CT Guided Percutaneous Drainage in Necrotizing Pancreatitis – Highly Successful In Appropriately Selected Patients - Single Center Experience

Pranav Sharma¹, Salil Sharma², Amitabh Yadav², Eran Rotem¹

¹Yale New Haven Health Bridgeport Hospital, CT, USA ²Bassett Healthcare, NY, USA ³Sir Gangaram Hospital, Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

Objective The purpose of the study is to select & assess the effectiveness of computed tomography Guided percutaneous drainage in patients with pancreatitis according to the clinical and imaging parameters. Materials and Methods The study was performed from January 2012 to January 2017. The study included 126 consecutive patients (103 men and 23 women; median age 39 years) with necrotizing pancreatitis who underwent percutaneous catheter drainage via computed tomography guidance and were evaluated according to the clinical criteria, radiological scores, drainage and catheter characteristics, and complications. A retrospective review of our cross-sectional interventional radiology database over 60 months (5 years) identified all patients who underwent primary computed tomography -guided percutaneous drainage for acute necrotizing pancreatitis. Results Successful percutaneous drainage outcome was achieved in 108 of 126 patients (85.7%). No surgery was required in 95 patients (75%). Of these 108 patients, 13 patients had the liquefiable part of the collection drained by percutaneous drainage, but the phlegmon caused persistent sepsis, and had to undergo elective surgical necrosectomy. Rest of the 18 patients did not have a successful outcome on percutaneous drainage; of these, 16 patients had treatment failure on percutaneous drainage then surgery was performed, and 2 patients passed away with treatment failure on percutaneous drainage and surgery. The procedurerelated complications were observed in six patients of which one had hemorrhage due to the catheter eroding the vessel and five had a pancreatico-cutaneous fistula. Of the total 126 patients, 110 patients were managed as outpatients with regular clinic visits. Conclusion Percutaneous catheter drainage is a safe and effective technique for treating infected acute necrotizing pancreatitis. Appropriate selection of patients should be performed to maximize the benefit of percutaneous procedures. Treating necrotizing pancreatitis requires dedicated multidisciplinary team efforts from the physicians, surgeons, radiologist and the drain management team.

INTRODUCTION

Acute necrotizing pancreatitis accounts for 10-15% of all cases of acute pancreatitis. It is frequently accompanied by a systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multisystem organ failure and occasionally by infected necrosis [1, 2]. Depending on the presence or absence of necrosis, acute collections in the first four weeks are called acute necrotic collections or acute peripancreatic fluid collections. Once an enhancing capsule develops, persistent acute peripancreatic fluid collections are referred to as pseudocysts; and acute necrotic collections, as walled-

Received November 25th, 2018 - Accepted January 10th, 2019
Keywords Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus; Pancreatitis, Acute
Necrotizing
Abbreviations CT computed tomography; ERCP endoscopic
retrograde cholangiopancreatography; FNAB fine needle aspiration for
bacteriology; PCD percutaneous drainage
Correspondence Pranav Sharma
Yale New Haven Health Bridgeport Hospital
Radiology Department
267 Grant Street, Bridgeport,
Connecticut – 06610
USA
Tel + 1 203-298-8994
E-mail drpranavsharma29@gmail.com

off necrosis. All can be sterile or infected. The revised classifications are used to standardize the terminology among the radiologists, gastroenterologists, surgeons, and pathologists to facilitate treatment planning and enable precise communication among different departments and institutions [3].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed from January 2012 to January 2017. There were 126 consecutive patients (103 men and 23 women; median age 39 years) with necrotizing pancreatitis who underwent percutaneous catheter drainage via CT guidance and were evaluated according to the clinical criteria, radiological scores, drainage and catheter characteristics, and complications. A retrospective review of our cross-sectional interventional radiology database over 60 months (5 years) identified all patients who underwent primary CT-guided percutaneous drainage (PCD) for acute necrotizing pancreatitis. Assessment of the patient's clinical condition at initial percutaneous CTguided PCD was based on criteria set forth by the revised classification of Acute Pancreatitis - 2012, revision of Atlanta classification, 1992. The revised classification identifies early and late phases of the disease and classifies

into mild, moderate and severe acute pancreatitis. The mild acute pancreatitis has no organ failure, no local or systemic complications. The moderate acute pancreatitis has transient organ failure or local complications or exacerbation of co-morbid diseases. The severe acute pancreatitis has persistent organ failure for more than 48 hours [3]. The initial CT findings were calculated according to the Modified CT Severity index. The Modified CT Severity Index is a scoring system that incorporates the extent of pancreatic necrosis (none, <30% or >30%) along with peripancreatic inflammation (presence or absence of fluid collections).

Procedures

Referral for radiological intervention was based on suspicion of infection raised by fever, leucocytosis, renal or cardiac compromise or general clinical deterioration refractory to conservative medical care. The decision for PCD was always multidepartment based on referral from the clinical team for persistent sepsis, and departmental guidelines according to the American College of Radiology appropriateness criteria with radiological imaging suggesting a liquefied drainable collection, rapidly enlarging collections, collections larger than 5 cm, collections causing obstruction and infected collections [4]. Infection in the collection was assessed on the imaging picture (collection with gas or the collection density has higher Hounsfield Units than water) and in indeterminate cases with prior needle aspiration. The Seldinger technique was systematically used to place a catheter in each of the necrotic collections via the most direct transperitoneal route, avoiding intervening bowels and solid organs. One or more multiperforated double-sump 14- to 16- French catheters were placed to aspirate the cavity fluid and to start with continuous irrigation with 1 L/drain/day of normal saline. Later the new larger catheter was inserted after sequential dilation. The goal was to increase the diameter of the catheter to a maximum of 28-32 French large-bore catheters whose distal holes were expanded manually (Sherwood, Argyle) and additional holes made where ever required. As for the initial drainage, continuous and abundant daily irrigation was initiated, ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 L/drain/day of normal saline, depending on the size of the collection. The decision to remove the catheters and stop the drainage was based on clinical improvement (i.e., control of the sepsis and hemodynamic stability), resolution of the necrotic cavity on CT scan and when the amount of drain fluid was less than 20 mL/day. The mean time between presentation with pancreatitis and the first interventional procedure was 25 days (range, 12-65 days).

Patient Outcome

Patient outcome was categorized into four groups:control of sepsis and successful collection resolution on PCD (A); successful collection resolution on PCD but later Surgery (B); treatment failure on PCD then Surgery (C); treatment failure on PCD & Surgery then Death (D). Control of sepsis and successful resolution on PCD, was defined as normalization of vital signs, resolution of leukocytosis and complete resolution of the collection with less than 10 ml daily drain output. The successful resolution on PCD and later surgery, was defined as complete resolution of the collection but, persistent sepsis likely secondary to infected phlegmon leading to surgery. Treatment failure on PCD and then surgery, was defined as incomplete resolution of collection or multiple inaccessible loculated collections not amenable to PCD drainage and persistent sepsis warranting surgery. The treatment failure on PCD and the surgery and death, was defined as incomplete resolution of the collection on PCD and severe sepsis not controlled by surgery ultimately leading to death. The total length of hospital stay, length of hospital stay after the initial percutaneous catheter drainage procedure and the number of days elapsed before surgical debridement was calculated. Cause of death and interval between interventional procedure or surgery and patient death were recorded. Complications related to the PCD interventional procedures were recorded as hemorrhage, Pancreatico cutaneous Fistula, Pancreatico - enteric Fistula, Pancreatic Abscess.

RESULTS

The successful drainage outcome is grouped into, control of sepsis by PCD or complete resolution of liquefied collection by PCD or stabilization of patient for elective surgery, if needed. Successful PCD outcome i.e. collection resolution was achieved in 108 (A+B) of 126 patients (85.7%). No surgery was required in 95 patients (A) (75%). Of these 108 patients, 13 patients (B) had the liquefiable part of the collection drained by PCD, but the phlegmon caused persistent sepsis, and had to undergo elective surgical necrosectomy, however, PCD helped in stabilizing these patients and reducing the septic load in the body for better surgical outcome. Rest of the 18 patients did not have a successful outcome on PCD; of these, 16 patients (C) had treatment failure on PCD then surgery was performed, and 2 patients (D) passed away with treatment failure on PCD and surgery. Moderate pancreatitis was observed in 102 patients and were managed with PCD and surgery was not avoided. Severe pancreatitis was observed in 24 patients, of those, 8 patients were stabilized with PCD and elective surgery was performed to remove non-liquefied infected phlegmon; 16 patients had treatment failure on PCD and had to undergo surgical necrosectomy; and 2 unfortunately had treatment failure on PCD and with surgery and died. The procedure-related complications were observed in six patients of which one had hemorrhage due to the catheter eroding the vessel and five had a pancreatico-cutaneous fistula. None of these complications were lethal. Pancreatico-enteric fistula developed in 3 patients and was not procedure related. They underwent surgical management. Of the total 126 patients, 110 patients were managed as outpatients with regular clinic visits. The major causes of acute pancreatitis were cholelithiasis; alcohol, ERCP, hypertriglyceridemia, autoimmune, trauma and idiopathic. The detailed results are listed in Tables 1, 2.

S. No.	Outcomes	Number of patients (n=126)	Percentage
A	Control of Sepsis and successful collection resolution on PCD	95	75.40%
В	Successful collection resolution on PCD and later Surgery	13	10.30%
С	Treatment failure on PCD and then Surgery	16	12.70%
D	Treatment failure on PCD and by Surgery, then Death	2	1.60%

Table 1. The study and the consecutive patients included in the work.

Table 2. CT Guided percutaneous drainage (PCD) in patients with pancreatitis according to the clinical and imaging parameters.

Parameters	Numbers
Organ failure	45
Average collection size	397 сс
Sterile/infected	27 sterile and 99 infected
CT severity index	8
Average time to PCD	25.5 days
Average days on PCD	26.4 days
Surgery required	27 patients
Interval in PCD and surgery	31.5 days
Death	2 patients
Hospital stay	18.4 days
Average number of procedures per patient	2.4
Total number of procedures	268
Total number of catheters used	254
Average size of catheters	26 G
Average number of catheters per patient	2

DISCUSSION

The mainstay of treatment of patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis traditionally has been surgical debridement, however, morbidity with surgery has been between 43%-89% and mortality between 9%-39% [5]. This high rate has been largely attributed to the presence of comorbid medical conditions, including multisystem organ failure, derived from a systemic response to pancreatitis. Despite the availability of several clinical (Ranson criteria, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score) and radiologic (Balthazar scoring system, modified CT severity index) grading systems, accurate prediction of outcome and best treatment of patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis remains difficult. The risk of infection increases with the duration of the disease, reaching a peak during the third week with an incidence rate of 71% [6, 7]. Clinical, biologic, and radiologic signs are not specific enough to reveal infection of the necrosis. Many recent studies suggested that routine laboratory parameters like blood urea nitrogen, hematocrit, procalcitonin, C-reactive protein, and creatinine have a significant association in predicting the occurrence of infected pancreatic necrosis secondary to necrotizing pancreatitis [8, 9]. Aspiration and culture can provide bacteriologic confirmation of infection with both high sensitivity and specificity [10]. It is generally accepted that, in IPN, the infected non-vital solid tissue has to be removed to control the sepsis. Surgical necrosectomy has been the preferred treatment of years, with very variable mortality rates ranging from 3% to 42% [11]. Common complications of necrotizing pancreatitis are a pancreatic abscess,

pancreatico-cutaneous fistula, pancreatico-enteric fistula, and hemorrhage. The pancreatic abscesses, can be treated with debridement and lavage. The pancreatico-cutaneous fistula results when the pancreatic secretions leak through the drain site or wound. The role of percutaneous catheter drainage in such cases is to help closure of fistula with drainage of fluid collection. Octreotide helps in reducing exocrine pancreatic secretions in cases of pseudocyst recurrence, pancreatic fistula from percutaneous drainage, or elective treatment to restrict pancreatic drainage [12, 13]. Pancreatico-enteric fistula, is leakage of pancreatic secretions into the bowl, either duodenum or colon. These can be managed with transpapillary stenting of disrupted pancreatic duct or surgery. Life-threatening hemorrhage into the gastrointestinal tract, retroperitoneum, or peritoneal cavity complicates acute pancreatitis in only 1–3% of patients. CT angiography and digital subtraction mesenteric angiography can detect the bleeding site in approximately 80% of cases and arterial embolization can achieve definite hemostasis in 35-50% and helps stabilize critically ill patients to permit elective surgery [14].

PCD of IPN is a well-recognized minimally invasive alternative treatment to primary surgical necrosectomy. Freeny *et al.* first described a homogeneous group of 34 patients exclusively treated by PCD for IPN using precise definitions of necrosis and infection. They recorded a clinical success rate of 47% and were able to identify multiorgan failure and central necrosis on CT as two predicting factors of poor response to catheter drainage [15]. The clinical success and mortality rely mainly and strongly on the commitment and the close cooperation among radiologists, surgeons, gastroenterologists, and intensivists who are willing to take care of such patients.

Image guided PCD has been found to be an effective alternative to surgical debridement in the treatment of selected patients with infected pancreatic necrosis. Wasler et al. has evaluated the clinical utility of CT-guided PCD in patients with sterile pancreatic necrosis and concluded no benefit in draining sterile collections versus simple aspiration, however, there is increased risk of bacterial colonization [16]. It is conceivable, however, that a patient with sterile necrosis whose condition continues to deteriorate despite aggressive supportive therapy may also benefit from percutaneous catheter drainage [17] (Figures 1, 2). In infected pancreatic collections, the most common gram-negative organism isolated was Escherichia Coli and the most common gram-positive organism was Enterococcus Faecium. Few patients had polymicrobial infection [18]. The types of organisms isolated are included in Table 3.



Figure 1. Coronal and axial CT images showing large collection along the pancreatic tail extending along the left paracolic gutter up to the pelvis with multiple air foci suggesting abscess formation (White sold arrow).



Figure 2. Coronal images of the same patient showing multiple pigtail drainage catheters (14 Fr) within the collection (White Solid arrows).

Table 3. The types of organisms.

S.No.	Gram Positive	Gram Negative	Fungus
1	Escherichia Coli	Enterococcus Faecium	Candida
2	Acinetobacter Spp.	Enterococcus Fecalis	
3	Pseudomonas Aeruginosa	Staphyloccus Aureus	
4	Klebsiella Pneumonia		
5	Proteus Spp.		
6	Citrobacter Spp.		

In our study, the sterile necrosis was found in 21% of patients and this did not correlate with patient outcome. This observation supports the hypothesis, substantiated by other investigators, that multisystem organ failure is a more reliable indicator of poor patient outcome and severity of severe pancreatitis than is the presence of infected necrosis [19]. Our study also had similar outcome with worse prognosis and multiple procedures in patients with severe pancreatitis secondary to multiorgan failure. These multiple procedures may be secondary to multiple loculated collections or persistent infected collections or slow liquefaction of the pancreatic necrosis and phlegmon not amenable to catheter drainage. Vigilant follow-up, including daily irrigation of pancreatic cavities with the assessment of the patient's vital signs, WBC count, and catheter drainage output is needed. Each catheter should be irrigated with sterile saline solution at least once daily. Abdominal CT should be performed periodically on the basis of clinical status and amount of drainage to check for residual and undrained fluid collections. The CT findings help determine adequate drainage, development of additional non-communicating collections or complications.

Several interventional therapy regimens, including endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and sphincterotomy, fine needle aspiration for bacteriology (FNAB), percutaneous or endoscopic drainage of peripancreatic fluid collections, pseudocysts, and late abscesses, as well as selective angiography and catheterdirected embolization of acute pancreatitis associated bleeding complications have been well established as diagnostic and therapeutic standards in the management of acute pancreatitis. The risk of infection increases with the extent of intrapancreatic and extrapancreatic necrosis [17]. The mortality for sterile necrosis is low and can usually be successfully treated by a conservative approach, although surgery might be required for late complications or persistent severe pancreatitis [20]. The mortality rate for patients with infected pancreatic necrosis is higher than 20%, and up to 80% of fatal outcomes in acute pancreatitis are due to septic complications [21]. Freeny et al. developed a technique of percutaneous drainage which not only drained infected necrosis passively but included necrosectomy by adding aggressive irrigation through large bore percutaneous catheters (28 F) [15]. Some centers described combined percutaneous retroperitoneal necrosectomy, fragmentation of necrotic pancreatic and peripancreatic tissue with a snare catheter and Dormia baskets, continuous lavage of the cavity, and repeated bronchoscopic visualization of the cavity to successfully treat and avoid surgery in a patient [22]. In our patient population large bore 20-32 F catheters were placed under CT guidance, with a retroperitoneal approach from the left side or the most accessible route. The necrotic and solid material was fragmented and removed actively with aspiration and irrigation of the cavity was performed using up to 2-3 liters of normal saline solution per day and additionally, manual aspiration of solid material was performed on a daily basis till the time patients were in the hospital (Figure 3). This helped in reducing the infected material from the abdominal cavity and in reducing the leucocytosis. Many times the catheters needed to be replaced during irrigation procedures as large chunks of infected fat necrosis would get occlude the catheters. The replacement of the catheters was easy as these chronic catheters had epithelized tracts which don't collapse easily. Similar methods have been described in the literature.

In patients with treatment failure with PCD, another method of treatment is with double catheter lavage along with percutaneous flexible endoscopic debridement which may prevent surgical debridement [23]. Some researchers suggested that endoscopic transmural drainage of walled off necrosis with multiple stents to be safe and effective in avoiding direct endoscopic necrosectomy [24, 25].

To our knowledge, our study is the largest reported to date, to assess the clinical utility of CT-guided percutaneous catheter drainage in patients with drainable symptomatic pancreatic necrosis. Our study had few limitations, including the retrospective nature, the variability of experience among the interventional radiologists and surgeons involved in the treatment of these patients. There is a concern regarding the hospital stay of these patients related to repeated procedures and subsequently an increase in the length of hospital stay with the minimally invasive procedures, however, we could manage most patients on an outpatient basis. Once the drain output reduces and sepsis is controlled, the patients were discharged with the drainage catheter connected to stoma bag for easy care at home. The patients were educated about the drain care and monitoring the drain output on a daily basis and advised to report to the emergency room if the drain output falls abruptly (suggesting drain blockage) or the collection becomes hemorrhagic or they develop signs of sepsis. The patients were followed with regular visits to the gastroenterology or interventional radiology clinic. In the clinic the dedicated drain care team would take care of dressing changes, suturing the dislodged drain sutures and monitor the output (steadily decreasing or increasing). We were able to manage 110 out of 126 patients on an outpatient basis with regular visits to the clinic. No complication related to outpatient management was reported.

CONCLUSION

Percutaneous catheter drainage is a safe and effective technique for treating infected acute necrotizing



Figure 3. Axial CT images showing pancreatic tail collection with large bore (28 Fr) catheter within it (Solid white arrow). Part of the Pigtail catheter (14 Fr) visualized in the anterior aspect of the collection (Hollow white arrow) used for irrigation purposes. Also visualized is the part of the nasojejunal tube in the duodenum (Solid black arrow).

pancreatitis. Appropriate selection of patients should be performed to maximize the benefit of percutaneous procedures. Treating necrotizing pancreatitis requires dedicated multidisciplinary team efforts from the physicians, surgeons, radiologist and the drain management team. Many patients can be managed on an outpatient basis reducing the hospital stay and the healthcare cost. The patient, the referring physician, and the radiologist must be ready to handle the number of catheters, the catheter changes, multiple imaging scans, and the duration of drainage.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interests to declare.

References

1. Banks PA, Freeman ML. Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Practice guidelines in acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101:2379-2400. [PMID: 17032204]

2. Pandol SJ, Saluja AK, Imrie CW, Panks PA. Acute pancreatitis: bench to the bedside. Gastroenterology 2007; 132:1127 -1151. [PMID: 17383433]

3. Thoeni RF. The Revised Atlanta Classification of Acute Pancreatitis: Its Importance for the Radiologist and Its Effect on Treatment. Radiology 2012; 262:751-764. [PMID: 22357880]

4. Bennett S, Lorenz JM. The role of imaging-guided percutaneous procedures in the multidisciplinary approach to treatment of pancreatic fluid collections. Semin Intervent Radiol 2012; 29:314-8. [PMID: 24293805]

5. Perez A, Whang EE, Brooks DC, Moore FD Jr, Hughes MD, Sica GT, et al. Is severity of necrotizing pancreatitis increased in extended necrosis and infected necrosis? Pancreas 2002; 25:229-233. [PMID: 12370532]

6. Madenci AL, Michailidou M, Chiou G, Thabet A, Fernández-del Castillo C, Fagenholz PJ. A contemporary series of patients undergoing open debridement for necrotizing pancreatitis. Am J Surg 2014; 208:324-31. [PMID: 24767969]

7. Beger HG. Surgical management of necrotizing pancreatitis. Surg Clin North Am 1989; 69:529–549. [PMID: 2658162]

8. Baudin G, Chassang M, Gelsi E, Novellas S, Bernardin G, Hébuterne X, et al. CT-guided percutaneous catheter drainage of acute infectious necrotizing pancreatitis: assessment of effectiveness and safety. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2012; 199:192-9. [PMID: 22733912]

9. Chen HZ, Ji L, Li L, Wang G, Bai XW, Cheng CD, Sun B. Early prediction of infected pancreatic necrosis secondary to necrotizing pancreatitis. Medicine 2017; 96(30). [PMID: 28746189]

10. Navalho M, Pires F, Duarte A, Goncalves A, Alexandrion P, Tavora I. Percutaneous drainage of infected pancreatitic fluid collections in critically ill patients: correlation with C-reactive protein values. Clin Imaging 2006; 30:114 -119. [PMID: 16500542]

11. Paye F, Rotman N, Radier C, Nouira R, Fagniez PL. Percutaneous aspiration for bacteriological studies in patients with necrotizing pancreatitis. Br J Surg 1998; 85:755–759. [PMID: 9667700]

12. Werner J, Feuerbach S, Uhl W, Buchler MW. Management of acute pancreatitis: from surgery to interventional intensive care. Gut 2005; 54:426–436. [PMID: 15710995]

13. vanSonnenberg E, Wittich GR, Casola G, Brannigan TC, Karnel F, Stabile BE, et al. Percutaneous drainage of infected and noninfected pancreatic pseudocysts: experience in 101 cases. Radiology 1989; 170:757-761. [PMID: 2644662]

14. D'Agostino HB, vanSonnenberg E, Sanchez RB, Goodacre BW, Villaveiran RG, Lyche K, et al. Treatment of pancreatic pseudocysts with percutaneous drainage and octreotide. Radiology1993; 187:685-688. [PMID: 8497614]

15. Beattie G, Hardman J, Redhead D, Siriwardena AK. Evidence for central role of selective mesenteric angiography in the management of the major vascular complications of pancreatitis. Am J Surg 2003; 185:96–102. [PMID: 12559436]

16. Freeny PC, Hauptmann E, Althaus SJ, Traverso LW, Sinanan M. Percutaneous CT-guided catheter drainage of infected acute necrotizing pancreatitis: techniques and results. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1998; 170:969–975. [PMID: 9530046]

17. Walser EM, Nealon WH, Marroquin S, Raza S, Hernandez JA, Vasek L. Sterile fluid collections in acute pancreatitis: catheter drainage versus simple aspiration. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2006; 29:102 -107. [PMID: 16283578]

18. Segal D, Mortelé KJ, Banks PA, Silverman SG. Acute necrotizing pancreatitis: role of CT-guided percutaneous catheter drainage. Abdom Imaging 2007; 32:351 -361. [PMID: 17502982]

19. Jain S, Mahapatra SJ, Gupta S, Garg PK. Infected Pancreatic Necrosis due to Multidrug-Resistant Organisms and Persistent Organ failure Predict Mortality in Acute Pancreatitis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2018; 9:190. [PMID: 30287818]

20. Connor S, Ghaneh P, Raraty M, Sutton R, Rosso E, Garvey CJ, et al. Minimally invasive retroperitoneal pancreatic necrosectomy. Dig Surg 2003; 20:270–7. [PMID: 12748429]

21. Buchler MW, Gloor B, Müller CA, Friess H, Seiler CA, Uhl W. Acute necrotizing pancreatitis: treatment strategy according to the status of infection. Ann Surg 2000; 232:619–26. [PMID: 11066131]

22. Gloor B, Müller C, Worni M, et al. Late mortality in patients with severe acute pancreatitis. Br J Surg 2001; 88:975–9. [PMID: 11442530]

23. Gmeinwieser J, Holstege A, Zirngibl H, Palitzsch KD, Hügl S, Strotzer M, et al. Successful percutaneous treatment of infected necrosis of the body of the pancreas associated with segmental disruption of the main pancreatic duct. Gastrointest Endosc 2000; 52:413–15. [PMID: 10968863]

24. Liu P, Song J, Ke HJ, Lv NH, Zhu Y, Zeng H, et al. Double-catheter lavage combined with percutaneous flexible endoscopic debridement for infected pancreatic necrosis failed to percutaneous catheter drainage. BMC Gastroenterol 2017; 17:155. [PMID: 29221438]

25. Rana SS, Sharma V, Sharma R, Gupta R, Bhasin DK. Endoscopic ultrasound guided transmural drainage of walled off pancreatic necrosis using a "step-up" approach: A single centre experience. Pancreatology 2017; 17:203-8. [PMID: 28202234]