



Assessment of Renal Parameters Following Combined Administration of Antihypertensive, Hypolipidemic and Hypoglycemic Drugs

Rafeeq Alam Khan, Afshan Siddiq* and Sadia Ghousia Baig

Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270 Pakistan

Date of Receipt- 25/11/2014
Date of Revision- 03/12/2014
Date of Acceptance- 26/01/2015

Address for Correspondence

Department of
Pharmacology, Faculty
of Pharmacy,
University of Karachi,
Karachi 75270
Pakistan.

E-mail: afshanpharma@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Blood pressure, serum cholesterol and glucose levels are the age associated risk factors necessary to screen for controlling cardiovascular disorder. Multiple mediators are involved in pathogenesis of disease by different mechanisms. To target those pathological conditions multiple medicines yield is better therapeutic approach. Multi drug therapy for the management of multiple disorders among younger has different strategy than in elderly as many drugs may be less effective or less suitable for elderly patients. To minimize the risk of multiple drug administration associated toxicity treatment plans should to adjust accordingly.

Objectives: Drug interactions are of great concern because doctors and patients are usually unaware of toxicities due to simultaneous administration of drugs. Investigate of safe combinations should be necessary approach which are less likely to interact with each other. Several studies have been conducted previously to observe the toxic effects associated with the use of numerous combinations.

Method: In present study toxic effects on renal function were assessed following administration of individual and combination of drugs to rabbits for the period of two months. Renal function tests were done by using kit method and all values were compared with control by taking mean and standard error to the mean using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc.

Results: Results of this study revealed that animals received individual drugs acarbose and glibenclamide and combination of metformin-amlodipine and atorvastatin showed significant decrease ($p < 0.005$) in urea as compared to control rabbits. However animals received individual drugs lisinopril and losartan and combination of glibenclamide, losartan and Atorvastatin showed significant increase ($p < 0.005$) in urea as compared to control animals.

Conclusion: Present study revealed significant nephrotoxicity with

poor kidney function in animals received combination of glibenclamide, losartan and atorvastatin, hence it may be suggested that this combination should be avoided in patients with compromised renal function and if is necessary than should be taken under proper medical supervision.

Keywords: Nephrotoxicity, Rhabdomyolysis, Interstitium, Thrombotic microangiopathy.

INTRODUCTION

Multiple drug administration is very common practice which sometimes induces significant toxic effects on the vital organ; hence present study was designed to determine cumulative toxicities of multiple drug administration. It has been seen that the incident of drug induced nephrotoxicity is more common in elderly patients as compare to younger and 20 percent of patient suffers from drug-induced renal failure¹⁻². Patients suffering from multiple disorders like diabetes, hyperlipidemia and cardiovascular disorder are at higher risk of nephrotoxicity since exposed to multiple medications. Renal impairment is usually reversible after discontinuation of medication as stated in table 1³⁻⁴.

Drug induced nephrotoxicity

The different mechanism of drug induced toxicity includes tubular cell toxicity, rhabdomyolysis, altered intra-glomerular hemodynamics crystal nephropathy, inflammation and thrombotic microangiopathy⁴⁻⁶. Complete knowledge about the medicine in use is essential for the prevention of associated renal toxicities⁷. Table 1 shows different drugs categories along with type of renal damage induce by them⁸.

Altered intra-glomerular hemodynamics

The normal glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is about 120 ml per minutes. Urinary output and GFR of kidney are managed

through afferent and efferent arterial pressure. Renal perfusion is depending upon PGs since dilate the arteries which allow more blood to flow through glomerulus⁹.

All the drugs which alter circulating PGs can indirectly affect the inter-glomerular hemodynamics and urine output⁴.

Tubular cell toxicity

Renal proximal tubules are responsible for reabsorbing glomerular filtrate and it is the more sensitive part to the toxic effect of drugs. Drugs usually impair mitochondrial function and interfere with tubular transport mechanism by forming free radicals¹⁰.

Inflammation

Inflammatory changes in the glomerulus, renal tubular cells and interstitium leading to fibrosis and scarring¹¹.

Crystal nephropathy

Renal function impairment may results due to formation of drugs precipitate which make hurdle in urine flow. These crystals are insoluble therefore affect urinary output^{3,12}.

Rhabdomyolysis

Myoglobin and creatine kinase released during skeletal muscle injury cause

tubular obstruction and alteration in filtration rate resulting renal impairment¹³⁻¹⁵.

Thrombotic microangiopathy

In thrombotic microangiopathy a platelet thrombi form in result of organ damage which moves in microcirculation and damage the renal system due to immune mediated reaction¹⁶. (See table 1.)

Risk factors in drug-induced renal impairment

Renal impairment due to drug occurs in some patients only in specific situation it is therefore necessary to take preventive strategies before prescribing a drug having potential to induce nephrotoxicity¹⁹. Following risk factors must be considered in patients before prescribing drugs²⁰.

- Age of the patient (all nephrotoxic have common risk at age older than 60 years)
- Other illnesses (e.g. Diabetes, Heart failure, Hypertension etc.) altered renal function
- Multiple exposure to nephrotoxic substances
- Underlying renal insufficiency where GFR is lower than 60 ml/min
- Inherently nephrotoxic drugs (aminoglycoside, amphotericin B, Cisplatin and dyes).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal selection and dosing

The study was carried out on hundred ten white, healthy male rabbits weighing from 1000-1500 grams. The study was conducted with the approval of Departmental Ethical committee (Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy). Animals were divided in eleven groups, each containing 10 animals. Apparent health of these animals was monitored during the conditioning period under the laboratory environment for a week before administration of drug specifically

noticing loss of hair, diarrhea, edema, ulceration and lack of activity²¹. Rabbits were housed in cages individually, under controlled condition of temperature $23\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Diet and water was provided ad libitum²². Ten groups of animals served as test groups and one group served as Control which was given normal saline equivalent to the volume of respective doses according to their body weight²³.

The dose was given once a day every morning according to the body weight of animals through oral route for 60 days (See table 2).

Blood samples of 5 ml were collected at the end of dosing period i.e. 60 days from heart through cardiac puncture in gel tube for biochemical assays of renal parameters stated below.

- **(a) Urea:** Urea in the serum was estimated by enzymatic colorimetric test²⁴.
- **(b) Creatinine:** Creatinine in the serum was estimated by Jaffe reaction method, photometric colorimetric test for endpoint measurement of creatinine^{25,26}.

RESULTS

Table 3 shows the comparison of urea and creatinine levels among control animals and animals kept on individual drugs and their combinations for a period of 60.

Animals kept on acarbose and glibenclamide revealed highly significant decrease in the levels of urea i.e. 16.66 ± 0.21 mg/dl and 24.40 ± 1.20 mg/dl with respect to control i.e. 51.75 ± 2.75 mg/dl respectively. While animals kept on lisinopril and losartan revealed highly significant increase in the levels of urea i.e. 87.31 ± 1.30 mg/dl and 92.24 ± 2.43 mg/dl with respect to control. However, the animals did not show any significant change in the creatinine level. Conversely the animals kept on metformin, atorvastatin and amlodipine alone did not

revealed any significant alteration in both urea and creatinine level.

Animals received GILAt combination did not reveal any significant alteration in renal parameters at the completion of dosing. On the contrary animals kept on GLoAt and MAAt combination revealed highly significant increase and significant decrease in the level of urea i.e. 103.02 ± 2.15 mg/dl and 38.54 ± 1.31 mg/dl respectively as compare to control. However there was no significant change in the levels of creatinine in these animals. (See table 3.)

CONCLUSION

Present study did not reveal any significant nephrotoxicity in animals received metformin, atorvastatin, amlodipine alone as well as acarbose-lisinopril-atorvastatin (GILAt) in combination with respect to control. However, animal received acarbose, glibenclamide, lisinopril and losartan alone as well as GLoAt combination showed highly significant increase in urea with respect to control. Rise in serum urea might be an indication of azotemia or poor kidney function. This effect might be the result of accumulation of nephrotoxic metabolite of these drugs which at certain concentration tends to precipitate in crystalline form in the renal tubule. Although animals received losartan and atorvastatin alone showed moderate vascular congestion upon microscopic examination of the renal tissue.

Result of present study reveals that the combination of acarbose, lisinopril and atorvastatin has been found to be safe than all other combinations, since it has found to be least damaging. However further investigation are necessary to reach at definite conclusion.

REFERENCES

1. Choudhury D and Ahmed Z (2006). Drug-associated renal dys-

function and injury. *Nat Clin Pract Nephrol.* 2(2):80–91.

2. Kaboli PJ, Hoth AB, McClimon BJ and Schnipper, JL (2006). Clinical pharmacists and inpatient medical care: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med.* 166 (9): 955–964.
3. Perazella MA (2003). Drug-induced renal failure: update on new medications and unique mechanisms of nephrotoxicity. *Am J Med Sci.* 325 (6): 349–362.
4. Schoolwerth AC, Sica DA, Ballermann BJ and Wilcox CS (2001). Renal considerations in angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor therapy: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease and the Council for High Blood Pressure Research of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 104 (16): 1985–1991.
5. Pisoni R, Ruggenti P and Remuzzi G (2001). Drug-induced thrombotic micro-angiopathy: incidence, prevention and management. *Drug Saf.* 24 (7): 491–501.
6. Manor SM, Guillory GS and Jain SP (2004). Clopidogrel-induced thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura-hemolytic uremic syndrome after coronary artery stenting. *Pharmacotherapy.* 24 (5): 664–667.
7. Committee on the Roles of Academic Health Centers in the 21st Century; Kohn LT, ed. *Academic Health Centers: Leading Change in the 21st Century.* Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2004.
8. McCullough PA, Adam A., Becker CR, et al., (2006). The CIN Consensus Working Panel. Risk prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 98 (6A): 27K–36K.
9. Davidson MB, Thakkar S, Hix JK, Bhandarkar ND, Wong A and

- Schreiber MJ (2004). Pathophysiology, clinical consequences and treatment of tumor lysis syndrome. *Am J Med.* 116 (8): 546–554.
10. Coco TJ, Klasner AE (2004). Drug-induced Rhabdomyolysis. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 16 (2): 206–210.
 11. Merten GJ, Burgess WP, Gray LV, Holleman JH, Roush TS, Kowalchuk GJ, Bersin RM, Van Moore A, Simonton CA, Rittase RA, Nortan HJ and Kennedy TP. (2004). Prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy with sodium bicarbonate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 291(19): 2328–2334.
 12. Stevens LA, Coresh J, Greene T and Levey AS. (2006). Assessing kidney function—measured and estimated glomerular filtration rate. *N Engl J Med.* 354 (23): 2473–2483.
 13. Graham DJ, Staffa JA, Shatin D, Andrade SE, Schesh SD, La Grenade L, Gurwitz JH, Chan KA, Goodman MJ and Platt R (2004). Incidence of hospitalized rhabdomyolysis in patients treated with lipid-lowering drugs. *JAMA.* 292 (21): 2585–2590.
 14. Huerta-Alardín A.L, Varon J, Marik PE. (2005). Bench-to-bedside review: Rhabdomyolysis-an overview for clinicians. *Crit Care.* 9 (2): 158–169.
 15. Prendergast BD and George CF (1993). Drug-induced Rhabdomyolysis-mechanisms and management. *Postgrad Med J.* 69 (811): 333–336.
 16. Zakarija A. and Bennett C (2005). Drug-induced thrombotic microangiopathy. *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis.* 31 (6): 681–690.
 17. Briguori C, Airoidi F, D'Andrea D, Bonizzoni E, Morici N, Focaccio A, Michev I, Montorfano M, Carlino M, Cosgrave J, Ricciardelli B and Colombo A. (2007). Renal Insufficiency Following Contrast Media Administration Trial (Remedial): a randomized comparison of 3 preventive strategies. *Circulation.* 115 (10): 1211–1217.
 18. Leblanc M, Kellum JA, Gibney RT, Lieberthal W, Tumlin, J., Mehta, R. (2005). Risk factors for acute renal failure: inherent and modifiable risks. *Curr Opin Crit Care.* 11 (6): 533–536.
 19. Barrett BJ and Parfrey PS (2006). Clinical practice Preventing nephropathy induced by contrast medium. *N Engl J Med.* 354 (4): 379–386.
 20. Schrier RW and Wang W (2004). Acute renal failure and sepsis. *N Engl J Med.* 351 (2): 159–169.
 21. Podberscek A.L, Blackshaw, JK and Beattie, AW (1991). The behavior of group penned and individual caged laboratory Rabbits. *Applied Animal Behavior Science.* 28: 353-356.
 22. Brooks DL, Huls W, Leamon C, Thomson J, Parker J. and Twomey S (1993). Cage enrichment for female New Zealand White rabbits. *Laboratory Animals,* 22: 30-35.
 23. Shah AK, Brundage RC, Gratwöh A and Sawchuk RJ (1992). Pharmacokinetic model for subcutaneous absorption of cyclosporine in the rabbit during chronic treatment. *J. Pharm. Sci.,* 81 (6): 491-5.
 24. Fawcett JK and Scott JE (1960). A rapid and precise method for the determination of urea. *Journal of clinical pathology.* 13 (12): 156-159.
 25. Popper H, Mandel H. and Mayer HZ (1937). Kreatinin-bestimmung in Blute. *Biochemische Zeitschrift.,* 291: 354-357.
 26. Bartels H and Boehmer M (1971). Micro-determination of creatinine. *Clinicachimica Acta.* 32 (1): 81-85.
 27. Anders MW (2011). Putting bio activation reactions to work: Targeting antioxidants to mitochondria, *Chemico-Biological Interactions.* 192 (1-2), 8-13.

Table 1. Drugs induced nephrotoxicity^{17,18}

Drug category	Name of drugs	Renal damage
Analgesics	Acetaminophen	Chronic interstitial nephritis
	Aspirin	Chronic interstitial nephritis
	NSAIDs	Acute interstitial nephritis, Glomerulonephritis
Antidepressants	Amitriptyline	Rhabdomyolysis
	Fluoxetine	Rhabdomyolysis
	Lithium	Chronic interstitial nephritis, glomerulonephritis, rhabdomyolysis
Antihistamines	Doxylamine	Rhabdomyolysis
	Diphenhydramine	Rhabdomyolysis
Antimicrobials	Aminoglycosides	Acute interstitial nephritis, crystal nephropathy
	Penicillin's, cephalosporins	Tubular cell toxicity
	Sulfonamides	Acute interstitial nephritis
Chemotherapeutics	Cisplatin	Chronic interstitial nephritis, tubular cell toxicity
	Methotrexate	Crystal nephropathy
	Interferon-alfa (intron a)	Glomerulonephritis
Cardiovascular agents	Ace inhibitors	Altered intra-glomerular hemodynamics
	Ticlopidine	Thrombotic microangiopathy
	Statins	Rhabdomyolysis
Diuretics	Triamterene	Crystal nephropathy
	Loops	Acute interstitial nephritis
	Thiazides	Acute interstitial nephritis
Proton pump inhibitors	Omeprazole	Acute interstitial nephritis
	Lansoprazole	Acute interstitial nephritis
	Pantoprazole	Acute interstitial nephritis
Miscellaneous	Ranitidine	Acute interstitial nephritis
	Zoledronate	Tubular cell toxicity
	Pamidronate	Glomerulonephritis

Table 2. Description of groups and doses

Groups	Name of Drugs
Control	Normal Saline for control
1	Acarbose
2	Glibenclamide
3	Metformin
4	Lisinopril
5	Losartan
6	Atorvastatin
7	Amlodipine
8	Acarbose + Lisinopril + Atorvastatin (GILAt)
9	Glibenclamide + Losartan + Atorvastatin (GLoAt)
10	Metformin + Amlodipine + Atorvastatin (MAAt)

Table 3. Comparison of renal parameters after 60 days administration of individual drugs and combinations

Parameters/Groups	Urea (mg/dl)	Creatinine (mg/dl)
Control	51.75±2.75	1.45±0.25
Acarbose	16.66±0.21**	1.35±0.54
Glibenclamide	24.40±1.20**	1.59±1.56
Metformin	50.32±2.01	1.68±2.43
Lisinopril	87.31±1.30**	1.20±0.01
Losartan	92.24±2.43**	1.38±1.87
Atorvastatin	52.64±2.12	1.04±1.32
Amlodipine	43.04±1.31	1.32±0.04
GILAt	49.88±4.13	0.71±0.37
GLoAt	103.02±2.15**	0.74±0.67
MAAt	38.54±1.31*	1.10±1.04

n=10

Mean ± S.E.M

*p < 0.05 significant with respect to control

**p < 0.005 highly significant with respect to control.