Association between Traditional Chinese Medicine Use and Liver Cancer in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis: A Population-based Study

Chen YL¹, Lung CC^{1,2}, Huang JY¹, Nfor ON¹, Ko PC¹ and Liaw YP^{1,2*}

¹School of Public Health, Chung Shan Medical University, Tai-Chung, Taiwan

²Department of Family and Community Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Tai-Chung, Taiwan

Corresponding author: Liaw YP, Department of Public Health and Institute of Public Health, Chung Shan Medical University, No. 110, Section 1 Jianguo N. Road, Taichung City 40201, Taiwan, Tel: +886-4-24730022 ext. 11838; Fax: +886-4-23248179; E-mail: Liawyp@csmu.edu.tw

Received date: February 22, 2017; Accepted date: March 10, 2017; Published date: April 01, 2017

Citation: Liaw YP, Chen YL, Lung CC, et al. Association between Traditional Chinese Medicine Use and Liver Cancer in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis: A Population-based Study. J Cancer Epidemiol Prev. 2017, 2:1.

Abstract

Background

The global incidence and mortality of liver diseases is increasing. One of the major risk factors for liver cancer is the presence of liver cirrhosis. Traditional Chinese medicines (TCM) are being sought as alternative medicines for liver diseases. This study evaluated the association between traditional Chinese herbal medicine and liver cancer in patients with liver cirrhosis.

Methods

The analytical data were collected from the Longitudinal Health Insurance Databases (LHID2005). Samples included patients with liver cirrhosis who sought Traditional Chinese medicine from 2001-2004. Diagnosis of liver cancer was from 2004-2010 using the ICD 9 codes 155 and A code A095. The Chi-square (χ 2) test was used to test for associations while multivariate logistic regression was used to investigate the association between CM and liver cancer in cirrhotic patients. All statistics were analyzed using the SAS 9.2 software (Cary, NC, USA). Differences were considered significant at p-value< 0.05.

Results

From 2001-2004, a total of 8 145 individuals were identified with liver cirrhosis; 5 469 were men and 2 676 were women. Among the cirrhotic patients who sought TCM, 25.3% of men and 20.41% of women were identified with liver cancer. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed a significant odds ratio (OR) of 0.880 (95% CI 0.787-0.984) for liver cancer. However, when analyzed by gender, significant odds existed only in men (OR: 0.872, 95% CI 0.764-0.955).

Conclusion

There was a significant association between traditional Chinese medicine and liver cancer in men with cirrhosis.

Keywords: Cirrhosis; Liver cancer; Chinese herbal medicine

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the seventh most frequent cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Cirrhosis is the strongest predisposing factor for HCC. Approximately 80% of cases with HCC develop in cirrhotic liver [2]. The annual incidence of HCC in patients with and without cirrhosis is 2.0-6.6 and 0.4%, respectively [2]. Variceal bleeding is one of the commonest and most severe complications of liver cirrhosis. When cirrhosis is diagnosed, varices are present in about 30-40% of compensated patients and in 60% of those who present with ascites [3]. There is no effective medication to prevent the development of varices [4]. Liver transplantation (LT) may serve as the best treatment choice for varices [5,6]. However, shortage of donor organs is a serious well known limitation [7].

Because of the complexity and difficulty involved in the treatment of liver cirrhosis, many patients seek traditional Chinese Herbal medicine (an alternative treatment). A previous study has reported the therapeutic and beneficial effects of Chinese medicine on hepatic fibrosis [8]. Chinese Medicine has also been linked to hepatoprotective effect in cirrhotic patients [9]. However, studies to investigate the links between TCM and liver cancer among patients with liver cirrhosis using a large-scale database are limited. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the relationship between traditional Chinese herbal medicine and liver cancer in patients with liver cirrhosis.

Methods

The study data were collected from the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) which contains detailed clinical records of every patient on each visit. It also includes primary and secondary diagnostic codes as well as prescription orders. A randomized sample of 1,000,000 individuals were retrieved from the LHID2005. The database contained deidentified data hence, ethics approval was not required. Cirrhotic patients were either identified from the CD (ambulatory care expenditures by visit) or DD (inpatient expenditures by admission) datasets using the codes 571.2, 571.5, 571.6 and A code A347. The study samples included a total of 8145 cirrhotic patients: 4,509 who sought and 3,636 who did not seek TCM from 2001-2004). Excluded were individuals who were diagnosed with liver cancer from 2001 to 2004 and those who sought TCM during 2005-2010. Liver cancer diagnosis was from 2004-2010 using the ICD 9 code 155 and Acode A095.

Information about the demographic variables, insurance cost and geographical region is contained in the NHIRD.

Household income was categorized into four groups: family dependent, <\$20,000, \$20,000 to less than \$40,000, and \geq \$40,000. Insurance has been categorized into mainly six regions according to geographic and administrative districts: Taipei (Taipei City, Taipei County, Keelung City, Yilan County, Kinmen County, Lianjiang County), Northern (Taoyuan County, Hsinchu City, Hsinchu County, Miaoli County), Central (Taichung City, Taichung County, Changhua County, Nantou County), Southern (Tainan City, Tainan County, Chiayi City, Chiayi County, Yunlin County), Kao-Ping (Kaohsiung City, Kaohsiung County, Pingtung County, Penghu County), and Eastern region (Hualien County, Taitung County). Adjustments were made for socio-demographic characteristics (Table 1) as well as other potential confounders (hepatitis).

| | TCM from 2001-2 | :004 | No TCM from 2001- | No TCM from 2001-2004 | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| Variable | (n=4 509) | | (n=3 636) | (n=3 636) | | |
| | Number | % | Number | % | | |
| Age (2005) | 55.62 ± 15.47 | | 56.53 ± 16.11 | | 0.0095 | |
| Sex | | | | | <0.0001 | |
| Men | 2 749 | 60.97 | 2 720 | 74.81 | | |
| Women | 1 760 | 39.03 | 916 | 25.19 | | |
| Household income | | | | | <0.0001 | |
| Dependent | 1 107 | 24.55 | 800 | 22.00 | | |
| <20 000 | 2 303 | 51.08 | 2 107 | 57.95 | | |
| 20 000-39 999 | 691 | 15.32 | 449 | 12.35 | | |
| ≥ 40 000 | 408 | 9.05 | 280 | 7.70 | | |
| Geographic area | | | | | <0.0001 | |
| Taipei City | 1 284 | 28.48 | 965 | 26.54 | | |
| North | 544 | 12.06 | 504 | 13.86 | | |
| Central | 932 | 20.67 | 527 | 14.49 | | |
| South | 775 | 17.19 | 753 | 20.71 | | |
| Kaohsiung-Pingtung | 785 | 17.41 | 696 | 19.14 | | |
| East | 189 | 4.19 | 191 | 5.25 | | |
| Liver cancer | | | | | 0.0267 | |
| Yes | 1 042 | 23.11 | 917 | 25.55 | | |
| No | 3 467 | 76.89 | 2 719 | 74.78 | | |
| Chronic hepatitis | | | | | <0.0001 | |

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of patients who sought TCM (age \geq 30), age was mean ± S.E.

| Yes | 2 864 | 63.52 | 1 973 | 54.26 | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| No | 1 645 | 36.48 | 1 663 | 45.74 | |
| Hepatitis B | | | | | 0.0012 |
| Yes | 1 116 | 24.75 | 789 | 21.70 | |
| No | 3 393 | 75.25 | 2 847 | 78.30 | |
| Hepatitis C | | | | | <0.0001 |
| Yes | 954 | 21.16 | 624 | 17.16 | |
| No | 3 555 | 78.84 | 3 012 | 82.84 | |
| Alcoholic hepatitis | | | | | 0.9446 |
| Yes | 286 | 6.34 | 232 | 6.38 | |
| No | 4 223 | 93.66 | 3 404 | 93.62 | |

Statistical analysis

The study participants were categorized into \geq 18, 18-40, and \geq 40 age groups. T-test was used to analyze the age differences between individuals who received TCM and those who did not. Chi square test was used to assess the links between TCM and categorical variables while multivariate logistic regression was used to evaluate the relationship between TCM and liver cancer. All analyses were made using the SAS software (version 9.2, Cary, NC, USA). Differences were considered significant at p-value<0.05.

Results

A total of 8,145 cirrhotic individuals aged 30 years and older were enrolled in this study. The mean age of cirrhotic men who sought TCM treatment was significantly less than that of those who never sought any treatment (p<0.0001) as shown in **Table 2.**

About 25.03% of cirrhotic men who sought TCM and 26.78% of those who never sought TCM were diagnosed with liver cancer. As shown in **Table 3**, the mean age of cirrhotic women who sought TCM treatment was significantly lower than that of those who did not (p<0.0001).

About 20.11% of cirrhotic men who sought TCM and 20.41% of those who never sought TCM were diagnosed with liver cancer. Results of multivariate logistic regression models stratified by gender and adjusted for insurance cost, geographical region and related confounders (hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, chronic hepatitis, alcoholic hepatitis) are shown in **Table 4**. In the first model, the odds ratio of developing liver cancer in cirrhotic patients who sought TCM was (OR 0.880, 95% CI 0.787-0.984). Further analysis showed significant odds ratio only in men (0.872, 95% CI 0.764-0.955).

Table 2 Demographic characteristics of cirrhotic men who sought TCM (age \ge 30), age was mean ± S.E.

| Variable | TCM from 2001-2004 | No TCM from 2001- | 2004 | P-value | |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | (n=2 749) | (n=2 749) | | | r-value |
| | Number | % | Number | % | |
| Age (2005) | 52.61 ± 15.06 | | 54.55 ± 15.54 | | <0.0001 |
| Household income | | | | | |
| Dependent | 473 | 17.21 | 452 | 16.62 | <0.0001 |
| <20,000 | 1 477 | 53.73 | 1 656 | 60.88 | |
| 20,000-39,999 | 450 | 16.37 | 368 | 13.53 | |
| ≥ 40,000 | 349 | 12.70 | 244 | 8.97 | |
| Geographic area | | | | | <0.0001 |
| Taipei City | 789 | 28.70 | 715 | 26.29 | |
| North | 333 | 12.11 | 395 | 14.52 | |
| Central | 570 | 20.73 | 416 | 15.29 | |

| South | 428 | 15.57 | 533 | 19.60 | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Kaohsiung-Pingtung | 505 | 18.37 | 525 | 19.30 | |
| East | 124 | 4.51 | 136 | 5.00 | |
| Liver cancer | | | | | 0.1265 |
| Yes | 688 | 25.03 | 730 | 26.84 | |
| No | 2 061 | 74.97 | 1 990 | 73.16 | |
| Chronic hepatitis | | | | | <0.0001 |
| Yes | 1 745 | 63.48 | 1 469 | 54.01 | |
| No | 1 004 | 36.52 | 1 251 | 45.99 | |
| Hepatitis B | | | | | 0.0069 |
| Yes | 736 | 26.77 | 642 | 23.60 | |
| No | 2 013 | 73.23 | 2 078 | 76.40 | |
| Hepatitis C | | | | | 0.0694 |
| Yes | 467 | 16.99 | 413 | 15.18 | |
| No | 2 282 | 83.01 | 2 307 | 84.82 | |
| Alcoholic hepatitis | | | | | 0.1311 |
| Yes | 255 | 9.28 | 221 | 8.13 | |
| No | 2 494 | 90.72 | 2 499 | 91.88 | |

Table 3 Demographic characteristics of cirrhotic women who sought TCM (age>30), age was mean ± S.E.

| | TCM from 2001-200 | 4 | No TCM from 2001-2 | No TCM from 2001-2004 | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| Variable | (n=1760) | | (n=916) | (n=916) | | |
| | Number | % | Number | % | | |
| Age (2005) | 60.30 ± 14.93 | | 62.42 ± 16.32 | | 0.0011 | |
| Household income | | | | | 0.0034 | |
| Dependent | 634 | 36.02 | 348 | 37.99 | | |
| <20 000 | 826 | 46.93 | 451 | 49.24 | | |
| 20,000-39,999 | 241 | 13.69 | 81 | 8.84 | | |
| ≥ 40 000 | 59 | 3.35 | 36 | 3.93 | | |
| Geographic area | | | | | <0.0001 | |
| Taipei City | 495 | 28.13 | 250 | 27.29 | | |
| North | 211 | 11.99 | 109 | 11.90 | | |
| Central | 362 | 20.57 | 111 | 12.12 | | |
| South | 347 | 19.75 | 220 | 24.02 | | |
| Kaohsiung-Pingtung | 280 | 15.91 | 171 | 18.67 | | |
| East | 65 | 3.69 | 55 | 6.00 | | |
| Liver cancer | | | | | 0.8539 | |
| Yes | 354 | 20.11 | 187 | 20.41 | | |

| No | 1 406 | 79.89 | 729 | 79.59 | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|---------|
| Chronic hepatitis | | | | | <0.0001 |
| Yes | 1.119 | 63.58 | 504 | 55.02 | |
| No | 641 | 36.42 | 412 | 44.98 | |
| Hepatitis B | | | | | 0.0006 |
| Yes | 380 | 21.59 | 147 | 16.05 | |
| No | 1.380 | 78.41 | 769 | 83.95 | |
| Hepatitis C | | | | | 0.0096 |
| Yes | 487 | 27.67 | 211 | 23.03 | |
| No | 1.273 | 72.33 | 705 | 76.97 | |
| Alcoholic hepatitis | | | | | 0.2684 |
| Yes | 31 | 1.76 | 11 | 1.20 | |
| No | 1.729 | 98.24 | 905 | 98.80 | |

Table 4 Risk of liver cancer in cirrhotic patients who sought TCM, adjusted for age, sex, household income and region.

| Variable | Total | Total | | Men | | Women | |
|--------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|--|
| | O.R | 95% C.I | O.R | 95% C.I | O.R | 95% C.I | |
| TCM in 2001-2004 | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 0.880 | 0.787-0.984 | 0.872 | 0.764-0.955 | 0.893 | 0.722-1.104 | |
| No | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | |
| Age (2005) | 1.027 | 1.022-1.031 | 1.028 | 1.023-1.033 | 1.022 | 1.013-1.031 | |
| Sex | | | | | | | |
| Male | 1.000 | - | | | | | |
| Female | 1.937 | 1.705-2.200 | | | | | |
| Household income | | | | | | | |
| Dependent | 1.189 | 1.035-1.366 | 1.355 | 1.132-1.622 | 0.936 | 0.751-1.167 | |
| <20 000 | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | |
| 20,000-39,999 | 1.184 | 0.999-1.403 | 1.308 | 1.077-1.589 | 0.839 | 0.585-1.203 | |
| ≥ 40 000 | 1.089 | 0.884-1.343 | 1.187 | 0.949-1.484 | 0.607 | 0.301-1.222 | |
| Geographic area | | | | | | | |
| Taipei City | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | |
| North | 0.890 | 0.740-1.070 | 0.960 | 0.772-1.194 | 0.719 | 0.506-1.022 | |
| Central | 0.903 | 0.764-1.068 | 0.918 | 0.750-1.124 | 0.880 | 0.653-1.186 | |
| South | 0.940 | 0.797-1.108 | 1.055 | 0.863-1.289 | 0.718 | 0.535-0.962 | |
| Kaohsiung-Pingtung | 1.004 | 0.852-1.183 | 1.150 | 0.947-1.396 | 0.710 | 0.519-0.970 | |
| East | 0.549 | 0.398-0.757 | 0.540 | 0.366-0.795 | 0.588 | 0.332-1.041 | |
| Co-morbidity | | | | | | | |
| Chronic hepatitis | 1.173 | 1.041-1.322 | 1.085 | 0.942-1.250 | 1.417 | 1.131-1.776 | |

| Hepatitis B | 2.696 | 2.386-3.046 | 3.111 | 2.692-3.595 | 1.802 | 1.424-2.282 |
|---------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| Hepatitis C | 2.491 | 2.186-2.839 | 2.384 | 2.018-2.817 | 2.642 | 2.138-3.265 |
| Alcoholic hepatitis | 0.431 | 0.317-0.585 | 0.475 | 0.348-0.649 | <0.001 | - |

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first nationwide populationbased study to evaluate the relationship between liver cirrhosis, Chinese traditional medicine and liver cancer. Cirrhotic men who received TCM had a significantly lower risk of liver cancer. The risk was also lower but insignificant in their female counterparts. There may have been an interaction effect of TCM and hormones on liver cirrhosis. Future studies would help to clarify such interactions. In Taiwan, liver cancer accounts for more than 28% of total deaths [10]. The annual standardized mortality incidence increased from 22.7/100 000 in 1991 to 26.2/100 000 in 2009 [10]. The majority of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) worldwide have underlying cirrhosis [11]. Hepatocellular carcinoma occurs at a rate of 1 to 4% per year after cirrhosis is established [12] while cirrhosis underlies HCC in approximately 80%-90% of cases worldwide [13]. Preventing the development of liver cancer in patients with liver cirrhosis is an important public health issue.

In Taiwan, chronic hepatitis B and C are the leading causes of liver cirrhosis [14-16]. About 95% of liver cirrhosis is caused by both B and C types of hepatitis viruses. Other causes include alcohol, chronic hepatitis, and persistence [14]. In this study, adjustments were made for potential confounders such as hepatitis B, C, alcohol and chronic hepatitis. HCC was significantly lower in cirrhotic patients who had received TCM. The mean age of cirrhotic men who were exposed to TCM was below that of their non-exposed counterparts. Significant association between traditional Chinese medicine and liver cancer was found only in men. More studies that take into account gender disparity are essential.

This study has some limitations. First, no specific Chinese medicine was included in the model. Second, there is a dearth of information about the stage of liver cirrhosis. Third, the NHIRD contain records of different scientifically processed Chinese herbal medications whose herbal preparations range from a single to multi-ingredient preparations. This makes it difficult to determine how frequently the patients were taking the medications. Finally, adjustments were not made for potential behavioral confounders such as smoking and drinking.

Conclusion

There was a significant association between traditional Chinese medicine and liver cancer in men with cirrhosis.

Conflict of Interest

Authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgments

Authors express sincere thanks to Chung Shan Medical University and the entire team that assisted in realizing this work.

References

- Ferlay J, Shin H, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, et al. (2008) Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC Cancer Base No. 10, Lyon, GLOBOCAN, France. IARC, p: 2.
- Llovet JM, Burroughs A, Bruix J (2003) Hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 362: 31907-31917.
- D'Amico G (2004) Esophageal varices: from appearance to rupture; natural history and prognostic indicators, Portal hypertension in the 21st century, pp: 147-154.
- 4. Garcia-Tsao G (2007) Preventing the development of varices in cirrhosis. J clinic gastro 41: 300-304.
- Iwatsuki S, Starzl TE, Todo S, Gordon RD, Tzakis AG, et al. (1988) Liver transplantation in the treatment of bleeding esophageal varices. Surg 104:697.
- Reyes J, Iwatsuki S (1991) Current management of portal hypertension with liver transplantation. Adv surg 25: 189-208.
- Orloff MJ, Isenberg JI, Wheeler HO, Haynes KS, Brook JH, et al. (2010) Liver transplantation in a randomized controlled trial of emergency treatment of acutely bleeding esophageal varices in cirrhosis. Trans proc, pp: 4101-4108.
- Wang P, Liang YZ (2010) Chemical composition and inhibitory effect on hepatic fibrosis of Danggui Buxue Decoction. Phytothe 81: 793-798.
- Huseini HF, Alavian S, Heshmat R, Heydari M, Abolmaali K (2005) The efficacy of Liv-52 on liver cirrhotic patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled first approach. Phytomed 12: 619-624.
- 10. Health Do Standardized cancer mortality from Department of Health, R.O.C. Department of Health, Taiwan.
- 11. Simonetti RG, Camma C, Fiorello F, Politi F, D'Amico G, et al. (1991) Hepatocellular carcinoma- A worldwide problem and the major risk factors. Digest dis and sci 36: 962-972.
- 12. Bisceglie AM (1997) Hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 26: 34-38.
- 13. Fattovich G, Stroffolini T, Zagni I, Donato F (2004) Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: incidence and risk factors. Gastroenterol 127: 35-50.
- 14. Liaw YF, Tai DI, Chu CM, Chen TJ (1988) The development of cirrhosis in patients with chronic type B hepatitis: a prospective study. Hepatol 8: 493-496.
- 15. Kao JH, Tsai SL, Chen PJ, Yang PM, Sheu JC, et al. (1994) A clinicopathologic study of chronic non-A, non-B (type C) hepatitis in Taiwan: comparison between posttransfusion and sporadic patients. J hepatol 21: 244-249.

Vol.2 No.1:2

16. Sung JL (1984) Hepatitis B virus infection and its sequelae in Taiwan. J Gastroenterol 19: 363-366.