing of ligaments is usually compared and subsumed to tendon healing.

For a preferably comprehensive survey, literature was systematically reviewed for English peer-reviewed journals on tendon healing and tendon bioengineering including cytokine modulation, autologous sources of growth factors, biomaterials, gene therapy, and cell-based therapy [5]. This article shall highlight the most important findings and main concepts in tendon bioengineering.

Aiming for optimized and accelerated tendon healing, several approaches in tendon engineering have been described to improve and accelerate the slow process of tendon healing, which runs through different stages of haemorrhage, inflammation, proliferation, and remodelling and can be classified as follows:

Collagen Recycling

Apart from the classical stages of tendon healing by collagen de novo synthesis via weak collagen type III, a collagen type I recycling process from adjacent tendon tissue has been reported [6]. This fact could explain the observed postoperative rupture of flexor tendons next to the site of previous repair [7]. Based on the recycling theory, we have found significantly improved healing in tendons treated with a type I collagen scaffold [8].

Mechanical Load

Tenocytes are able to respond to mechanical stress, which helps to structure the maturing tendon during development or the healing tendon during remodeling. Mechanical load leads to an up-regulation of type III collagen mRNA expression and increased growth factor concentrations inducing cell proliferation, differentiation, and matrix formation in tendon tissue [9,10]. Mechanical stimulation is beneficial for proper organization of collagen fibers and prevention of adhesions in tendons. However, mechanical healing underor overstimulation can induce lipid accumulation, mucoid formation, and tissue calcification, all of them being markers of tendinopathy increasing the risk of re-rupture or micro-tears [11]. The misconfiguration of load within in one tendon higher load to the superficial than to the deep layer due to greater distance to the central axis of rotation - is meant to cause stress shielding of tendons leading to tendinopathy. If conservative treatment fails in these cases, the superficial layer can be incised to equally load the deep and superficial portion [12].

Cytokine Modulation of Tendon Healing

The expression of a variety of natural growth factors is induced at multiple stages throughout natural tendon healing [13-16] leading to increased cellularity and tissue volume [17]. The following growth factors have turned out to play an important role in tendon healing [18-20]:

- Basic fibroblast growth factor (BFGF),
- Bone morphogenetic protein (BMP),
- Connective tissue growth factor (CTGF),
- Insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1),
- Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF),
- Transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ),
- Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).

However, combinations of growth factors seem more potent than individual growth factors delivered singly [21]. Thus concentrates of multiple autologous growth factors, such as those contained within platelet rich plasma (PRP) or autologous conditioned serum (ACS) have been tested for tendon healing and showed up to 30% increased tendon strength [22-25]. In clinical studies, PRP was shown to reduce

Vol.1 No.2:10

pain in patellar tendinopathy [26], to have a positive effect on donor site healing in the harvested patellar tendon for ACLreconstruction [27], and to accelerate remodeling of ACL-graft itself [28].

In surgical repair of Achilles tendons no improvement of tendon healing was found for PRP treated patients [29]. In chronic Achilles tendinopathy, PRP and saline groups showed no differences regarding pain or activity level [30]. There is evidence in the literature for and against efficacy of PRP in chronic lateral epicondylar tendinopathy, the so-called tennis elbow [31,32]. However, PRP is a variable, poorly characterized cocktail of growth factors and other substances, which could explain the contrary findings for PRP in tendon treatment. So far no clear growth factor formula has been found for routine use in clinics either as an autologous blood product or as recombinant growth factors.

Biomaterials in Tendon Healing

To bridge a defunct or missing tendon part, scaffolds potentially in combination with biological active substances or cells have been described in the literature [33-36]. However, none of these scaffolds could be used routinely in clinics yet. Auto- and allografts remain the gold standard to augment healing sites, even though availability is restricted and complications may arise making new biomaterials desirably.

Gene Therapy

Short half-life and quick clearance are issues of delivering growth factors to the healing site. For a potential longer and constant growth factor release transfer of genetic material (DNA) to cells has been studied. Thereby the cells incorporate the genetic material and produce the desired growth factors over a substantial period of time. Improved tendon healing was found in animal models for gene transfer of BMP12, PDGF, TGF β , and VEGF [37-40].

Cell-Based Therapy

Stem cells are subject of interest and research aiming for new tendon tissue formation. Improved tendon healing in acute tears or tendinopathy has been described using stem cells in laboratory studies [41-48]. Though results may be promising, use of these cells is ethically problematic and far away from routine clinical use.

Summary

Results of tendon treatment in daily practice are inconsistent. The current knowledge on new attempts in tendon engineering is mainly based on laboratory studies and clinical approaches are still experimental. Aiming for regenerated tendon tissue comparable to healthy tendon, underlying degenerations, i.e. tendinopathy means a great challenge in treatment. A quick increase of type I collagen seems to be important and can be supported by an external collagen supply. Knowing that physical over- or under-stimulation can have adverse effects, the appropriate amount and timing of mechanical load to the healing tendon are challenging and have to be defined. However, an early activation of the corresponding muscle and joint seem to be reasonably.

As intrinsic tendon healing is guided by growth factors, one promising goal is to find the right composition of growth factors, which could be contained within autologous blood products. Direct injections of growth factors could be easier than carrier based approaches. However, time of release vs. overflow loss and half-life has to be taken into account as tendon healing still takes rather months than weeks. Complex approaches using gene or cell based therapy hold great promise but research and development in this direction has barely just begun.

Focusing on growth factors in a defined composition and possibly added to a collagen type I scaffold could promise a realistic approach for patients with tendon defects or severe tendinopathy in the near future.

References

- Hogan MV, Bagayoko N, James R, Starnes T, Katz A, et al. (2011) Tissue engineering solutions for tendon repair. J Am Acad Orthop Surg19: 134-142.
- Majewski M, Schaeren S, Kohlhaas U, Ochsner PE (2008) Postoperative rehabilitation after percutaneous Achilles tendon repair: early functional therapy versus cast immobilization. Disabil Rehabil 30: 1726-1732.
- 3. Sharma P, Maffulli N (2005) The future: rehabilitation, gene therapy, optimization of healing. Foot Ankle Clin 10: 383-397.
- Thomopoulos S, Parks WC, Rifkin DB, Derwin KA (2015) Mechanisms of tendon injury and repair. J Orthop Res 33: 832-839.
- Muller SA, Todorov A, Heisterbach PE, Martin I, Majewski M (2015) Tendon healing: an overview of physiology, biology, and pathology of tendon healing and systematic review of state of the art in tendon bioengineering. Knee surgery sports traumatology arthrosc 23: 2097-2105.
- Ingraham JM, Weber RA, Childs EW (2011) Intrinsic tendon healing requires the recycling of tendon collagen fibril segments. J Hand Surg Eur 36: 154-155.
- Goldfarb CA, Harwood F, Silva MJ, Gelberman RH, Amiel D, et al. (2001) The effect of variations in applied rehabilitation force on collagen concentration and maturation at the intrasynovial flexor tendon repair siteJ Hand Surg Am 26: 841-846.
- Muller SA, Dürselen L, Heisterbach PE, Evans CH, Majewski M (2016) Effect of a simple collagen type I sponge for Achilles tendon repair in a rat model. AJSM In press.
- Maeda E, Shelton JC, Bader DL, Lee DA (2009) Differential regulation of gene expression in isolated tendon fascicles exposed to cyclic tensile strain in vitro. J Appl Physiol 106: 506-512.
- Skutek M, van Griensven M, Zeichen J, Brauer N, Bosch U (2001) Cyclic mechanical stretching modulates secretion pattern of growth factors in human tendon fibroblasts. European J Appl Physiol 86: 48-52.

- 11. Zhang J, Wang JH (2010) Mechanobiological response of tendon stem cells: implications of tendon homeostasis and pathogenesis of tendinopathy. J Orthop Res 28: 639-643.
- 12. Rumian AP, Draper ER, Wallace AL, Goodship AE (2009) The influence of the mechanical environment on remodelling of the patellar tendon. J Bone Joint Surg Br 91: 557-564.
- **13**. Evans CH (1999) Cytokines and the role they play in the healing of ligaments and tendons. Sports med 28: 71-76.
- Heisterbach PE, Todorov A, Fluckiger R, Evans CH, Majewski M (2012) Effect of BMP-12, TGF-beta1 and autologous conditioned serum on growth factor expression in Achilles tendon healing. Knee surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 20: 1907-1914.
- Wurgler-Hauri CC, Dourte LM, Baradet TC, Williams GR, Soslowsky LJ (2007) Temporal expression of 8 growth factors in tendon-to-bone healing in a rat supraspinatus model. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 16: 198-203.
- 16. Yang G, Rothrauff BB, Tuan RS (2013) Tendon and ligament regeneration and repair: clinical relevance and developmental paradigm. Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today 99: 203-222.
- Sharma P, Maffulli N (2006) Biology of tendon injury: healing, modeling and remodeling. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interac 6: 181-190.
- Chen CH, Cao Y, Wu YF, Bais AJ, Gao JS, et al. (2008) Tendon healing in vivo: gene expression and production of multiple growth factors in early tendon healing period. J Hand Surg Am 33: 1834-1842.
- 19. Kobayashi M, Itoi E, Minagawa H, Miyakoshi N, Takahashi S, et al. (2006) Expression of growth factors in the early phase of supraspinatus tendon healing in rabbits. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 15: 371-377.
- 20. Molloy T, Wang Y, Murrell G (2003) The roles of growth factors in tendon and ligament healing. Sports med 33: 381-394.
- 21. Docheva D, Muller SA, Majewski M, Evans CH (2015) Biologics for tendon repair. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 84: 222-239.
- Aspenberg P, Virchenko O (2004) Platelet concentrate injection improves Achilles tendon repair in rats. Acta orthop Scand 75: 93-99.
- 23. Bosch G, van Schie HT, de Groot MW, Cadby JA, van de Lest CH, et al. (2010) Effects of platelet-rich plasma on the quality of repair of mechanically induced core lesions in equine superficial digital flexor tendons: A placebo-controlled experimental study. J Orthop Res 28: 211-217.
- Majewski M, Ochsner PE, Liu F, Fluckiger R, Evans CH (2009) Accelerated healing of the rat Achilles tendon in response to autologous conditioned serum. Am J Sports Med 37: 2117-2125.
- 25. Schnabel LV, Mohammed HO, Miller BJ, McDermott WG, Jacobson MS, et al. (2007) Platelet rich plasma (PRP) enhances anabolic gene expression patterns in flexor digitorum superficialis tendons. J Orthop Res 25: 230-240.
- 26. Gosens T, Den Oudsten BL, Fievez E, van 't Spijker P, Fievez A (2012) Pain and activity levels before and after platelet-rich plasma injection treatment of patellar tendinopathy: a prospective cohort study and the influence of previous treatments. Int orthop 36: 1941-1946.
- de Almeida AM, Demange MK, Sobrado MF, Rodrigues MB, Pedrinelli A, et al. (2012) Patellar tendon healing with plateletrich plasma: a prospective randomized controlled trial. The Am J Sports med 40: 1282-1288.

- 28. Seijas R, Ares O, Catala J, Alvarez-Diaz P, Cusco X, et al. (2013) Magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of patellar tendon graft remodelling after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with or without platelet-rich plasma. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 21: 10-14.
- 29. Schepull T, Kvist J, Norrman H, Trinks M, Berlin G, et al. (2011) Autologous platelets have no effect on the healing of human achilles tendon ruptures: a randomized single-blind study. The Am J Sports med 39: 38-47.
- de Vos RJ, Weir A, Van Schie HT, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Verhaar JA, et al. (2010) Platelet-rich plasma injection for chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 303: 144-149.
- de Vos RJ, Windt J, Weir A (2014) Strong evidence against platelet-rich plasma injections for chronic lateral epicondylar tendinopathy: a systematic review. Br J Sports Med 48: 952-956.
- 32. Hall MP, Ward JP, Cardone DA (2013) Platelet rich placebo? Evidence for platelet rich plasma in the treatment of tendinopathy and augmentation of tendon repair. Bull Hosp Jt Dis 71: 54-59.
- 33. Joshi SM, Mastrangelo AN, Magarian EM, Fleming BC, Murray MM (2009) Collagen-platelet composite enhances biomechanical and histologic healing of the porcine anterior cruciate ligament. Am J Sports Med 37: 2401-2410.
- Longo UG, Lamberti A, Maffulli N, Denaro V (2010) Tendon augmentation grafts: a systematic review. Br Med Bull 94: 165-188.
- 35. Manning CN, Schwartz AG, Liu W, Xie J, Havlioglu N, et al. (2013) Controlled delivery of mesenchymal stem cells and growth factors using a nanofiber scaffold for tendon repair. Acta biomater 9: 6905-6914.
- 36. Zhang X, Bogdanowicz D, Erisken C, Lee NM, Lu HH (2012) Biomimetic scaffold design for functional and integrative tendon repair. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 21: 266-277.
- Lou J, Tu Y, Burns M, Silva MJ, Manske P (2001) BMP-12 gene transfer augmentation of lacerated tendon repair. J Orthop Res 19: 1199-1202.
- 38. Wang XT, Liu PY, Tang JB (2005) Tendon healing in vitro: modification of tenocytes with exogenous vascular endothelial growth factor gene increases expression of transforming growth factor beta but minimally affects expression of collagen genes. J Hand Surg Am 30: 222-229.
- Majewski M, Betz O, Ochsner PE, Liu F, Porter RM, et al. (2008) Ex vivo adenoviral transfer of bone morphogenetic protein 12 (BMP-12) cDNA improves Achilles tendon healing in a rat model. Gene ther 15: 1139-1146.
- Majewski M, Porter RM, Betz OB, Betz VM, Clahsen H, et al. (2012) Improvement of tendon repair using muscle grafts transduced with TGF-beta1 cDNA. Eur Cell Mater 23: 94-101.
- 41. Uysal CA, Tobita M, Hyakusoku H, Mizuno H (2012) Adiposederived stem cells enhance primary tendon repair: biomechanical and immunohistochemical evaluation. J Plastic Reconstr Aesthet Surg: JPRAS 65: 1712-1719.
- 42. Nixon AJ, Dahlgren LA, Haupt JL, Yeager AE, Ward DL (2008) Effect of adipose-derived nucleated cell fractions on tendon repair in horses with collagenase-induced tendinitis. Am J Vet Res 69: 928-937.

- Pelinkovic D, Lee JY, Engelhardt M, Rodosky M, Cummins J, et al. (2003) Muscle cell-mediated gene delivery to the rotator cuff. Tissue Eng 9: 143-151.
- 44. Awad HA, Butler DL, Boivin GP, Smith FN, Malaviya P, et al. (1999) Autologous mesenchymal stem cell-mediated repair of tendon. Tissue Eng 5: 267-277.
- 45. Cao Y, Liu Y, Liu W, Shan Q, Buonocore SD, et al. (2002) Bridging tendon defects using autologous tenocyte engineered tendon in a hen model. Plast Reconstr Surg 110: 1280-1289.
- 46. He Q, Li Q, Chen B, Wang Z (2002) Repair of flexor tendon defects of rabbit with tissue engineering method. Chin J Traumatol 5: 200-208.
- 47. Young RG, Butler DL, Weber W, Caplan AI, Gordon SL (1998) Use of mesenchymal stem cells in a collagen matrix for Achilles tendon repair. J Orthop Res 16: 406-413.
- 48. Watts AE, Yeager AE, Kopyov OV, Nixon AJ (2011) Fetal derived embryonic-like stem cells improve healing in a large animal flexor tendonitis model. Stem Cell Res Ther 2: 4.