# An Insight into the Role of Human Pancreatic Lithostathine

Louis Patard<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Yves Lallemand<sup>2</sup>, Véronique Stoven<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Technische Universität München. Garching, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, CNRS. Gif-sur-Yvette, France. <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de RMN des Biomolécules, Institut Pasteur. Paris, France

#### **Summary**

Human lithostathine was initially isolated from pancreatic stones in patients with alcoholic calcifying chronic pancreatitis. It is secreted into the pancreatic juice where it was believed to inhibit stone formation. The Nterminal undecapeptide was assumed to play an important role in the mechanism, by adsorption to the crystal surface. Later, the role of lithostathine in calcite formation and growth was questioned, together with the associated mechanism of action. In particular, although lithostathine adsorbs on calcite crystal, this property does not now seem to be Moreover, specific. the N-terminal undecapeptide is not likely to have, by itself, the function of the entire protein. The different aspects of this controversy are reviewed and discussed, particularly in the recent structural light of biology. Comparative biological data now available allow us to draw an interesting parallel between lithostathine and other related proteins. Finally, lithostathine might affect stone formation and may also have another function which could be investigated in the other proteins belonging to the same structural family.

#### Introduction

Human pancreatic lithostathine is encoded by *reg* gene (regenerating gene) [1], is located on the short arm of chromosome 2 in 2p12 [2],

spans about 3,000 base pairs and is composed of six exons [3]. It is encoded as a 166residue preprotein, with a 22 residue Nterminal signal sequence [4]. Lithostathine is a soluble 144 amino acid glycoprotein with three disulfide bridges, existing under eleven isoforms (17-22 kDa) and accounts for 5 to 10% of the secreted proteins [5]. Lithostathine S2-5 corresponds to the four isoforms distinguishable by SDS-PAGE [6] and was believed to inhibit calcite crystal growth [7] and, therefore, stone formation, a property from which it takes its present name [8]. A non-glycosylated and cleaved form (trypsin-like cleavage between Arg 11 and Ile 12), lithostathine S1, is also observable in the pancreatic juice collected in the absence of proteolytic enzyme inhibitors. Lithostathine S1 was independently discovered by Gross et al. in human [9] and bovine [10] pancreatic secretions and called pancreatic thread protein because of its ability to form fibrilla at a neutral pH. Historically, lithostathine C was initially isolated as the major proteic component of the pancreatic stone in patients with alcoholic calcifying chronic pancreatitis and was consequently called pancreatic stone protein [11]. It shares the same polypeptidic chain with lithostathine S1. In vitro trypsin hydrolysis products of lithostathine S2-5 are called lithostathine H1 (133 amino acids (N-terminal peptide). and H2 1-11 undecapeptide), (Figure 1) [12]. Therefore, it appears that lithostathine C, S1, and H1 are different names found in the literature for the same protein. Finally, the Reg protein is also



**Figure 1.** The different forms of human lithostathine. Lithostathine S2-5 is the generic name of the eleven glycosylated isoforms with 144 amino acids of lithostathine secreted by pancreatic acinar cells in the pancreatic juice. The *in vivo* trypsic-like hydrolysis of the Arg11 – Ile12 bond of the protein generates lithostathine S1 with 133 amino acids, the *in vitro* one lithostathine H1 and an N-terminal glycosylated undecapeptide (lithostathine H2). Lithostathine C is the 133 residue-isoform isolated from the pancreatic stones. (the zig-zag line represents the glycosidic chain on the Thr 5) (adapted from [13]).

another name found for lithostathine, as the gene product of the *reg* gene. This gene was discovered to be expressed in regenerating liver or regenerating islets in the pancreas, but not in the equivalent normal tissues [4]. The function of the Reg protein is not fully understood. It could stimulate the regeneration and/or growth of pancreatic beta-cells [13].

The historical circumstances of the discovery of proteins in pancreatic stones have influenced researches on the properties of proteins. Early studies have focused on a role in the pathological modifications observed in the pancreas during alcoholic calcifying chronic pancreatitis. Sarles *et al.* [14] suggested that lithostathine would prevent pancreatic stone formation by inhibiting calcite crystal nucleation and growth in the pancreatic juice.

However, this property is now very controversial and the specificity of lithostathine with respect to this function is now being questioned [15, 16]. Lithostathine could even promote the nucleation of calcite crystals, generating many small crystals that can be easily washed out by the juice flow [17]. The different aspects of this controversy are reviewed. In particular, the presumed functions (inhibition of calcium carbonate precipitation and inhibition of calcite nucleation and growth) and mechanisms of action (calcium binding or adsorption) are discussed in the light of recent data, including structural and comparative biology.

#### Lithostathine Function

### Inhibition of Calcite Growth

Bernard *et al.* [7] proposed that the inhibitory activity was carried out by the N-terminal undecapeptide. However, the concentration at which the N-terminal peptide or its synthetic analogue are active is not clear. These investigators [7] observed an inhibitory effect natural synthetic for and peptide concentrations (1.2-5.9 and 3.0-9.0 µM, respectively), similar to that at which lithostathine S2-5 is also active (0.6-5.9  $\mu$ M). Bimmler et al. [15] did not observe any effect of the synthetic peptide even at a concentration of 243 µM (nucleation test) or 81 µM (crystal growth test). Consistent with this result, Geider et al. [17] showed that peptide concentration must reach 500 µM in order to observe modifications of the crystal habits (see below). In our study [16], the peptide was active only at a concentration above 80 µM.

Various interpretations are found for these divergent results. Bimmler et al. [15] explained that the difference between their results and those of Bernard et al. [7] was due to peptide preparation and purification protocols or to a contamination by acids in the preparation used by Bernard et al. Therefore, they question the physiological meaning of this inhibitory property. For Geider et al. [17], the size and bulk of the undecapeptide is much smaller than that of lithostathine S2-5. Consequently, the inhibitory effect requires more molecules of undecapeptide, leading to active an concentration about 100 times higher than for lithostathine S2-5. Consistent with this idea, comparison of the dissociation equilibrium constants of lithostathine S2-5/crystal (0.9

µmol/L), N-terminal glycopeptide/crystal (3.0 µmol/L) or synthetic peptide/crystal (4.1 umol/L) complexes indicates that the Cterminal part of the protein is likely to stabilise the interaction of the undecapeptide with the crystal. Indeed, similar inhibitory properties of calcite nucleation and growth are found for lithostathine C and S2-5. This does not seem compatible with an activity site exclusively carried by the N-terminal undecapeptide (present only in lithostathine S2-5). In agreement with this conclusion, C-terminal peptide only the of rat recombinant lithostathine would present a significant inhibition of calcite nucleation and growth [15].

However, we proposed that the inhibitory property reported for lithostathine was, in fact, due to the presence of a high concentration of Tris buffer (500 mM Tris) [16]. Indeed, in our study, Tris was found to totally inhibit calcite crystal formation from  $Ca^{2+}$  ions at a concentration of 1 mM. Therefore, the calcite crystal growth inhibition by lithostathine could be a "side effect" resulting from sample preparation. This specificity was also further challenged

**Figure 2**: Crystallographic structure of lithostathine at 1.55 Å resolution.

by Bimmler *et al.* [15]. This author found that recombinant rat lithostathine exhibited calcite crystal inhibitor activity. After limited proteolysis, only the C-terminal peptide still displayed this activity. However, under the same conditions, other pancreatic (bovine trypsinogen) and extrapancreatic (human serum albumin, soybean trypsin inhibitor) proteins presented inhibitory activities of calcite crystal growth comparable to that of lithostathine. Furthermore, we have shown that NaCl, phosphate and, to a certain extent, trypsinogen and chymotrypsinogen inhibit calcite crystal growth [16]. Addadi and Weiner [18] also reported an unspecific inhibition of calcite crystal growth by various proteins at concentrations higher than 0.5 µg/mL, as found in lithostathine.

#### Inhibition of Calcite Precipitation and Nucleation

Lithostathine C extracted from human pancreatic stones [19] and lithostathine S2-5 purified from pancreatic juice [7] would both increase the induction period and decrease the precipitation rate of calcium carbonate.

However, only a decrease in the precipitation rate was observed for the protein extracted with disodium EDTA, from the human pancreatic stones of patients with alcoholic chronic pancreatitis and purified by HPLC [20]. An increase in the induction period was not found, although this could be due to a different purification protocol. Furthermore, we reported that lithostathine does not present any inhibitory effect in calcite nucleation [16]. The activity found by Bernard *et al.* [7] could be due to the presence of a Tris/glycine buffer. Low Tris/glycine concentrations indeed inhibit calcite nucleation (and crystal growth), in accordance with the inhibition curves initially attributed to lithostathine. Even more strikingly, Geider et al. [17] observed that, on the contrary, lithostathine S2-5 promotes calcite crystals nucleation. The protein would induce the nucleation of many seeds, leading to many non-pathological crystals of small sizes. This could account for the presence of microcrystals that can be



**Figure 3**: Modelled lithostathine structure. Backbone superposition with ribbon representation of 10 calculated structures of lithostathine.

easily washed out in the pancreatic juice of healthy subjects.

Therefore, the biological role initially attributed to lithostathine in the inhibition of calcite crystal growth is much debated. We will discuss the mechanisms of action that were proposed to rationalize this function. The structure of lithostathine will be presented, since it will be of interest for the discussion.

#### Structure of Lithostathine

The crystallographic structure of lithostathine was first determined at 1.55 Å resolution (Figure 2) [21]. Subsequently, we built a three dimensional structural model of lithostathine, using an original reconstruction method developed by our group. This model was validated by the analysis of the secondary structure elements deduced from the NMR spectra (Figure 3), and is very similar to the crystal structure [22].

The structure of lithostathine consists of two non-interacting domains: a globular Cterminal domain (residues 14-144) and a flexible N-terminal one (residues 1-13). The C-terminal domain contains two major alpha helices, one helice turn, six beta strands arranged in two triple-stranded antiparallel beta sheets and many loops. This domain adopts the overall fold described for C-type lectins. It is separated from the N-terminal domain by a C14-C25 disulfide bridge. The N-terminal domain is a 13-residue peptide chain that stretches out of the C-terminal domain. Three residues (9-11) are involved in a helix turn motif.

This structure allows us to discuss the function and mechanism of action of lithostathine. Indeed, a number of proteins have a similar C-type lectin-like domain, although they share a sequence identity with lithostathine which can be less than 10%, and can present very diverse established or assumed functions. These proteins are of particular interest for the discussion of lithostathine function as discussed later on.

### Lithostathine: Mechanism of Action

## The Calcium Binding Hypothesis

Equilibrium dialysis experiments [23]. performed in the presence of radioactive °Ca. indicated that lithostathine C has four equivalent and independent calcium binding sites (Kd in the mM range). Lohse and Kraemer [23] suggested that calcium binding is likely to modify the physico-chemical characteristics of the protein, leading to the formation of protein plugs preceding calcification, which could explain the presence of the protein in all the layers of pancreatic stones. However, a Kd in the mM range does not correspond to a specific binding. Similarly, the absence of calcium observed by Multigner et al. [24] and by Pitchumoni et al. [25] in the proteic core of some stones is not in agreement with the calcium binding assumption. Moreover, Multigner et al. [19] have indicated that CaCO<sub>3</sub> crystal growth inhibition bv lithostathine C could not be explained solely by the calcium binding to the protein. Indeed, at a lithostathine C concentration that totally inhibits nucleation (5.6 µg/mL), more than 98% of calcium ions would be free [19, 26].

Mariani *et al.* [27] also found that the polypeptides of the core of radiolucent stones have no affinity for calcium.

However, a renewed interest in the calcium binding to lithostathine arose from the sequence homology found with animal C-type lectins (lectins whose activity depend on calcium) [28, 29] and from the ability of lithostathine H1 and S2-5 to induce a calcium dependent bacterial aggregation [30]. Using quasi-elastic light scattering techniques, Cerini et al. [31] suggested a possible lithostathine dimer formation in the presence of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, as revealed by the modifications of the mean diffusion coefficient and of the mean hydrodynamic radius. In this context, it is interesting to compare the 3D structure of lithostathine with that of various calcium binding or non-binding proteins belonging to the same structural family.

Besides lithostathine, nine structures are available today in the C-type lectin family. Seven of these proteins have a calciumdependent activity: rat serum mannosebinding protein A (MBPA) [32], carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) and epidermal growth factor-like (EGF) domain of human E-selectin [33], human tetranectin [34], CRD domain of the H1 subunit of the asialoglycoprotein [35], rat lung surfactant protein A [36], human lung surfactant protein D [37] and TC14, a tunicate C-type lectin from Polvandrocarpa misakiensis [38]. Two proteins (Factor IX and Factor IX/X binding proteins from Trimeresurus flavoridis) have no lectin activity but present a calciumdependent binding function to blood coagulation factor IX or X [39, 40, 41]. Finally, the type II antifreeze protein of the sea raven has no lectin activity. Furthermore, its ability to protect the fish of polar oceans from freezing in icy water does not depend on calcium [42]. These structures present similar overall topologies and three types of calcium binding sites have been identified in calciumbinding CRDs, involving Glu, Gln, Asn, or Asp residues. Site 1 is composed of 4 amino acids. It is found in MBPA, tetranectin, lung surfactant proteins and the CRD domain of the H1 subunit of the asialoglycoprotein,

although the local geometry of this site differs within these protein structures. Site 2 is composed of 5 amino acids and is involved both in calcium and sugar binding. All CRDs with a demonstrated lectin function have this site in common. It is present for example in MBPA, E-selectin, tetranectin and the CRD of domain the H1 subunit of the asialoglycoprotein. It is absent in both subunits of factor IX and factor IX/X binding proteins and in the sea raven antifreeze protein which do not present a lectin function. Similarly to site 1, site 3 is involved only in calcium binding. It is composed of two residues (Ser and Glu) and also involves water molecules. It is found in both subunits of factor IX and factor IX/X binding proteins, and in the CRD domain of the H1 subunit of the asialoglycoprotein.

Figure 4 shows that none of these sites are present in lithostathine: only two residues of site 1 are conserved, one of site 2 and none of site 3. Consequently, lithostathine is not likely to present a lectin function (otherwise, site 2 would be conserved) or a calciumdependent function. These conclusions are



**Figure 4**: Structural alignment of antifreeze protein from sea raven - 2AFP, Protein Data Bank (PDB) database: http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/cgi/explore.cgi?pid= 143951044353134&pdbId=2AFP [56] -, subunits A and B of factor IX/X binding protein (1BJ3A and B), human lithostathine (1LIT), CRD domain of H1 subunit of asialoglycoprotein (1DV8), tetranectin (1TN3), mannose-binding protein A (2MSB), human E-selectin (1ESL). Numbers indicate position of residues involved in each type of Ca<sup>2+</sup> binding. consistent with the absence of sugar binding found for lithostathine [30], and with its low and probably unspecific affinity for  $Ca^{2+}$  ions, in the mM range [23]. They are also consistent with the observation that all three sites are absent in the antifreeze protein from sea raven, which is not calcium dependent. Furthermore, the reported ability of the 133amino acid isoform of lithostathine to induce a calcium dependent bacterial aggregation appears intriguing [30] and this function has, by the way, never been confirmed. In particular, bacterial aggregation was observed between pH 5.5 and 8.5, although this isoform is, in fact, insoluble above pH 5 [43]. In conclusion, analysis of the structure of lithostathine does not allow us to identify calcium binding sites which could account for inhibition of calcite nucleation, its precipitation and crystal growth.

## The Adsorption Hypothesis

The adsorption of lithostathine on calcite has been proposed as a mechanism for the inhibition of stone formation. Multigner *et al.* [19] proposed a mechanism in which the protein could have a greater affinity for the crystal than for free calcium ions. Similarly, De Caro *et al.* [11] concluded that there was a greater affinity of lithostathine C for the crystals than for free calcium ions. The adsorption of lithostathine S2-5 on a preformed calcite crystal surface (absence of growth) was also demonstrated by an ELISAtype technique [7].

Sarles *et al.* proposed a mechanism based on the adsorption of the N-terminal undecapeptide to the crystal surface. The decrease of the level in the pancreatic juice of patients, and the protein precipitation after cleavage of the N-terminal glycopeptide, would be responsible for the appearance of calcified stones characteristic of calcifying chronic pancreatitis.

Therefore, the adsorption of lithostathine and of the N-terminal peptide has been the subject of many studies.

Using immunofluorescent techniques, Geider *et al.* [17] found that lithostathine does indeed

adsorb specifically on the crystal in relation to the c axis edges of the growing  $\{10 \ 1 \ 4\}$ faces. They studied the modifications of the habit of seeds of calcite rhombohedra, in the presence of lithostathine. The adsorption of the protein generates six rough and striated  $\{11\ \overline{2}\ 0\}$  faces. Their growth, at the expense of the  $\{10\ \overline{1}\ 4\}$  faces, explains the successive transitions observed from the rhombohedral to the cubic habit and then to the olive-shaped habit of the crystals when lithostathine concentration increases. The N-terminal undecapeptide similar causes habit modifications, but at a higher concentration (500 µM). However, it is important to stress that these experiments were performed under calcite growth conditions. Therefore, this study does not demonstrate that adsorption of lithostathine is a mechanism for the inhibition of crystal growth. It can only conclude that there is a modification of the crystal shape in the presence of lithostathine.

Furthermore we found that the lithostathine affinity for calcite, expressed as the half-life of bound iodinated protein in the presence of an unlabelled competitor, is lower than that of albumin. Moreover, the quantities of adsorbed lithostathine and albumin per unit of surface are in the same range [16]. Finally, the adsorption of lithostathine on calcite is not much higher than for an amorphous phase (glass). These observations are not in favour of the adsorption of lithostathine on calcite as a specific interaction.

#### <u>Modelisation of Lithostathine/Crystal</u> <u>Interaction</u>

Gerbaud *et al.* [21] used molecular dynamics simulations to study the interaction between calcite and the N-terminal peptide. Their studies lead to an "unfolding-binding" model of the mechanism for adsorption on crystal. In addition to the interaction of the N-terminal peptide, the overall distribution of charged residues in the structure of the C-terminal domain confers a dipolar moment [44], which could initially play a role in protein orientation with respect to the crystal surface. Another mechanism could, however, be invoked in the protein approach; the crystallographic structure indeed revealed that, in the C-terminal domain, acidic residues are arranged on the same side of the molecule, in two stretches separated by approximately 6 Å. This periodicity, compatible with that of the calcium ions on several calcite crystal planes, could enable electrostatic interactions between the C-terminal domain and the crystal, through a "lattice matching" model.

This model could explain the adsorption of lithostathine on calcite, but does not prove, by itself, either the inhibition function of calcite growth or the specificity of lithostathine adsorption. Lithostathine adsorption on calcite appears to be an unspecific phenomenon not necessarily linked to its biological function.

None of the above studies were able to clearly identify a function or a mechanism of action for lithostathine. It is interesting to use comparative biology data in order to get other insights into the function of lithostathine.

### **Elements of Comparative Biology**

We will first present proteins known or suspected to be involved in crystallisation processes. Then we will compare them with structurally related proteins which do not fit the theory and appear to be even more relevant today.

#### Baculovirus Expressed Recombinant Rat Lithostathine [45, 46]

The baculovirus expressed rat lithostathine also presents an inhibitory effect on CaCO<sub>3</sub> spontaneous precipitation and crystal growth, at concentrations in the  $\mu$ M range. The studies were carried out on each of the two fragments (N-terminal undecapeptide and C-terminal polypeptide). They showed that, contrary to human lithostathine, only the C-terminal polypeptide presented an inhibitory activity on calcite nucleation and crystal growth. This activity was nevertheless reduced compared to the full size protein. Both the N-terminal undecapeptide and its synthetic homologue were inactive, even at higher concentrations. However, other proteins, such as bovine trypsinogen, human serum albumin and soybean trypsin inhibitor, presented a comparable effect. Therefore, as in the human form, the authors question the specificity and the physiological meaning of this inhibitory property.

#### Antifreeze Proteins: Similar Properties with Respect to Other Crystals

Many marine teleost fish from polar oceans and north temperate seas can be protected from freezing in icy sea water by serum antifreeze proteins or glycoproteins. Four distinct types of antifreeze proteins have been identified. These macromolecular antifreezes are all believed to function in the same noncolligative manner, by binding to the surface of ice crystals and preventing their growth. The type II antifreeze proteins (AFPs) from the sea raven, smelt and herring share a high protein sequence identity (25% to 29%) with human lithostathine and are homologous to C-type lectins [47]. The solution structure of a recombinant sea raven Type II AFP has been determined by NMR spectroscopy [42]. A model of the herring type II AFP was also constructed from the crystallographic structures of MBP and E-selectin [48]. Sitedirected mutagenesis studies have shown that the ice-binding site of the herring AFP (whose function is calcium dependent) corresponds to the carbohydrate-binding site in C-type lectins [49]. However, mutagenesis studies on sea raven AFP (whose function does not depend on calcium) showed that the epicenter of the ice binding surface of sea raven type II AFP lies near Ser 120 [50]. This site is different from that of the herring, but it could correspond to a calcite-binding site of low specificity, in the C-terminal domain of lithostathine [50].

### <u>Proteins Potentially Controlling Mineral</u> <u>Growth</u>

The mechanisms by which proteins control mineral nucleation and growth (bones, teeth,

mollusc shells) may be quite different. They use spatial (localisation, size, shape, orientation, habit) and temporal control of crystal growth. These proteins sometimes also have a structural role inside the edifice of which they control the mineralization.

Among these proteins, ovocleidin, a major protein of the avian eggshell calcified layer recently isolated and sequenced [51], presents 30% of sequence identity with human lithostathine and consists of a single C-type lectin domain. None of the 3 calcium binding sites are conserved in this protein. The function of ovocleidin has not yet been established but it is suspected to play a role in the eggshell matrix formation.

Perlucin, a 17 kDa protein, was isolated from the shell of the mollusc Haliotis laevigata [52]. The analysis of its sequence reveals that this protein also belongs to the group of proteins consisting of a single C-type lectin domain. Calcium binding site 2 is fully conserved, together with several residues of sites 1 and 3. Perlucin promotes the precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, although the authors emphasize that it may be only one functional aspect of this protein. Interestingly, this observation recalls that of Geider et al. [17] for lithostathine (lithostathine would promote formation of small non-pathological CaCO<sub>3</sub> crystals), and could also be correlated to the suspected role of ovocleidin. Taken together, these observations do not fit the idea of a role for lithostathine in the inhibition of calcium carbonate precipitation and crystal growth.

Finally, human tetranectin (which contains sites 1 and 2) is a plasminogen-binding protein belonging to the C-type lectin family. It has a potential role as a bone matrix protein expressed during mineralization [53]. It has recently been thought to play a role in tissue growth and remodelling, due to its expression in developing bone and muscle [54].

## Pancreatitis-Associated Protein

The pancreatitis-associated protein (PAP), also called hepatocarcinoma intestine pancreas (HIP) protein, synthesised and secreted by the pancreas, shares high sequence similarity with lithostathine (43% identity, 54% similarity). PAP shares the basic features of a lectin-binding domain and it is a formidable candidate for function elucidation. There is no publication demonstrating the effect of PAP on crystal nucleation, growth or habit modification. The function of PAP remains unknown, although both lithostathine and PAP synthesis and secretion are increased in response to pancreatic stress. In the C-type lectin family, these two proteins (and only their homologues in other species) present a trypsin cleavage site (between residues Arg and Ile) leading to proteins which are essentially insoluble, with a tendency to form thread structures. This probably constitutes an important common feature, indicating that these two proteins probably share common functions. It has recently been proposed that the active form of these proteins could be the insoluble cleaved forms. displaying outstanding resistance to protease cleavage. The authors suggested that the dense extracellular fibrillar complexes formed under stress conditions provide a luminal matrix which would help regeneration of the ductal structures.

The observation that lithostathine and PAP levels are elevated in the early stage of Alzheimer's disease, and remain elevated during the course of the disease is again consistent with a functional overlap between the two proteins [55].

### Conclusion

This article has reviewed the controversy about one of the functions and mechanisms of action of lithostathine. The results of the functional studies are conflicting, but the most recent studies do not support a specific role for lithostathine in the inhibition of calcite crystal growth [15, 18]. The Nterminal undecapeptide does not seem to bear, on its own, the function of the whole protein. Lithostathine is able to adsorb on calcite crystal, although this adsorption is not specific and is shared by other pancreatic proteins. The modifications of calcite crystal habit upon lithostathine adsorption were performed under crystal growth conditions, which inherently do not demonstrate that it plays a role in crystal growth inhibition. Taken together, these results do not argue in favour of such a function. The fact that lithostathine may adsorb on calcite and present an inhibition effect on its growth does not exclude another biological function.

This review emphasizes comparative biology studies, including proteins only recently identified. In fact, it is noteworthy that several proteins related to lithostathine are even suspected of playing a role in the control of crystallisation processes. In this context, elucidation of the functions of ovocleidin 17 (30% sequence identity with lithostathine), perlucin and tetranectin would certainly give an insight into that of lithostathine. The recent comparative studies between PAP and lithostathine also appear very promising. The number of names (lithostathine, vast pancreatic stone protein, pancreatic thread protein, Reg protein) reflects the various circumstances in which lithostathine has been identified. and the different functions proposed. These very diverse suggestions show that, despite many studies, the debate on lithostathine function remains wide open. Moreover, the fact that the *reg* gene is expressed in a variety of human tissues, where calcium carbonate is not present, suggests a pleiotrophic function for the gene product [3].

Received October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2002 - Accepted January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2003

KeywordsAntifreezeProteins;Asialoglycoproteins;Lectins,C-Type;Pancreatitis;Pancreatitis,Alcoholic;Selectins

Abbreviations AFP: antifreeze protein; CRD: carbohydrate recognition domain; MBP: mannose-binding protein; NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance; PAP: pancreatitis-associated protein; PDB: Protein Data Bank

#### Correspondence

Véronique Stoven Laboratoire de RMN des Biomolécules Institut Pasteur 28 rue du Docteur Roux F-75724 Paris Cedex 15 France. Phone: +33-1-45.68.88.72 Fax: +33-1-45.68.89.29 E-mail address: vstoven@pasteur.fr

#### References

1. Stewart TA. The human reg gene encodes pancreatic stone protein. Biochem J 1989; 260:622-3. [PMID 2764894]

2. Gharib B, Fox MF, Bartoli C, Giorgi D, Sansonetti A, Swallow DM, et al. Human regeneration protein/lithostathine genes map to chromosome 2p12. Ann Hum Genet 1993; 57:9-16. [PMID 8333731]

3. Watanabe T, Yonekura H, Terazono K, Yamamoto H, Okamoto H. Complete nucleotide sequence of human reg gene and its expression in normal and tumoral tissues. The reg protein, pancreatic stone protein, and pancreatic thread protein are one and the same product of the gene. J Biol Chem 1990; 265:7432-39. [PMID 2332435]

4. Terazono K, Yamamoto H, Takasawa S, Shiga K, Yonemura Y, Tochino Y, et al. A novel gene activated in regenerating islets. J Bio. Chem 1988; 263:2111-4. [PMID 2963000]

5. De Reggi M, Capon C, Gharib B, Wieruszeski JM, Michel R, Fournet B. The glycan moiety of human pancreatic lithostathine. Structure characterization and possible pathophysiological implications. Eur J Biochem 1995; 230:503-10. [PMID 7607222]

6. Montalto G, Lusher M, De Caro A, Multigner L, Sarles H, Delaage M. Analyse au moyen d'un anticorps monoclonal des composants du suc pancréatique humain apparentés à la protéine des calculs pancréatiques. C R Acad Sc III 1985; 300:199-202. [PMID 3919892]

7. Bernard JP, Adrich Z, Montalto G, De Caro A, De Reggi M, Sarles H, Dagorn JC. Inhibition of nucleation and crystal growth of calcium carbonate by human lithostathine. Gastroenterology 1992; 103:1277-84. [PMID 1397886]

8. Sarles H, Dagorn JC, Giorgi D, Bernard JP. Renaming pancreatic stone protein as "lithostathine". Gastroenterology 1990; 99:900-1. [PMID 2379794]

9. Gross J, Carlson RI, Brauer AW, Margolies MN, Warshaw AL, Wands JR. Isolation, characterization,

and distribution of an unusual pancreatic human secretory protein. J Clin Invest 1985; 76:2115-26. [PMID 3908481]

10. Gross J, Brauer AW, Bringhurst RF, Corbett C, Margolies MN. An unusual bovine pancreatic protein exhibiting pH-dependent globule-fibril transformation and unique amino acid sequence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1985; 82:5627-31. [PMID 3862086]

11. De Caro A, Lohse J, Sarles H. Characterization of a protein isolated from pancreatic calculi of men suffering from chronic calcifying pancreatitis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1979; 87:1176-82. [PMID 111670]

12. Dagorn JC. Lithostathine. In: Go VLW, DiMagno EP, Gardner JD, Lebenthal E, Reber HA, Scheele GA, eds. The Pancreas: Biology, Pathobiology, and Disease. 2nd ed. New-York: Raven Press, Ltd, 1993: 253-63.

13. Baeza N, Sanchez D, Vialettes B, Figarella C. Specific reg II gene overexpression in the non-obese diabetic mouse pancreas during active diabetogenesis. FEBS Lett 1997, 416:364-8. [PMID 9373186]

14. Sarles H, Bernard JP. Lithostathine and pancreatic lithogenesis. Gastroenterol Int Ed Int 1991; 4:130-4.

15. Bimmler D, Graf R, Scheele GA, Frick TW. Pancreatic stone protein (lithostathine), a physiologically relevant pancreatic calcium carbonate crystal inhibitor? J Biol Chem 1997; 272:3073-82. [PMID 9006958]

16. De Reggi M, Gharib B, Patard L, Stoven V. Lithostathine, the presumed pancreatic stone inhibitor, does not interact specifically with calcium carbonate crystals. J Biol Chem 1998; 273:4967-71. [PMID 9478942]

17. Geider S, Baronnet A, Cerini C, Nitsche S, Astier JP, Michel R, et al. Pancreatic lithostathine as a calcite habit modifier. J Biol Chem 1996; 271:26302-6. [PMID 8824282]

18. Addadi L, Weiner S. Interaction between acidic proteins and crystals: stereochemical requirements in biomineralization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1985; 82:4110-4. [PMID 3858868]

19. Multigner L, De Caro A, Lombardo D, Campese D, Sarles H. Pancreatic stone protein, a phosphoprotein which inhibits calcium carbonate precipitation from human pancreatic juice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1983; 110:69-74. [PMID 6838525]

20. Yamadera K, Moriyama T, Makino I. Identification of immunoreactive pancreatic stone protein in pancreatic stone, pancreatic tissue, and pancreatic juice. Pancreas 1990; 5:255-60. [PMID 2111547]

21. Gerbaud V, Pignol D, Loret E, Bertrand JA, Berland Y, Fontecilla-Camps JC, et al. Mechanism of

calcite crystal growth inhibition by the N-terminal undecapeptide of lithostathine. J Biol Chem 2000; 275:1057-64. [PMID 10625646]

22. Patard L, Stoven V, Gharib B, Bontems F, Lallemand JY, De Reggi M. What function for human Lithostathine?: Structural investigations by three-dimensional structure modeling and high-resolution NMR spectroscopy. Protein Eng 1996; 9:949-57. [PMID 8961348]

23. Lohse J, Kraemer R. Calcium binding to the "stone protein" isolated from pancreatic stones of patients with chronic calcified pancreatitis. Hoppe Seylers Z Physiol Chem 1984; 365:549-54. [PMID 6469217]

24. Multigner L, Daudon M, Montalto G, De Caro A, Etienne JP, Sarles H. Radiolucent pancreatic stones. New Engl J Med 1986; 314:248. [PMID 3941714]

25. Pitchumoni CS, Viswanathan KV, Gee Varghese PJ, Banks PA. Ultrastructure and elemental composition of human pancreatic calculi. Pancreas 1987; 2:152-8. [PMID 3628220]

26. Montalto G, Multigner L, Sarles H, De Caro, A. Les inhibiteurs protéiques de cristallisation. Caractérisation et rôle potentiel dans les lithiases calciques. Néphrologie 1984; 5:155-7. [PMID 6527717]

27. Mariani A, Bernard JP, Provansal-Cheylan M, Nitsche S, Sarles H. Differences of pancreatic stone morphology and content in patients with pancreatic lithiasis. Dig Dis Sci 1991; 36:1509-16.

28. Patthy L. Homology of human pancreatic stone protein with animal lectins. Biochem J 1988; 253:309-11. [PMID 3421951]

29. Petersen TE. The amino-terminal domain of thrombomodulin and pancreatic stone protein are homologous with lectins. FEBS Lett 1988; 231:51-3. [PMID 2834230]

30. Iovanna J, Frigerio JM, Dusetti N, Ramare F, Raibaud P, Dagorn JC. Lithostathine, an inhibitor of CaCO3 crystal growth in pancreatic juice, induces bacterial aggregation. Pancreas 1993; 8:597-601. [PMID 8302796]

31. Cerini C, Peyrot V, Garnier C, Duplan L, Veesler S, Le Caer JP, et al. Biophysical characterization of lithostatine. Evidences for a polymeric structure at physiological pH and a proteolysis mechanism leading to the formation of fibrils. J Biol Chem 1999; 274:22266-74. [PMID 10428794]

32. Weis WI, Kahn R, Fourme R, Drickamer K, Hendrickson WA. Structure of the calcium-dependent lectin domain from rat mannose-binding protein determined by MAD phasing. Science 1991; 254:1608-15. [PMID 1721241]

33. Graves BJ, Crowther RL, Chandran C, Rumberger JM, Li S, Huang KS, et al. Insight into E-selectin/ligand interaction from the crystal structure and mutagenesis of the lec/EGF domains. Nature 1994; 367:532-8. [PMID 7509040]

34. Kastrup JS, Nielsen BB, Rasmussen H, Holtet TL, Graversen JH, Etzerodt M, et al. Structure of the C-type lectin carbohydrate recognition domain of human tetranectin. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 1998; 54:757-66. [PMID 9757090]

35. Meier M, Bider MD, Malashkevich VN, Spiess M, Burkhard P. Crystal structure of the carbohydrate recognition domain of the H1 subunit of the asialoglycoprotein receptor. J Mol Biol 2000; 300:857-65. [PMID 10891274]

36. Palaniyar N, McCormack FX, Possmayer F, Harauz G. Three-dimensional structure of rat surfactant protein A trimers in association with phospholipid monolayers. Biochemistry 2000; 39:6310-6. [PMID 10828943]

37. Hakansson K, Lim NK, Hoppe HJ, Reid KB. Crystal structure of the trimeric alpha-helical coiledcoil and the three lectin domains of human lung surfactant protein D. Structure Fold Des 1999; 7:255-64. [PMID 10368295]

38. Poget SF, Legge GB, Proctor MR, Butler PJ, Bycroft M, Williams RL. The structure of a tunicate Ctype lectin from Polyandrocarpa misakiensis complexed with D-galactose. J Mol Biol 1999; 290:867-79. [PMID 10398588]

39. Mizuno H, Fujimoto Z, Koizumi M, Kano H, Atoda H, Morita T. Crystal structure of coagulation factor IX-binding protein from Habu snake venom at 2.6 A: implication of central loop swapping based on deletion in the linker region. J Mol Biol 1999; 289: 103-12. [PMID 10339409]

40. Atoda H, Hyuga M., Morita T. The primary structure of coagulation factor IX/factor X-binding protein isolated from the venom of Trimeresurus flavoviridis. Homology with asialoglycoprotein receptors, proteoglycan core protein, tetranectin, and lymphocyte Fc epsilon receptor for immunoglobulin E. J Biol Chem 1991; 266:14903-11. [PMID 1831197]

41. Mizuno H, Fujimoto Z, Koizumi M, Kano H, Atoda H, Morita T. Structure of coagulation factors IX/X-binding protein, a heterodimer of C-type lectin domains. Nat Struct Biol 1997; 4:438-41. [PMID 9187649]

42. Gronwald W, Loewen MC, Lix B, Daugulis AJ, Sönnichsen FD, Davies PL, et al. The solution structure of type II antifreeze protein reveals a new member of the lectin family. Biochemistry 1998; 37:4712-21. [PMID 9537986]

43. Montalto G, Bonicel J, Multigner L, Rovery M, Sarles H, De Caro A. Partial amino acid sequence of

human pancreatic stone protein, a novel pancreatic secretory protein. Biochem J 1986; 238:227-32. [PMID 3541906]

44. Bertrand JA, Pignol D, Bernard JP, Verdier JM, Dagorn JC, Fontecilla-Camps JC. Crystal structure of human lithostathine, the pancreatic inhibitor of stone formation. EMBO J 1996; 15:2678-84. [PMID 8654365]

45. Bimmler D, Frick TW, Scheele GA. High-level secretion of native rat pancreatic lithostathine in a baculovirus expression system. Pancreas 1995; 11:63-76. [PMID 7667245]

46. Bimmler D, Graf R, Frick TW, Largiarder F, Scheele GA. Baculovirus expressed rat lithostathine is a calcium carbonate crystal inhibitor; its N-terminal undecapeptide displays no crystal inhibitor activity. Pancreas 1995; 11:421.

47. Drickamer K, Taylor ME. Biology of animal lectins. Annu Rev Cell Biol 1993; 9:237-64. [PMID 8280461]

48. Ewart KV, Yang DSC, Ananthanarayanan VS, Fletcher GL, Hew CL. Ca2+-dependent antifreeze proteins. Modulation of conformation and activity by divalent metal ions. J Biol Chem 1996; 271:16627-32. [PMID 8663288]

49. Ewart KV, Li Z, Yang DSC, Fletcher GL, Hew CL. The ice-binding site of atlantic herring antifreeze protein corresponds to the carbohydrate-binding site of C-type lectins. Biochemistry 1998; 37:4080-5. [PMID 9521729]

50. Loewen MC, Gronwald W, Sönnichsen FD, Sykes BD, Davies PL. The ice-binding site of sea raven antifreeze protein is distinct from the carbohydratebinding site of the homologous C-type lectin. Biochemistry 1998; 37:17745-53. [PMID 9922140]

51. Mann K, Siedler F. The amino acid sequence of ovocleidin 17, a major protein of the avian eggshell calcified layer. Biochem Mol Biol Int 1999; 47:997-1007. [PMID 10410246]

52. Weiss IM, Kaufmann S, Mann K, Fritz M. Purification and characterization of perlucin and perlustrin, two new proteins from the shell of the mollusc Haliotis laevigata. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000; 267:17-21. [PMID 10623567]

53. Wewer UM, Ibaraki K, Schjørring P, Durkin ME, Young MF, Albrechtsen R. A potential role for tetranectin in mineralization during osteogenesis. J Cell Biol 1994; 127:1767-75. [PMID 7798325]

54. Iba K, Durkin ME, Johnsen L, Hunziker E, Damgaard-Pedersen K, Zhang H, et al. Mice with a targeted deletion of the tetranectin gene exhibit a spinal deformity. Mol Cell Biol 2001; 21:7817-25. [PMID 11604516]

55. Graf R, Schiesser M, Scheele GA, Marquardt K, Frick TW, Ammann RW, et al. A family of 16-kDa pancreatic secretory stress proteins form highly organized fibrillar structures upon tryptic activation. J Biol Chem 2001; 276:21028-38. [PMID 11278730]

56. Gronwald W, Loewen MC, Lix B, Daugulis AJ, Sonnichsen FD, Davies PL, Sykes BD. The solution structure of type II antifreeze protein reveals a new member of the lectin family. Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics. Protein Data Bank (PDB) database: 2AFP.