



Pelagia Research Library

Advances in Applied Science Research, 2011, 2 (3): 198-207



An accurate representation of molecular clusters in liquid mixtures using Khasare's equation of state

V. D. Bhandakkar

Department of Electronics, Anand Niketan College, Anandwan, Warora

ABSTRACT

The equation of state has been applied to the binary liquid mixture system of Cinnamaldehyde in methanol, p-dioxane & cyclohexane. It has been discussed in the domain of Khasare's theory. It is observed that there is close agreement with experimental one. The thermodynamic picture built up in this formulation could be considered as a good representation of molecular cluster in liquid state.

Keyword: The Khasare's equation of state, binary liquid mixture, Cinnamaldehyde, methanol, cyclohexane, p-dioxane, compressibility, molar volume.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years many attempts have been made¹⁻³ to study molecular interactions in pure and binary liquid mixtures and various⁴⁻⁵ equation of state for hard sphere fluid have come forward. Bhatti⁶ has made ultrasonic study and calculated acoustical parameter of liquid and hard sphere model. Sharma⁷ tested the validity of an equation of state of real fluids and derived the expressions for various acoustical parameter to relate them with Gruneisen parameter. Gopala Rao⁸ formulated an equation for a square well fluid and obtained some thermodynamic parameters by extending the Flory's equation to mixtures of unrelated type of molecule. Subensan⁹ calculated excess enthalpy, activation energy of viscous flow and found experimental results in good agreement suggesting that Flory's equation could be extended to mixtures of unrelated type. Khasare's theory has been tested by M. Kalidas¹⁰⁻¹¹ & et.al, to explain the properties of pure liquids, binary liquid and ternary liquid mixtures. Recently Khasare¹²⁻¹⁶ developed an equation of state and found close agreement with computer simulation results. The present paper reports the results of the application of the approach based on Khasare's¹⁷ model equation of state to the binary liquid mixture system of Cinnamaldehyde in methanol, p-dioxane & cyclohexane.

2. Formulation of model fluid mixture :

The precise picture of active molecular aggregate such as dimer, trimer etc. is expressed in a generalized manner in well known thermodynamic relation. These are described using model equation of state corresponding to assumed potential. The experimental data viz ultrasonic velocity (u), density (ρ) and expansion coefficient (α) are used with suitable choice of hard sphere diameter of molecule or molecular aggregates regarding the size of the molecule in pure liquid and liquid mixtures.

Starting with one mole of real fluid as a working substance, the equation of state may be written as,

$$PV / RT = 1 / (1-\eta) + 3 e \eta / (1-\eta)^2 + 3 f \eta^2 / (1-\eta)^3 + 7w_x [\eta + \log(1-\eta)] / \eta \dots\dots 1.$$

$$e = r_{ab} s_{ab} / v_{ab} ; \quad f = s_{ab}^3 / v_{ab} ; .$$

$$w_x = (w_v + 3 w_s r_{ab} + 3 w_r s_{ab}) / (7 v_{ab}) = \beta \epsilon_i.$$

Here we have microscopic additive rules for binary liquid mixture as follows;

$$r_{ab} = \sum x_i R_i , \quad s_{ab} = \sum x_i R_i^2 \quad \& \quad v_{ab} = \sum x_i R_i^3 ;$$

$$w_r = \sum x_i \beta \epsilon_i R_i , \quad w_s = \sum x_i \beta \epsilon_i R_i^2, \quad \& \quad w_v = \sum x_i \beta \epsilon_i R_i^3 ;$$

$$2R_i = \sigma, \quad w_x = \beta \epsilon, \quad \text{and } (\sigma, \epsilon) \text{ are the potential parameter ;}$$

$$\eta = (v_{ab} N_A) / V.$$

Where v_{ab} , N_A and V are the hard sphere volume, avagadro's and molar volume (bulk volume), η is space filling ratio (model parameter-1) and, $w_x = \beta \epsilon =$ Reduced depth of potential (model parameter-2).

Now related thermodynamic equation can be obtained as.,

$$Mu^2/\gamma RT = 1/(1-\eta)^2 + 6 e \eta / (1-\eta)^3 + 9 f \eta^2 / (1-\eta)^4 - 7 w_x \eta / (1-\eta) \dots\dots\dots 2$$

Where M is molecular weight, u is the u.s. velocity, γ is the ratio of specific heat (for liquid state $\gamma=1.3$) for a given value of c_p , R is the universal gas constant and T is the temperature.

$$Mu^2_\infty/\gamma R = 1 / (1-\eta) + 3 e \eta / (1-\eta)^2 + 3 f \eta^2 / (1-\eta)^3 \dots\dots\dots 3$$

Where, the thermodynamic variable α is the volume expansion coefficient

Above equation shows different variables such as mean radius of curvature, surface area and hard core volume etc. are additive.

For calculating molecular cluster size, one has to assume that, λ times monomers are forming homo-molecular cluster. These may be assumed as in first order of approximation of having analog molecular weight. However density does not depend upon the scaling factor λ as,

$$\text{Density } (\rho) = \frac{\lambda * \text{molecular weight of monomer}}{\lambda * \text{molecular volume of monomer}} \dots\dots\dots 4$$

3. Computational Aspect.

The observed thermodynamic parameter such as, $(\mu^2/\gamma RT)$ and $(\mu^2\alpha T/\gamma RT)$ of the two components in the binary mixture can be given as in put data to calculated the values of potential parameters for the two component liquids. The only variable factor in liquid is the assumed molecular weight. In case the value of ϵ comes to be negative, then one has to used integral value of molecular weight, such that ϵ becomes positive. In the liquid mixture the potential parameters for one component molecular clusters gets perturbed in the presence of other component. Hence the correction¹² are applied in the form of the following set of equation employing minimum numbers of interaction parameters (concentration dependent concepts).

$$v_i^c = v_i [1 + a_i x (1-x)] \dots\dots\dots 5$$

Where v_i^c for corrected molar volume (perturbed) and v_i is for uncorrected molar volume (unperturbed) for i^{th} species. Similarly for model parameter-1, R_i^c is the corrected mean radius of curvature for hard core parameter and R_i is unperturbed mean radius of curvature for i^{th} species. Hence we have,

$$R_i^c = R_i [1 + b_i x (1-x)] \dots\dots\dots 6$$

$$\text{and } \epsilon_i^c = \epsilon_i [1 + c_i x(1-x)] \dots\dots\dots 7$$

Where ϵ_i^c is the perturbed model parameter-2 (depth of minimum potential parameter), a_i , b_i and c_i are minimum number of interaction parameters corresponding to molar volume (v_i), hard core diameter ($2R_i$) and depth of minimum potential (ϵ_i) & the x is mole fraction. Using the concept¹² of concentration dependant parameter for molar volume, we have,

$$v = x (v_1^c) + (1-x) v_2^c$$

$$= x [v_1 (1+a_1x (1-x))] + (1-x) [v_2(1+a_2x (1-x))] \dots\dots\dots 8$$

Therefore we have expression for excess molar volume as,

$$V_{\text{excess}} = x (1-x) [a_2v_2 + (a_1v_1 - a_2v_2) x]$$

$$= x (1-x) [A + Bx] \dots\dots\dots 9$$

If, $x_1 = - A / B = 1 / [1 - (a_1 / a_2) (v_1 / v_2)] \dots\dots\dots 10$
 then $V_{\text{excess}} = 0$.

Thus for cinnamaldehyde-methanol system, after substituting the values of interaction parameter a_1, a_2 and molar volume v_1, v_2 , the value of x_1 from equation(10) comes out to be 0.017 .i.e. $0 < x < x_1$ and $x_1 < x < 1.0$, are the intervals where behavior of liquid mixtures is different .

Now, these corrected values of interaction parameter are used to generate thermodynamic parameter in liquid mixture. In case the calculated value do not match with those of experimental ones, then one has to select the new values of molecular weight by using the equation(4). Thus the integral value of λ denote the state of molecule viz. monomer , dimer etc. and can be worked out easily.

4. Experimental Details.:-

The liquid cinnamaldehyde (solute), methanol, p-dioxane and cyclohexane (solvents) were of Analar grade and redistilled before use . The binary mixture of different mole fraction of the two components in systems cinnamaldehyde-methanol, cinnamaldehyde-p-dioxane (here after p-dioxane refered as dioxane only), and cinnamaldehyde-cyclohexane were prepared immediately before use. The velocity of ultrasonic wave (u) of frequency 10 MHz and density (ρ) in these mixtures were measured by employing Ultrasonic Time intervalometer, UTI-10 (Innovative instruments , Hyderabad) , and hydrostatic sinker method in the temperature range 10-40° C. Ultrathermostat U-10 maintained temperature of samples, constant to 0.1 ° C . A specially designed²² and fabricated double walled , metallic ultrasonic cell and glass cell along with 6-digit monopan balance permitted to achieve accuracy of 1 in 10⁴ m/s in velocity and 1 in 10⁴ gm in density measurement . The u and ρ in these mixture were found to be linear with temperature and hence method of least squares was applied and the values of u and ρ at different temperature were calculated from the equation.

$$u = u_0 + \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial T} \right|_{T=0} \cdot T \text{ and } \rho = \rho_0 + \left. \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \right|_{T=0} \cdot T$$

RESULT

The computational results of u , ρ , α and adiabatic compressibility β_a employing the new approach on the basis of model equation of state for fluid mixture are given in table 1 to 3 . Table - 4 contains the values of the outputs v , σ & ϵ . The values of percentage mean deviation of u , ρ , α , and β_a from observed values are given in table 1 to 3 . Table - 5 contain the values of thermodynamic parameter for pure liquids (inputs) at $T= 303.15^\circ \text{K}$. Table - 6 represent variation, of excess thermodynamic parameter % V^E & % β_a^E with respect to composition (x) of the binary liquid mixtures, dioxane & Cinnamaldehyde, methanol-Cinnamaldehyde & cyclohexane-Cinnamaldehyde.

DISCUSSION

Form excess molar volume and excess adiabatic compressibility, which leads to following classification as given in the table. Accordingly broad classification of molecular interaction²⁰⁻²¹.

Sr.No.	β_a^E	V^E	Nature
1	Negative	Negative	Association
2	Positive	Positive	Dissociation
3	Negative	Positive	Ordering
4	Positive	Negative	Concealing

The thermodynamic properties of liquids intimately related to the inter molecular forces . This dependance can be used for precise understanding of molecular cluster in the light of L-J. potential parameter viz. σ & ϵ . After the application of interaction parameters (a_1, a_2) , (b_1, b_2) and (c_1, c_2) (Table-4) to, molar volume (v), hard sphere diameter (σ) & depth of potential (ϵ) respectively, it is observed that values of measured parameters viz ultrasonic velocity (u), and density (ρ) and expansion coefficient (α) are reproduced accurately. This conclusively exhibits the validity of thermodynamic mixture built up in the formulations. This become evident, when different values such as 1, 2, and etc., are assigned to λ in equation(4), as such we find that the agreement between evaluated thermodynamic parameters and experimental values are very close for a particular numerical value of λ . For value $\lambda = 1$, the formation of monomer in the mixture is indicated. While the existence of dimer and trimer would be indicated for the values of $\lambda = 2$ and $\lambda = 3$, etc. Another important aspect of this approach is that, the value of the interaction parameters $((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)$ and (c_1, c_2) are directly related to molar volume, hard sphere diameter and depth of potential of liquid component in the mixture. Thus for example the value of $a_1 > a_2 > 0$ would imply the increase in the molar volume of the component-1 than component-2. Similarly we can relate the other interaction parameters to relatively increase or decrease in hard sphere diameter and depth of potential of two component in the mixture.

The potential energy parameter in the binary liquid mixture system Cinnamaldehyde-methanol, Cinnamaldehyde-dioxane and Cinnamaldehyde-cyclohexane shows the component Cinnamaldehyde to be the most tightly bound than methanol, dioxane and cyclohexane, while the hard core diameter is appreciably larger than the component methanol but slightly smaller than dioxane and cyclohexane respectively.

The system Cinnamaldehyde – methanol,

In this system the reduced energy parameter shows an increase in binding energy and hard core diameter of both the components ($c_1 > c_2 > 0$, $b_1 > b_2 > 0$), the increase being greater for Cinnamaldehyde than methanol. The value of a_1, a_2, A and B indicates a marginal contraction ($a_1 < 0$) and expansion ($a_2 > 0$) in bulk volume of methanol and Cinnamaldehyde molecule in the mixture. It further shows an overall contraction and expansion in molar volume of interacting molecular aggregate in the binary mixture in the composition range of ($0 < x < 0.017$) i.e. ordering in low concentration region and association in ($0.017 < x < 1.0$) respectively.

Cinnamaldehyde – dioxane system:

In this system the value of $c_1 < 0$, $c_2 < 0$ indicates a decrease in reduced binding energy of both the component in mixture than pure state value. The decrease being of the same order of magnitude. The value of $b_1 < 0$, shows a decrease and $b_2 > 0$, an increase in hard core diameter of both the component in mixture than pure state value. The value of $a_1 < 0$ indicates an expansion in bulk volume of component -dioxane and Cinnamaldehyde respectively. This along with the values of A and B shows and overall volume contraction of interacting molecular aggregates in the mixture over the complete composition range.

Cinnamaldehyde – cyclohexane system, :

In this system, the reduced energy parameters shows the decrease binding energy of both the components in the mixture than pure state value, i.e. $c_1 < c_2 < 0$. This decrease being larger for component cyclohexane. The value of $b_1 < 0$ and $b_2 > 0$ shows, a decrease and increase in bulk volume of cyclohexane and Cinnamaldehyde respectively. The value of a_1, a_2, A and B shows over all contraction in molar volume of interaction molecular aggregates in this mixture over the entire composition range.

The state of molecular cluster in liquid mixtures:

The percentage mean deviation between the calculated and observed values of ultrasonic velocity (u), density (ρ), compressibility (β_a) and expansion coefficient (α) in all the system is very small for value of $\lambda = 3$ (trimer), for methanol, cyclohexane and dioxane, while $\lambda = 1$ (monomer) and 2 (dimer) for Cinnamaldehyde in system cyclohexane-methanol, Cinnamaldehyde-dioxane and Cinnamaldehyde-cyclohexane respectively. This therefore conclusively exhibits the behavior of methanol, p-dioxane and cyclohexane in trimeric form while Cinnamaldehyde behaves in monomeric form in mixture with methanol and in dimeric form in the mixture with cyclohexane and dioxane respectively. The earlier studies¹⁸⁻¹⁹ have shown behavior of methanol in vapor and in acrolein as tetramer and dimer, while the present investigation shows its trimeric behavior in the mixture.

As velocity of ultrasonic waves is a sensitive function of space filling factor, small changes in volume cause significant changes in velocity of ultrasonic waves. The volume of dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde liquid mixture depends upon the structural arrangement in liquid as well as on inter-molecular interactions. The forces between molecules and also their geometry would decide the structural arrangement. Thus geometry of molecules has a vital role in deciding the volume of liquid. In a binary liquid mixture of dioxane and Cinnamaldehyde the shape of the molecule i.e. cluster geometric or macro geometric, would therefore predominantly decide an excess of molar volume. An increase in strength of dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde, hetero molecular forces manifesting in a decrease in adiabatic compressibility β_a of the mixture would tend to reduce the size of the cluster of dioxane, Cinnamaldehyde and dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde, hence the decrease in total volume of the mixture.

Relative strength of interactions:

The variation both β_a^E and V^E is non linear²² with one minimum (Table -6). Such smooth variation with minimum at certain composition is known to indicate an attractive hetero-molecular dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde interaction, leading to association of the molecules. Pure liquids dioxane and Cinnamaldehyde suppose to exist in monomer, dimer and trimer etc. with, number of monomers > no. of dimers > no. of trimers. Due to associative hetero-molecular, dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde interaction, the (dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde) liquid mixture can be imagined as, (dioxane-cinnamaldehyde a dimer, dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde-Cinnamaldehyde, a trimer and dioxane-dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde a trimer etc.

Above association would occur due to the presence of an active sub group of p-dioxane i.e. lone pair of oxygen at 1 and 4th position in p-dioxane, which plays vital role in association mechanism and active subgroup of -CHO (aldehyde) in Cinnamaldehyde molecule. As minima is closer to higher concentration of p-dioxane, thus, p-dioxane-p-dioxane interaction is greater than Cinnamaldehyde-Cinnamaldehyde interaction.

In the dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde system both β_a^E and V^E are negative. Thus this system has more strength of interaction (large value of β_a^E at $x = 0.4$). Therefore dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde interaction is stronger than dioxane-dioxane and Cinnamaldehyde-Cinnamaldehyde interaction. Since dip is concentrated on dioxane side of molar concentration, dioxane-dioxane interaction seems to be stronger than dioxane-Cinnamaldehyde and Cinnamaldehyde-Cinnamaldehyde interaction.

Table – 1. Measured and theoretically evaluated value of u , ρ , β_a and α in Methanol - cinnamaldehyde at $T = 303.15^0$ K

x	u m/s	Observed			Theoretical			
		α^*10^{-3}	ρ^*10^{-3} gmcm ⁻³	$\beta_a^*10^{-12}$ cm ² dyne ⁻¹	u m/s	α^*10^{-3}	ρ^*10^{-3} gmcm ⁻³	$\beta_a^*10^{-12}$ cm ² dyne ⁻¹
0.0	1086	1.183	0.7760	109	1086	1.183	0.7760	109
0.1	1110	1.793	0.7906	102	1076	1.536	0.7982	108
0.2	1130	1.850	0.8216	95	1102	1.913	0.8196	100
0.3	1155	2.850	0.8402	89	1159	2.236	0.8404	86
0.4	1188	2.170	0.8602	83	1221	2.420	0.8604	77
0.5	1205	2.320	0.8602	78	1254	2.424	0.8803	72
0.6	1230	2.322	0.9003	73	1256	2.474	0.9003	70
0.7	1260	2.042	0.9205	68	1257	2.031	0.9206	68
0.8	1295	2.071	0.9405	63	1274	1.757	0.9414	65
0.9	1335	2.099	0.9604	58	1314	1.491	0.9632	60
1.0	1375	1.253	0.9861	53	1375	1.253	0.9862	53

% deviation of $u = 2.8 \%$
 % deviation of $\alpha = 10.8 \%$
 % deviation of $\rho = 0.18 \%$
 % deviation of $\beta_a = 4.2 \%$

Table – 2. Measured and theoretically evaluated value of u , ρ , β_a and α in p-dioxan- cinnamaldehyde at $T = 303.15^0$ K

x	u m/s	Observed			Theoretical			
		α^*10^{-3}	ρ^*10^{-3} gmcm ⁻³	$\beta_a^*10^{-12}$ cm ² dyne ⁻¹	u m/s	α^*10^{-3}	ρ^*10^{-3} gmcm ⁻³	$\beta_a^*10^{-12}$ cm ² dyne ⁻¹
0.0	1324	0.932	1.0270	58	1324	0.932	1.0270	58
0.1	1339	0.859	1.0269	54	1341	0.857	1.0244	54
0.2	1349	0.853	1.0200	53	1351	0.847	1.0243	53
0.3	13 57	0.884	1.0256	53	1355	0.879	1.0256	53
0.4	1362	0.946	1.0250	52	1356	0.940	1.0272	52
0.5	13 67	1.014	1.0248	52	1359	1.019	1.0281	52
0.6	1370	1.069	1.0390	52	1365	1.105	1.0272	52
0.7	1373	1.191	1.0235	52	1375	1.184	1.0235	51
0.8	13 76	1.277	1.0227	51	1384	1.241	1.0160	51
0.9	1378	1.264	1.0222	51	1385	1.267	1.0037	51
1.0	1375	1.253	0.9860	53	1375	1.253	0.9862	53

% deviation of $u = 0.35 \%$
 % deviation of $\alpha = 0.53 \%$
 % deviation of $\rho = 0.99 \%$
 % deviation of $\beta_a = 0.41 \%$

In the methanol–Cinnamaldehyde and cyclohexane– Cinnamaldehyde system, $\beta_a^E < 0$ and $V^E > 0$. The observed negative β_a^E denote the decrease in adiabatic compressibility than observe one. This indicates the presence of hetero–molecular methanol-Cinnamaldehyde, cyclohexane–Cinnamaldehyde interaction in both the systems resulting in formation of cluster. In methanol-Cinnamaldehyde, the dip concentrated towards methanol side indicates methanol-methanol interaction is stronger than methanol-Cinnamaldehyde and Cinnamaldehyde–Cinnamaldehyde interaction. In methanol active subgroup is - OH which plays important role in association. On the other hand in the system cyclohexane- Cinnamaldehyde the dip is

concentrated towards Cinnamaldehyde side, indicates Cinnamaldehyde- Cinnamaldehyde interaction is stronger than cyclohexane- Cinnamaldehyde and cyclohexane-cyclohexane interaction. In cyclohexane there is no chemical active group but its polar geometric has vital role for its association.

Table- 3. Measured and theoretically evaluated value of u , ρ , β_a and α in cyclohexane - cinnamaldehyde at $T = 303.15^0\text{ K}$

x	Observed				Theoretical			
	u m/s	$\alpha \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\rho \cdot 10^3$ gmcm ⁻³	$\beta_a \cdot 10^{-12}$ cm ² dyne ⁻¹	u m/s	$\alpha \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\rho \cdot 10^3$ gmcm ⁻³	$\beta_a \cdot 10^{-12}$ cm ² dyne ⁻¹
0.0	1240	1.077	0.7723	84	1240	1.077	0.7722	81
0.1	1255	1.203	0.7691	79	1253	1.082	0.7961	80
0.2	1265	1.615	0.8107	77	1267	1.100	0.8214	75
0.3	1288	1.132	0.8477	71	1284	1.126	0.8417	71
0.4	1299	1.315	0.8707	68	1303	1.555	0.8743	67
0.5	1326	1.297	0.8962	63	1325	1.184	0.9004	63
0.6	1346	1.241	0.9214	59	1348	1.208	0.9252	59
0.7	1398	1.226	0.9473	56	1368	1.226	0.9473	56
0.8	1373	1.381	0.9605	55	1382	1.240	0.9657	54
0.9	1377	1.330	0.9974	52	1385	1.249	0.9791	53
1.0	1375	1.253	0.9862	53	1375	1.253	0.9862	53

% deviation of $u = 0.26\%$

% deviation of $\alpha = 10.70\%$

% deviation of $\rho = 0.60\%$

% deviation of $\beta_a = 0.83\%$

Table – 4. Values of relatives interaction parameters in cinnamaldehyde liquid. (Output)

System	v_1	v_2	σ_1	σ_2	ϵ_1	ϵ_2
Cinnamaldehyde -methanol	$a_1 = -0.8110^{-4}$	$a_2 = 0.0432$	$b_1 = 4.71$	$b_2 = 1.557$	$c_1 = 0.076$	$c_2 = 0.051$
cinnamaldehyde -p-dioxane	$a_1 = -0.0705$	$a_2 = 10.2281$	$b_1 = -0.26$	$b_2 = 0.527$	$c_1 = -0.020$	$c_2 = -0.048$
cinnamaldehyde -Cyclohexane	$a_1 = -0.42910^{-3}$	$a_2 = -0.1669$	$b_1 = -2.70$	$b_2 = 0.755$	$c_1 = -0.028$	$c_2 = -0.295$

Table – 5. Values of Thermodynamic parameters for pure liquids at $T=303.15^0\text{ K}$

Liquids	u.m/s	$\alpha \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\rho \cdot 10^3$
Cinnamaldehyde	1375	1.253	0.9860
Methanol	1086	1.183	0.7760
p-Dioxane	1324	0.9320	1.0270
Cyclohexane	1240	1.077	0.7723

Table-6 Variation of excess thermodynamic parameters % V^E & % β_a^E with respect to composition (x) of p-dioxane- cinnamaldehyde, methanol- cinnamaldehyde & cyclohexane - cinnamaldehyde systems.

Mole	p-dioxane- cinnamaldehyde		methanol- cinnamaldehyde		cyclohexane- cinnamaldehyde	
x	% V^E	% β_a^E	% V^E	% β_a^E	% V^E	% β_a^E
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.1	-0.18	-1.52	3.62	-2.65	0.54	-0.21
0.2	-0.29	-2.20	4.53	-4.52	0.95	-0.42
0.3	-0.35	-2.52	4.91	-6.63	1.32	-0.61
0.4	-0.42	-2.54	5.22	-6.71	1.35	-0.85
0.5	-0.40	-2.53	4.72	-5.62	1.36	-0.82
0.6	-0.38	-2.25	4.05	-4.51	1.22	-0.62
0.7	-0.30	-2.00	3.51	-4.05	1.15	-0.43
0.8	-0.28	-1.50	2.32	-2.82	0.86	-0.35
0.9	-0.20	-1.00	1.05	-1.24	0.56	-0.22
1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nature	Association		Ordering		Ordering	

CONCLUSION

The theoretical approach outline earlier¹²⁻¹⁶ and elaborated in this paper is found to be applicable to the system investigated. The thermodynamic picture built up in formulation therefore could be considered as correct representation of molecular cluster. The important aspects of this representation is its capability to reproduce u.s. velocity data with added flexibility of reproducing density and expansion coefficient of liquid mixture to a high degree of accuracy. This representation of fresh approach towards understanding the thermodynamic picture and properties of liquid mixture seems to be quite new and fascinating. It is observed that this approach is capable of predicting all experimental thermodynamic data for logical input parameters. The formulation of molecular cluster viz. weak monomer, dimer in pure liquid state of constituent liquid molecule in binary liquid mixture can be predicted satisfactory. This is possible on the simple assumption that degree of association factor for a liquid is important parameter for reproducing experimental thermodynamic parameters.

The theory has added provision of concentration dependent effect¹² on basic parameter which is not available in any other theory.

Acknowledgement:

The author is thankful to UGC New Delhi for granting financial assistance in the form of minor research project.

REFERENCES

- [1] Delmus G.T, *Trans faraday Soc*, 70(1975) 590.
- [2] Tabhane V.A., *Acoustic Lett.* 6(1983) 120.
- [3] Tabhane V. A., Ghosh Sharda and Pranjale A.W., *Indian J.pure & Appl.Phys.*, 23(1985) 502
- [4] Barker J.A. and Henderson D. *Mol Phys.*, 21(1971) 187.
- [5] Guha A.& Mandal A.K., *Indian J. pure & Appl. Phys.* 26 (1988) 340.
- [6] Bhatti S.S. and Singh D.P. *Acustica.*, 50(1980) 291.
- [7] Sharma B.K. *Pramana.*, 14(1980) 477.
- [8] Gopala Rao R.V. and Jardar R.N. *Indian J.pure & Appl Phys*, 14(1976) 905.
- [9] Sabesan R. Varadarajan R. and Natrajan M., *Indian J.Pure&Appl.Phys.* 26(1988) 504

- [10] M. Kalidas and R. Srinivasmoorthy, :*Khasare's equation of state applied to mixtures* , *Acoustic lett.*,20(1997)213-219
- [11] M. Kalidas and R. Srinivasmoorthy ,*Indian J.pure and Appl.Ultrasonic* ,22(2000)78-81.
- [12] Khasare S.B. *Indian J.Pure & Appl. Phys.* 29(1991) 19.
- [13] Khasare S.B. *Indian J.Pure & Appl. Phys.* 29(1991) 543.
- [14] Khasare S.B. *Indian J.Pure & Appl. Phys.* 31(1993) 224.
- [15] Khasare S.B. *Indian J.Pure & Appl. Phys.* 34(1996) 448.
- [16] Khasare S.B. *Indian J.Pure & Appl. Phys.* 35(1997) 254-259.
- [17] Khasare S.B.: General equation of state for real fluid ,D.Sc. thesis, 2000,Nagpur University Nagpur.
- [18] Wealthter W.J. & Pitzer K.S. , *J.Am Chem. Soc.* ,73 (1951)2606
- [19] Tabhane V.A., Bhandakkar V.D. & Khasare S.B. *Indian J.pure & Appl.Phys.*. 33(1995) 248-252.
- [20] Khasare's S.B. :Investigation on same aspects of molecular interaction in liquids , Ph.D. thesis ,1987, Nagpur University, Nagpur.
- [21] Bhandakkar V.D. , Tabhane V.A. and Ghosh Sharda, *Indian J.pure Appl Phys.*, 41 (2003) 849-854.
- [22] Bhandakkar V.D.: Investigation of u.s. wave propagation in some bio -liquids Ph.D. thesis, 1993 Nagpur University, Nagpur.