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#### Abstract

This investigation deals with a mathematical model of a four species $\left(S_{1,} S_{2}, S_{3}\right.$ and $\left.S_{4}\right)$ SynEcological system (Two of the four species are washed out states). $S_{2}$ is a predator surviving on the prey $S_{1}$ : the prey is a commensal to the host $S_{3}$ which itself is in mutualism with the fourth species $S_{4}$. Further $S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ are neutral. The model equations of the system constitute a set of four first order non-linear ordinary differential coupled equations. In all, there are sixteen equilibrium points. Criteria for the asymptotic stability of six of the sixteen equilibrium points: Two of the four species are washed out states only are established in this paper. The linearized equations for the perturbations over the equilibrium points are analyzed to establish the criteria for stability and the trajectories illustrated.
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## INTRODUCTION

Mathematical modeling of ecosystems was initiated by Lotka [6] and by Volterra [12]. The general concept of modeling has been presented in the treatises of Meyer [7], Paul Colinvaux [8], Freedman [2], Kapur [3, 4]. The ecological interactions can be broadly classified as preypredation, competition, mutualism and so on. N.C. Srinivas [11] studied the competitive ecosystems of two species and three species with regard to limited and unlimited resources. Later, Lakshmi Narayan [5] has investigated the two species prey-predator models. Recently stability analysis of competitive species was investigated by Archana Reddy [1]. Local stability analysis for a two-species ecological mutualism model has been investigated by B. Ravindra Reddy et. al [9, 10].

## 2. Basic equations:

## Notation Adopted:

$\mathrm{N}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \quad: \quad$ The Population of the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$
$\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ : $\quad$ The Population of the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{N}_{3}(\mathrm{t})$ : $\quad$ The Population of the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ and mutual to $\mathrm{S}_{4}$
$\mathrm{N}_{4}(\mathrm{t}) \quad: \quad$ The Population of $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ mutual to $\mathrm{S}_{3}$
t : Time instant
$\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}, \mathrm{a}_{4}$ : Natural growth rates of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$
$\mathrm{a}_{11}, \mathrm{a}_{22}, \mathrm{a}_{33}, \mathrm{a}_{44}: \quad$ Self inhibition coefficients of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$
$\mathrm{a}_{12}, \mathrm{a}_{21}$ : Interaction (Prey-Predator) coefficients of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ due to $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ due to $\mathrm{S}_{1}$
$a_{13}$ : Coefficient for commensal for $S_{1}$ due to the Host $S_{3}$
$a_{34}, a_{43}$ : Mutually interaction between $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$
$\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}:$ Carrying capacities of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$

Further the variables $\mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ are non-negative and the model parameters $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}, \mathrm{a}_{4} ; \mathrm{a}_{11}$, $a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44} ; a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{13}, a_{24}$ are assumed to be non-negative constants.

The model equations for the growth rates of $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d N_{1}}{d t}=a_{1} N_{1}-a_{11} N_{1}^{2}-a_{12} N_{1} N_{2}+a_{13} N_{1} N_{3}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \frac{d N_{2}}{d t}=a_{2} N_{2}-a_{22} N_{2}^{2}+a_{21} N_{2} N_{1}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \frac{d N_{3}}{d t}=a_{3} N_{3}-a_{33} N_{3}^{2}+a_{34} N_{3} N_{4}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \frac{d N_{4}}{d t}=a_{4} N_{4}-a_{44} N_{4}^{2}+a_{43} N_{4} N_{3} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Equilibrium States:

The system under investigation has sixteen equilibrium states are given by $\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=0, i=1,2,3,4$
I. Fully washed out state:
(1) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
II. States in which three of the four species are washed out and fourth is surviving
(2) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
(3) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(4) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(5) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
III. States in which two of the four species are washed out while the other two are surviving
(6) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{3} a_{43}+a_{4} a_{33}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}$

This state can exist only when $a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}>0$.
(7) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$ (8) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(9) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
(10) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}}{a_{11} a_{33}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(11) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{22}-a_{2} a_{12}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{21}+a_{2} a_{11}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$

This state can exist only when $a_{1} a_{22}-a_{2} a_{12}>0$
IV. States in which one of the four species is washed out while the other three are surviving
(12) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
$\alpha_{1}=a_{13}\left(a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}\right)+a_{1}\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right), \alpha_{2}=a_{11}\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{22}-a_{2} a_{12}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{21}+a_{2} a_{11}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}  \tag{14}\\
& \overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=a_{33}\left(a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}\right), \beta_{2}=a_{22}\left(a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}\right)-a_{2} a_{12} a_{33} \\
& \beta_{3}=a_{21}\left(a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}\right)+a_{2} a_{11} a_{33}
\end{aligned}
$$

V. The co-existent state (or) Normal steady state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\gamma_{1}+a_{13} a_{22} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{4}+a_{13} a_{21} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \quad \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1}=\left(a_{1} a_{22}+a_{2} a_{12}\right)\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right), \gamma_{2}=a_{3} a_{44}+a_{4} a_{34} \\
& \gamma_{3}=\left(a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}\right)\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right), \gamma_{4}=\left(a_{1} a_{21}-a_{2} a_{11}\right)\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The present paper deals with two of the four species are washed out states only. The stability of the other equilibrium states will be presented in the forth coming communications.

## 4. Stability of two of the four species washed out equilibrium states: <br> (SI. Nos 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 in the above Equilibrium states)

### 4.1 Stability of the Equilibrium State 6

$\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{3} a_{43}+a_{4} a_{33}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}$
Let us consider small deviations $\mathrm{u}_{1}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{2}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{3}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{4}(\mathrm{t})$ from the steady state
i.e. $\quad N_{i}(t)=\bar{N}_{i}+u_{i}(t), i=1,2,3,4$

Substituting (4.1.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$, we get
$\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=l_{1} u_{1}$
(4.1.2) $\quad \frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=a_{2} u_{2}$
$\frac{d u_{3}}{d t}=-a_{33} \overline{N_{3}} u_{3}+a_{34} \overline{N_{3}} u_{4} \ldots .$.
$\frac{d u_{4}}{d t}=a_{43} \overline{N_{4}} u_{3}-a_{44} \overline{N_{4}} u_{4}$

Here $l_{1}=a_{1}+a_{13} \overline{N_{3}}$
The characteristic equation of which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-l_{1}\right)\left(\lambda-a_{2}\right)\left[\lambda^{2}-\left(a_{33} \overline{N_{3}}+a_{44} \overline{N_{4}}\right) \lambda+\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right) \overline{N_{3}} \overline{N_{4}}\right]=0 \tag{4.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic roots of (4.1.7) are

$$
\lambda=l_{1}, \lambda=a_{2}, \lambda=\frac{-\left(a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}+a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}-a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}+4 a_{34} a_{43} \bar{N}_{3} \bar{N}_{4}}}{2}
$$

Two roots of the equation (4.1.7) are positive and the other two roots are negative. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.

The solutions of the equations (4.1.2), (4.1.3), (4.1.4), (4.1.5) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=u_{10} e^{e^{t}} \tag{4.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=u_{20} a^{a_{2} t} \tag{4.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{3}=\left[\frac{u_{30}\left(\lambda_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{40} \mathrm{a}_{34} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}}{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{3} \mathrm{t}}+\left[\frac{\mathrm{u}_{30}\left(\lambda_{4}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{40} \mathrm{a}_{34} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}}{\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{4} \mathrm{t}}  \tag{4.1.10}\\
& \mathrm{u}_{4}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{u}_{40}\left(\lambda_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{33} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{30} \mathrm{a}_{43} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}}{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{3} \mathrm{t}}+\left[\frac{\mathrm{u}_{40}\left(\lambda_{4}+\mathrm{a}_{33} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{30} \mathrm{a}_{43} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}}{\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{4} \mathrm{t}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{10}, u_{20}, u_{30}, u_{40}$ are the initial values of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ respectively.
There would arise in all 576 cases depending upon the ordering of the magnitudes of the growth rates $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ and the initial values of the perturbations $u_{10}(t), u_{20}(t), u_{30}(t), u_{40}(t)$ of the species $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}$. Of these 576 situations some typical variations are illustrated through respective solution curves that would facilitate to make some reasonable observations.
The solutions are illustrated in figures $1 \& 2$.

Case (i): If $\mathrm{u}_{30}<\mathrm{u}_{40}<\mathrm{u}_{10}<\mathrm{u}_{20}, a_{3}<l_{1}<a_{4}<a_{2}$
In this case initially the predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over the prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{12}{ }^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. It is evident both the species prey and Predator are going away from the equilibrium point while the other two species converge to the equilibrium point. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.

## Fig. 1



Case (ii): If $\mathrm{u}_{20}<\mathrm{u}_{40}<\mathrm{u}_{10}<\mathrm{u}_{30}, a_{2}<a_{4}<a_{3}<l_{1}$
In this case initially the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates over the prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right), \mathrm{S}_{4}$ and the predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{13}{ }^{*}, t_{43}{ }^{*}, t_{23}{ }^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dominated over by the predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{24}{ }^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 2


### 4.2 Stability of the Equilibrium State 7

$\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
Substituting (4.1.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$, we get
$\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=r_{1} u_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{3}}{d t}=l_{3} u_{3} \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=-a_{2} u_{2}+\frac{a_{21} a_{2}}{a_{22}} u_{1}  \tag{4.2.1}\\
& \frac{d u_{4}}{d t}=-a_{4} u_{4}+\frac{a_{43} a_{4}}{a_{44}} u_{3} \tag{4.2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $r_{1}=a_{1}-\frac{a_{12} a_{2}}{a_{22}}, l_{3}=a_{3}+\frac{a_{34} a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
The characteristic equation of which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-r_{1}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{2}\right)\left(\lambda-l_{3}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{4}\right)=0 \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (A): When $r_{1}<0$ (i.e., when $a_{1}<\frac{a_{12} a_{2}}{a_{22}}$ )

The roots $r_{1},-a_{2},-a_{4}$ are negative and $l_{3}$ is positive.
Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
The solutions of the equations (4.2.1) (4.2.2), (4.2.3), (4.2.4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=u_{10} e^{r_{t}}  \tag{4.2.7}\\
& u_{2}=\left[u_{20}-\frac{a_{21} a_{2} u_{10}}{a_{22}\left(r_{1}+a_{2}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{2} t}+\frac{a_{21} a_{2} u_{10}}{a_{22}\left(r_{1}+a_{2}\right)} e^{r_{1} t}  \tag{4.2.8}\\
& u_{3}=u_{30} e^{l_{t} t}  \tag{4.2.9}\\
& u_{4}=\left[u_{40}-\frac{a_{43} a_{4} u_{30}}{a_{44}\left(l_{3}+a_{4}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{4} t}+\frac{a_{43} a_{4} u_{30}}{a_{44}\left(l_{3}+a_{4}\right)} e^{l_{3} t} \tag{4.2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution curves are as shown in figures $3 \& 4$.
Case (i): If $\mathrm{u}_{10}<\mathrm{u}_{20}<\mathrm{u}_{30}<\mathrm{u}_{40}$ and $a_{4}<l_{3}<a_{2}<r_{1}$
In this case initially $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dominates over the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{34}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the commensal species is observed to be going away from the equilibrium point while the other three species converge to the equilibrium point. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.


Case (ii): If $u_{20}<u_{30}<u_{40}<u_{10}$ and $l_{3}<a_{4}<r_{1}<a_{2}$.
In this case initially the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{41}^{*}, t_{31}^{*}, t_{21}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dominates over the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{34}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.


Case (B): When $r_{1}>0$ (i.e., when $a_{1}>\frac{a_{12} a_{2}}{a_{22}}$ )
The roots $-a_{2},-a_{4}$ are negative and $r_{1}, l_{3}$ are positive.
Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
In this case the solutions are same as in case $(\mathrm{A})$ and the solutions are illustrated in figures $5 \& 6$. Case (i): If $u_{20}<u_{30}<u_{10}<u_{40}$ and $r_{1}<l_{3}<a_{2}<a_{4}$.

In this case initially $S_{4}$ dominates over the Prey $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and the Host $\left(S_{3}\right)$ of $S_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{14}^{*}, t_{34}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{31}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 5


Case (ii): If $u_{40}<u_{30}<u_{20}<u_{10}$ and $a_{2}<r_{1}<l_{3}<a_{4}$.
In this case initially the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{32}^{*}, t_{42}^{*}$ respectively and the dominance gets reversed there after. Also the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{31}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 6


### 4.3 Stability of the Equilibrium State 8

$\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
Substituting (4.1.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$, we get
$\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=s_{1} u_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=-a_{2} u_{2}+\frac{a_{21} a_{2}}{a_{22}} u_{1}  \tag{4.3.1}\\
& \frac{d u_{4}}{d t}=n_{4} u_{4}  \tag{4.3.4}\\
& n_{4}=a_{4}+\frac{a_{43} a_{3}}{a_{33}}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{d u_{3}}{d t}=-a_{3} u_{3}+\frac{a_{34} a_{3}}{a_{33}} u_{4}$
Here $s_{1}=a_{1}+\frac{a_{13} a_{3}}{a_{33}}-\frac{a_{12} a_{2}}{a_{22}} \ldots$
The characteristic equation of which is
$\left(\lambda-s_{1}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{2}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{3}\right)\left(\lambda-n_{4}\right)=0$
Case (A): When $s_{1}<0$ (i.e., when $a_{1}+\frac{a_{13} a_{3}}{a_{33}}<\frac{a_{12} a_{2}}{a_{22}}$ )
The roots $s_{1},-a_{2},-a_{3}$ are negative and $n_{4}$ is positive.
Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
The solutions of the equations (4.3.1) (4.3.2), (4.3.3), (4.3.4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=u_{10} e^{s_{1} t}  \tag{4.3.8}\\
& u_{2}=\left[u_{20}-\frac{a_{21} a_{2} u_{10}}{a_{22}\left(s_{1}+a_{2}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{2} t}+\frac{a_{21} a_{2} u_{10}}{a_{22}\left(s_{1}+a_{2}\right)} e^{s_{1 t} t}  \tag{4.3.9}\\
& u_{3}=\left[u_{30}-\frac{a_{3} a_{34} u_{40}}{a_{33}\left(n_{4}+a_{3}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{3} t}+\frac{a_{3} a_{34} u_{40}}{a_{33}\left(n_{4}+a_{3}\right)} e^{n_{4} t}  \tag{4.3.10}\\
& u_{4}=u_{40} e_{4}^{n_{4} t} \tag{4.3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution curves are exhibited in figures $7 \& 8$.
Case (i): If $u_{10}<u_{40}<u_{20}<u_{30}$ and $a_{2}<a_{3}<s_{1}<n_{4}$
In this case initially the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{43}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over the $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{42}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 7


Case (ii): If $u_{40}<u_{10}<u_{20}<u_{30}$ and $a_{3}<n_{4}<a_{2}<s_{1}$
In this case initially the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{41}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over the $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{42}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. Similarly the Host $\left(S_{3}\right)$ of $S_{1}$ dominates over $S_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{43}^{*}$ and the dominance is gets reversed there after.

Fig. 8


Case (B): When $s_{1}>0$ (i.e., when $a_{1}+\frac{a_{13} a_{3}}{a_{33}}>\frac{a_{12} a_{2}}{a_{22}}$ )
The roots $-a_{2},-a_{3}$ are negative and $s_{1}, n_{4}$ are positive.
Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
In this case the solutions are same as in case (A) and the solutions are illustrated in figures 9 \& 10.

Case (i): If $\mathrm{u}_{10}<\mathrm{u}_{20}<\mathrm{u}_{30}<\mathrm{u}_{40}$ and $s_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<n_{4}$
In this case initially the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ and Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{13}^{*}, t_{23}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{12}^{*}$ and the dominance gets reversed there after. Similarly $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dominates over the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ and the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{24}^{*}, t_{14}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed.


Case (ii): If $u_{20}<u_{30}<u_{40}<u_{10}$ and $a_{3}<n_{4}<s_{1}<a_{2}$

In this case initially the Host $\left(S_{3}\right)$ of $S_{1}$ dominates the Predator $\left(S_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{23}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. Also $S_{4}$ dominates over the Predator $\left(S_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{24}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 10


### 4.4 Stability of the Equilibrium State 9

$\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
Substituting (4.1.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \mathrm{u}_{4}$, we get
$\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-a_{1} u_{1}-\frac{a_{12} a_{1}}{a_{11}} u_{2}+\frac{a_{13} a_{1}}{a_{11}} u_{3}$
$\frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=q_{2} u_{2}$
$\frac{d u_{3}}{d t}=l_{3} u_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{4}}{d t}=-a_{4} u_{4}+\frac{a_{43} a_{4}}{a_{44}} u_{3} \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $q_{2}=a_{2}+\frac{a_{21} a_{1}}{a_{11}}, l_{3}=a_{3}+\frac{a_{34} a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
The characteristic equation of which is
$\left(\lambda+a_{1}\right)\left(\lambda-q_{2}\right)\left(\lambda-l_{3}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{4}\right)=0$
The roots $q_{2}, l_{3}$ are positive and $-a_{1},-a_{4}$ are negative.
Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
The solutions of the equations (4.4.1) (4.4.2), (4.4.3), (4.4.4) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\left[u_{10}-\frac{a_{13} a_{1} u_{30}}{a_{11}\left(l_{3}+a_{1}\right)}+\frac{a_{12} a_{1} u_{20}}{a_{11}\left(q_{2}+a_{1}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{1} t}+\frac{a_{13} a_{1} u_{30}}{a_{11}\left(l_{3}+a_{1}\right)} e^{b_{3 t}}-\frac{a_{12} a_{1} u_{20}}{a_{11}\left(q_{2}+a_{1}\right)} e^{q_{2} t} \\
& \ldots(4.4 .7)  \tag{4.4.9}\\
& u_{2}=u_{20} q^{q_{2} t}  \tag{4.4.10}\\
& u_{4}=\left[u_{40}-\frac{a_{43} a_{4} u_{30}}{a_{44}\left(l_{3}+a_{4}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{4} t}+\frac{a_{43} a_{4} u_{30}}{a_{44}\left(l_{3}+a_{4}\right)} e^{b_{3} t}=u_{30} e^{k_{5} t}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution curves are as shown in figures $11 \& 12$.

Case (i): If $u_{20}<u_{30}<u_{10}<u_{40}$ and $a_{1}<a_{4}<l_{3}<q_{2}$
In this case initially the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates over the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{31}^{*}, t_{21}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dominates over the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{34}^{*}, t_{24}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Similarly the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates over the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{21}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.


Case (ii): If $u_{30}<u_{40}<u_{10}<u_{20}$ and $q_{2}<l_{3}<a_{1}<a_{4}$.
In this case initially the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, and the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{41}^{*}, t_{31}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also $S_{4}$ dominates over the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{34}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 12



### 4.5 Stability of the Equilibrium State 10

$\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}}{a_{11} a_{33}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$

Substituting (4.1.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \mathrm{u}_{4}$, we get
$\frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=M_{1} u_{1}-a_{12} \overline{N_{1}} u_{2}+a_{13} \bar{N}_{1} u_{3}$
$\frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=r_{2} u_{2}$
$\frac{d u_{3}}{d t}=-a_{3} u_{3}+\frac{a_{34} a_{3}}{a_{33}} u_{4} \quad \ldots$ (4.5.3) $\quad \frac{d u_{4}}{d t}=n_{4} u_{4}$
Here $M_{1}=-a_{1}-\frac{a_{3} a_{13}}{a_{33}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=a_{2}+a_{21} \overline{N_{1}}, n_{4}=a_{4}+a_{43} \overline{N_{3}} \tag{4.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic equation of which is $\left(\lambda+M_{1}\right)\left(\lambda-r_{2}\right)\left(\lambda+a_{3}\right)\left(\lambda-n_{4}\right)=0$
The roots $r_{2}, n_{4}$ are positive and $-M_{1},-a_{3}$ are negative.
Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
The solutions of the equations (4.5.1) (4.5.2), (4.5.3), (4.5.4) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}= & \left\{u_{10}+\left[\frac{a_{12} \bar{N}_{1} u_{20}\left(-a_{3}+M_{1}\right)-a_{13} \bar{N}_{1} u_{30}\left(r_{2}+M_{1}\right)}{\left(r_{2}+M_{1}\right)\left(-a_{3}+M_{1}\right)}\right]\right\} e^{-M_{1} t} \\
& +\left[\frac{a_{13} \overline{N_{1}}\left[\left(u_{30}-\eta_{7}\right) e^{-a_{3} t}+\eta_{7} e^{n_{4} t}\right]\left(r_{2}+M_{1}\right)-a_{12} \overline{N_{1}} u_{20} 0^{r_{2} t}\left(-a_{3}+M_{1}\right)}{\left(r_{2}+M_{1}\right)\left(-a_{3}+M_{1}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{2}=u_{20} 0^{r_{2} t}  \tag{4.5.9}\\
& u_{3}=\left[u_{30}-\frac{a_{34} a_{3} u_{40}}{a_{33}\left(n_{4}+a_{3}\right)}\right] e^{-a_{3} t}+\frac{a_{34} a_{3} u_{40}}{a_{33}\left(n_{4}+a_{3}\right)} e^{n_{4} t}  \tag{4.5.10}\\
& u_{4}=u_{40} 0^{n_{4} t} \tag{4.5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\eta_{7}=\frac{a_{34} a_{3} u_{40}}{a_{33}\left(n_{4}+a_{3}\right)}$
The solution curves are exhibited in figures $13 \& 14$.
Case (i): If $u_{20}<u_{30}<u_{40}<u_{10}$ and $a_{3}<M_{1}<n_{4}<r_{2}$
In this case initially the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates over the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{23}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.


Fig. 14
Case (ii): If $u_{10}<u_{40}<u_{20}<u_{30}$ and $a_{3}<M_{1}<r_{2}<n_{4}$
In this case initially the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates over the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right), \mathrm{S}_{4}$ and the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$, till the time instant $t_{23}^{*}, t_{43}^{*}, t_{13}^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{21}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. And the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ till the time instant $t_{42}^{*}$ and the dominance is gets reversed there after. Similarly $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dominates the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $t_{14}^{*}$ and there after the dominance is reversed.

### 4.6 Stability of the Equilibrium State 11

$\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{22}-a_{2} a_{12}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{21}+a_{2} a_{11}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
Substituting (4.1.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d u_{1}}{d t} & =-a_{11} \bar{N}_{1} u_{1}-a_{12} \bar{N}_{1} u_{2}+a_{13} \bar{N}_{1} u_{3}  \tag{4.6.1}\\
\frac{d u_{2}}{d t} & =a_{21} \bar{N}_{2} u_{1}-a_{22} \bar{N}_{2} u_{2}  \tag{4.6.2}\\
\frac{d u_{3}}{d t} & =a_{3} u_{3}  \tag{4.6.3}\\
\frac{d u_{4}}{d t} & =a_{4} u_{4} \tag{4.6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation of which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\lambda^{2}+\left(a_{11} \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right) \lambda+\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{a}_{21} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right]\left(\lambda-\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)\left(\lambda-\mathrm{a}_{4}\right)=0 \tag{4.6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic roots of (4.6.5) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{-\left(a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}+a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}+a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2}-4 a_{12} a_{21} \bar{N}_{1} \bar{N}_{2}}}{2}, \lambda=\mathrm{a}_{3}, \lambda=\mathrm{a}_{4} \tag{4.6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two roots of the equation (4.6.5) are positive and the other two roots are negative. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable.
The trajectories are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}_{1}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}+\mathrm{u}_{20}\right)-\mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{30}-\phi_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{1} \mathrm{t}}  \tag{4.6.7}\\
&+\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}-\phi_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)-\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}+\mathrm{u}_{20}\right)+\mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{30}+\phi_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{2} \mathrm{t}}+\phi_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{t}} \\
& \mathrm{u}_{2}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}+\mathrm{u}_{20}\right)-\mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{30}-\phi_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] \xi_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{1} \mathrm{t}}  \tag{4.6.8}\\
&+\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}-\phi_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)-\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}+\mathrm{u}_{20}\right)+\mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{30}+\phi_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] \xi_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{2} \mathrm{t}}+\phi_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{t}} \\
&----(4.6 .9) \tag{4.6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here
$\phi_{1}=\frac{\beta_{2}}{a_{3}{ }^{2}+\psi_{1} a_{3}+\beta_{1}}, \quad \phi_{2}=\frac{a_{13} \bar{N}_{1} u_{30}-\phi_{1}\left(a_{3}+P_{3}\right)}{a_{12} \bar{N}_{1}}, \quad P_{3}=a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}$
$\beta_{1}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{a}_{22}+\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{a}_{21}\right) \overline{\mathrm{N}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}, \quad \beta_{2}=\mathrm{u}_{30} \mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right)$
$\psi_{1}=a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}+a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}, \quad \xi_{1}=\frac{-\left(\lambda_{1}+P_{3}\right)}{a_{12} \bar{N}_{1}}, \quad \xi_{2}=\frac{-\left(\lambda_{2}+P_{3}\right)}{a_{12} \bar{N}_{1}}$
The solutions are illustrated in figures $15 \& 16$.
Case (i): If $u_{20}<u_{10}<u_{40}<u_{30}$ and $a_{2}<a_{1}<a_{4}<a_{3}$ In this case initially the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, the prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ and the predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ in natural growth rate as well as in its initial population strength. It is evident that all the four species going away from the equilibrium point. Hence the equilibrium state is unstable as shown in figure.

Fig. 15


Case (ii): If $u_{30}<u_{40}<u_{20}<u_{10}, a_{3}<a_{2}<a_{1}<a_{4}$
In this case initially the prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ dominates over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ and the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{41}{ }^{*}, t_{31}{ }^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ dominates
over $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ and the host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ till the time instant $t_{42}{ }^{*}, t_{32}{ }^{*}$ respectively and there after the dominance is reversed.

Fig. 16
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